



# International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Scholarly Publisher  
RS Global Sp. z O.O.  
ISNI: 0000 0004 8495 2390

Dolna 17, Warsaw,  
Poland 00-773  
+48 226 0 227 03  
editorial\_office@rsglobal.pl

---

**ARTICLE TITLE** TO CUT OR NOT TO CUT. ANTIBIOTIC USE IN ACUTE APPENDICITIS IN ADULT, PREGNANT AND PEDIATRIC POPULATIONS. REVIEW

---

**DOI** [https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4\(48\).2025.4300](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(48).2025.4300)

---

**RECEIVED** 02 October 2025

---

**ACCEPTED** 12 December 2025

---

**PUBLISHED** 21 December 2025

---

**LICENSE**



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

---

© The author(s) 2025.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

# TO CUT OR NOT TO CUT. ANTIBIOTIC USE IN ACUTE APPENDICITIS IN ADULT, PREGNANT AND PEDIATRIC POPULATIONS. REVIEW

**Dorota Maria Komuńska** (Corresponding Author, Email: dorota.komunska@gmail.com)  
Stefan Żeromski Specialist Hospital in Kraków, Kraków, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-8919-0557

**Natalia Bukala**  
University Clinical Hospital in Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-1617-3487

**Dominika Kondyjowska**  
Ludwik Rydygier Specialist Hospital in Kraków, Kraków, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-1458-9284

**Weronika Tomasiczek**  
Gabriel Narutowicz Municipal Specialist Hospital in Kraków, Kraków, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-3466-0386

**Sylvia Tomasiczek**  
Stefan Żeromski Specialist Hospital in Kraków, Kraków, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-4214-8454

**Zuzanna Sawiec**  
Ludwik Rydygier Specialist Hospital in Kraków, Kraków, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3237-4029

**Ewa Antonowicz**  
Independent Public Health Care Center of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Administration in Kraków, Kraków, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6229-8389

**Marta Rogozińska**  
Stefan Żeromski Specialist Hospital in Kraków, Kraków, Poland  
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-7445-1128

## ABSTRACT

Background. Acute appendicitis is one of the most common and routinely performed emergency surgeries in a wide range of populations. Appendectomy is commonly considered as the one and only solution for acute appendicitis

Research objective. This review aims to raise awareness on different approaches to treating acute appendicitis, comparing antibiotics and surgery treatment strategies.

Material and methods. We searched PubMed and ReaserchGate databases, as well as current editions of renowned surgery textbooks for systematic reviews, articles, studies and meta-analyses surrounding the topic of treatment strategies and their complications for acute appendicitis in different populations.

Results. In the adult population a higher success rate for surgical treatment was reported (82,3%) than the antibiotic one (67,2%). Antibiotic use in the pregnant population was associated with a lower rate of preterm deliveries, but an increased rate of infectious complications due to suspected immunological changes during pregnancy. In children, antibiotic treatment was associated with a 90,5% treatment success rate with histopathologically confirmed recurrence rate during the 1 year follow up of 16,1%.

Conclusions. Surgery treatment offers a higher rate of treatment success than antibiotic management and lower recurrence rate. Conservative treatment may be more beneficial than surgery to specific groups of patients under the condition of confirmed uncomplicated appendicitis.

---

## KEYWORDS

Acute Appendicitis, Appendectomy, Pregnancy, Pediatric Population, Adults, Antibiotics

---

## CITATION

Dorota Maria Komuńska, Natalia Buwała, Dominika Kondyjowska, Weronika Tomaszczek, Sylwia Tomaszczek, Zuzanna Sawiec, Ewa Antonowicz, Marta Rogozińska. (2025). To Cut or Not to Cut. Antibiotic Use in Acute Appendicitis in Adult, Pregnant and Pediatric Populations. Review. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 4(48). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.4(48).2025.4300

---

## COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2025. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

---

## 1. Introduction

Appendicitis is one of the most common causes for urgent medical procedures a surgeon may come across during their practice. In the United States it's responsible for as many as 300 000 hospitalizations annually (Wray CJ, Kao LS, Millas SG, et al, 2013). Given that lifetime incidence of appendicitis is 8,6% in men and 6,7% in women it's crucial to be able to swiftly and correctly diagnose a patient presenting with its symptoms(Addiss et al. 1990). Appendicitis occurs most frequently between the ages of 10 and 19, with lowest incidence in children  $\leq 9$  years old (Golz et al, 2020, Addis et al. 1990).

The vermiform appendix is a midgut organ located at the base of the cecum. It can be already identified at 8 weeks gestation as a small outpouching of the cecum, which given time elongates and rotates into its fixed position in the right lower quadrant (Wray CJ, Kao LS, Millas SG, et al, 2013). The appendix is a true diverticulum of the cecum, considering it being made up of all four layers of the colonic wall (Mulholland MW, Lillemoe KD, Doherty GM, et al. 2005). Appendix also has its own blood supply through the appendiceal artery and its own mesentery called mesoappendix. The base or the orifice of the appendix is fixed to the cecum, but the tip can migrate to nearby regions such as retrocecal, which is the most common one, pelvic and retroperitoneal area. These variations result in different abdominal pain presentations additionally complicating the diagnosis.

The role of the appendix is not yet fully discovered. It is speculated that because of the high density of submucosal lymphoid tissue it plays a role in the immune system. Its build may also suggest that it serves as the reservoir of "good" interstitial bacteria restoring bacterial balance to the intestines after events such as antibiotic treatment (Girard-Madoux et al, 2018). Bacterial flora of the cecum comprises gram-negative bacteria and anaerobes with common isolates such as *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and others (Townsend et al, 2022).

Prompt surgery remains the gold standard for treatment of acute appendicitis and is regarded as the least controversial one. Whether or not the patient undergoes the appendectomy, broad-spectrum antibiotics should be administered. The use of appropriate agents that address aforementioned cultures is paramount for fewer surgical site infections and better outcomes. Antibiotic treatment is an indispensable part of the acute appendicitis management whether it be a coverage alongside surgical method or a primary role in non-operative treatment.

The aim of this article is to raise awareness about the methods and procedures of antibiotic treatment in acute appendicitis, and to emphasize the importance of knowledgeable decision making when choosing the appropriate course of action for each patient.

## 2. Methodology

A literature review was conducted using the following keywords in the PubMed and ResearchGate databases: “acute appendicitis”, “appendicitis”, “antibiotic treatment”, “appendectomy”, “pregnant population”, “adults”, “children” “pediatric population”. Renowned published textbooks of surgery were also considered for this review.

## 3. Research results

### *Perioperative antibiotic use*

Complicated, perforated appendicitis is associated with a high risk of postoperative infections, particularly intra-abdominal abscess formation (Fraser et al., 2010). These complications in turn are causes for longer hospitalization and higher costs of treatment. Systematic review and analysis of post-operative antibiotic duration in an acute complicated appendicitis conducted by Ramson et al. in 2021 found no clear benefit to prolonged antibiotic treatment in acute appendicitis or either of the aforementioned complications. Furthermore there was no statistically significant difference between short-term ( $\leq 5$  days) and extended ( $> 5$  days) antibiotic duration in prevention of intra-abdominal abscesses. Extended antibiotic treatment was not associated with reduced incidence of surgical site infections (Ramson et al, 2021). Guidelines suggested by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America recommend limiting the antimicrobial therapy to 4 to 7 days provided that adequate source control has been achieved in cases of intra-abdominal infection (Solomkin et al, 2010). Most studies analysed by Ramson et al. used various regimes of cephalosporins or metronidazole, solely or in combination. Two studies also included penicillins and aminoglycosides.

However in non-complicated appendicitis postoperative antimicrobial treatment was associated with higher rates of *C. Difficile* infections and urinary tract infections (Coakley et al, 2011)

### *Adult population*

So far many studies have promoted antibiotic treatment omitting surgery for patients with uncomplicated appendicitis suggesting high success rates. Systematic review and meta-analysis by Podda et al. took into account several methods of measuring success. One of those was complication-free treatment including treatment failure. Studies in this group reported significantly higher success rate for surgical treatment (82,3%) than antibiotic one (67,2%). Observation for long term solution was also conducted, at 1-year follow up 93,1% success rate was associated with surgery and 72,6% with antibiotic treatment (Podda et al, 2019).

The overall rate for recurrence of appendicitis was 19,2%. Post intervention complications were also taken into account with antibiotic treatment having significantly lower rate compared to appendectomy (7,1% vs 14,5%). Interestingly, analysis of reported surgical complications in both methods of treatment yielded an essentially equivalent rate of 12,8% in the antibiotic and 13,6% in the surgical group. The overall rate of wound infection, bowel obstruction, incisional hernia and abscess formation were similar between these two groups. Antibiotic treatment was associated with significantly lower costs when compared to surgical approach even after including antibiotic treatment-failure and subsequent surgery (4074\$ vs 5117\$). There was no statistically significant difference in length of primary hospital stay. However non-randomised controlled trials reported shorter hospital stay of patients treated with antibiotics (Podda et al, 2019). Reported results show advantages of both approaches and are best to be evaluated having a specific patient in mind.

Distinguishing which patient may respond well to antibiotic treatment may be complex. Patients with assumed uncomplicated appendicitis who fulfilled criteria set by Hansson et al, had 89% chance of recovery with antibiotics. The criteria being CRP $<60$ g/L, WBC  $<12 \times 10^9$ /L and age  $<60$  years (Hansson et al, 2014). Another study reported higher success rate of non-operative treatment in patients whose symptoms lasted longer than 24 hours prior to admission, explaining that lack of progression to complicated appendicitis in that

period of time is associated with indolent clinical progression. There were other factors that increased the success rate of antibiotic treatment such as Alvarado score  $<4$ , lower temperature, imaging-confirmed uncomplicated appendicitis and smaller diameter of the appendix (Loftus et al, 2018). Computed tomography is considered to be an essential part of confirming appendicitis in adults (Kim et al, 2012). CT scans are known for high sensitivity and specificity. Their usage has resulted in reduction of negative appendectomies without increasing the rate of complicated, perforated appendicitis. (Coursey et al, 2010; Raja et al, 2010; Raman et al 2008). Patients that receive CT aided diagnosis gain better medical care by avoiding excess surgeries which leads to more efficient use of hospital resources (Rao et al, 1998).

#### *Pregnant population*

One of the most common reasons for surgical emergencies in the pregnant population is acute appendicitis with prevalence ranging from 1 in 700 to 1500 live births (Abasi et al, 2014). Acute appendicitis is associated with preterm labor, placental abruption, fetal loss and maternal death (Candrawinata et al, 2023). When turning to conservative methods of treatment in acute appendicitis it is important to note that the number of antibiotics safe for the fetus is limited. Patients may also prefer delayed appendectomy in the event of recurrent appendicitis to minimize the risks associated with undergoing surgery during a physiologically vulnerable state.

Meta-analysis conducted by Candrawinata et al, reported usage of third-generation cephalosporins as preferred choice of medication. It was found that the group treated with antibiotics had significantly lower risk of preterm delivery at 1,1% vs 1,68%. There was no significant difference in fetal loss between the two groups. Fortunately, no maternal deaths were reported, rendering analysis of this specific risk unfeasible. The risk of complications such as septic shock, sepsis, vein thrombo-embolism in the antibiotic group was statistically significantly higher compared to surgery. (Candrawinata et al, 2023). Appendectomy was associated with lower risk of peritonitis compared to non-operative treatment (Abbasi et al, 2014). Increased risk of infectious complications in the pregnant population is believed to be associated with immunological changes during pregnancy resulting in decreased strength of immunological response to infectious stimuli (Abu-Raya, 2020). Misdiagnosing pregnant patients with acute appendicitis and subsequently proceeding with appendectomy happens more often than in the general population, with negative appendectomy ranging from 25% to 50% vs 15-35 % in the general population (Kave et al, 2019). Radiological imaging such as CT scans, although helpful in confirming the diagnosis before surgery, carry additional risks for the fetus and are often contraindicated during pregnancy. Prompt MRI scans are often unavailable and ultrasonography scans have lower sensitivity. Therefore a non-operative, antibiotic treatment should be tailored to specific patients with dubious symptoms and negative ultrasonography scans. (Candrawinata et al, 2023).

#### *Pediatric population*

Cases of acute abdominal pain make up as much as 10% of pediatric visits to healthcare providers (Buel et al, 2024). Due to anatomical differences and different clinical presentation of appendicitis in children, which also depends on the age of a child, difficulties with proper diagnosis and treatment arise (Emil et al, 2003). That being said, the results of meta-analyses and reviews focusing on adult populations are not applicable to pediatric patients.

Huang et al. conducted a meta-analysis centering around the pediatric population. Initial success rate for antibiotic group was 90,5%, histopathologically confirmed recurrence rate during the 1 year follow up was 16,1% (27/168 patients). All patients reported in this study were primarily treated with third-generation cephalosporins, carbapenems, or penicillins. Appendicitis with appendicolith present was associated with higher rate of initial failure and recurrence of the condition (Huang et al, 2017). Appendicolith is found in approximately 10% of patients presenting with acute appendicitis, especially in children and young adults (Teke et al, 2008) Prevalence of complications such as perforation, abscess in the antibiotic group and surgical site infection or ileus in the appendectomy group was considered not significantly different. The analysis reported a significantly longer hospital stay in the antibiotic group with a mean difference of 14.32 hours (Huang et al, 2017).

However the length of disability because of the condition and its treatment was reported to be longer in the appendectomy group (21 days) than in the antibiotic one (Minnecci et al, 2016)

Appendectomy remains a treatment of choice in most children diagnosed with early appendicitis (St Peter et al, 2025) especially in younger children, who are often unable to accurately describe and assess their symptoms.

#### 4. Discussion

Antibiotic treatment is to be proceeded with caution, having the best interest of the patient in mind. Antimicrobial use comes with its increasing challenges. Number of infections caused by multidrug-resistant organisms increased in recent years as a result of careless and excessive prescriptions of antibiotics. Administering other broad-spectrum antibiotics to ensure complete coverage of bacteria associated with appendicitis related infections could open up the patients to increasing drug-resistance and difficulties treating other ailments later on. 9,2% of reported patients with intra-abdominal infections, including complicated appendicitis needed their antibiotics changed from primarily used ones that were administered perioperatively due to antibiotic-resistance (Vinodhini et al, 2023).

Individually tailored strategy on par with evidence based studies and treatment innovations will allow clinicians to make well suited decisions. Certain patients are not fit for surgery due to comorbidities as well as other factors. Population preference also comes into play with half of the surveyed participants preferring antibiotic treatment to avoid surgery, even accepting the rate of recurrence as high as 50% (Bom, 2021).

#### 5. Conclusions

Appendectomy is still a preferred option of treatment in acute appendicitis, especially if a complicated course of disease is suspected. That does not exempt clinicians from constantly educating themselves and informing the patients about possible treatment strategies and including their perspective into the treatment plan.

#### REFERENCES

- Girard-Madoux MJH, Gomez de Agüero M, Ganai-Vonarburg SC, et al. The immunological functions of the appendix: an example of redundancy? *Semin Immunol.* 2018;36:31–44.
- Addiss, David G.; Shaffer, Nathan; Fowler, Barbara S.; Tauxe, Robert V. The Epidemiology Of Appendicitis And Appendectomy In The United States, , *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 1990, vol. 132, n 5, s910-925 DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115734 , PMID: 2239906
- Mulholland MW, Lillemoe KD, Doherty GM, et al.. *Greenfield's Surgery: Scientific Principles and Practice*, 4th ed, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia 2005.
- Wray CJ, Kao LS, Millas SG, et al. Acute appendicitis: controversies in diagnosis and management. *Curr Probl Surg.* 2013;50:54–86.
- Townsend, J. C. M., Beauchamp, R. D., Evers, B. M., & Mattox, K. L. (2022). *Sabiston textbook of surgery* (21st ed.). Elsevier - Health Sciences Division.
- Ramson DM, Gao H, Penny-Dimri JC, Liu Z, Khong JN, Caruana CB, Campbell R, Jackson S, Perry LA. Duration of post-operative antibiotic treatment in acute complicated appendicitis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *ANZ J Surg.* 2021 Jul;91(7-8):1397-1404. doi: 10.1111/ans.16615. Epub 2021 Feb 12. PMID: 33576567. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33576567/>
- Huang L, Yin Y, Yang L, Wang C, Li Y, Zhou Z. Comparison of Antibiotic Therapy and Appendectomy for Acute Uncomplicated Appendicitis in Children: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2017 May 1;171(5):426-434. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.0057. PMID: 28346589; PMCID: PMC5470362. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28346589/>
- Podda M, Gerardi C, Cillara N, Fearnhead N, Gomes CA, Birindelli A, Mulliri A, Davies RJ, Di Saverio S. Antibiotic Treatment and Appendectomy for Uncomplicated Acute Appendicitis in Adults and Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2019 Dec;270(6):1028-1040. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003225. PMID: 30720508. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30720508/>
- Candrawinata V, Hanafi R, Baskoro BA, Irawan A, Ekapatria C, Christina NM, Koerniawan HS, Halim F. Antibiotic versus surgery in the treatment of acute appendicitis in the pregnant population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *F1000Res.* 2023 Sep 6;12:188. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.129906.2. PMID: 38919838; PMCID: PMC1196932. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38919838/>
- Fraser JD, Aguayo P, Sharp SW et al. Physiologic predictors of postoperative abscess in children with perforated appendicitis: subset analysis from a prospective randomized trial. *Surgery* 2010; 147: 729–32.
- Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS et al. Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Surg. Infect.* 2010; 11: 79–109.
- Coakley BA, Sussman ES, Wolfson TS et al. Postoperative antibiotics correlate with worse outcomes after appendectomy for nonperforated appendicitis. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 2011; 213: 778–83.
- Mahida JB, Lodwick DL, Nacion KM, et al. High failure rate of nonoperative management of acute appendicitis with an appendicolith in children. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2016;51:908–911

14. Hansson J, Khorram-Manesh A, Alwindawe A, et al. A model to select patients who may benefit from antibiotic therapy as the first line treatment of acute appendicitis at high probability. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2014;18:961–967.
15. Loftus TJ, Brakenridge SC, Croft CA, et al. Successful nonoperative management of uncomplicated appendicitis: predictors and outcomes. *J Surg Res.* 2018;222:212–218.
16. Abbasi N, Patenaude V, Abenheim HA. Evaluation of obstetrical and fetal outcomes in pregnancies complicated by acute appendicitis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2014 Oct;290(4):661-7. doi: 10.1007/s00404-014-3276-7. Epub 2014 May 20. PMID: 24838290.
17. Abu-Raya B, Michalski C, Sadarangani M, Lavoie PM. Maternal Immunological Adaptation During Normal Pregnancy. *Front Immunol.* 2020 Oct 7;11:575197. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.575197. PMID: 33133091; PMCID: PMC7579415.
18. Kave M, Parooie F, Salarzaei M. Pregnancy and appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis on the clinical use of MRI in diagnosis of appendicitis in pregnant women. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2019 Jul 22;14:37. doi: 10.1186/s13017-019-0254-1. PMID: 31367227; PMCID: PMC6647167.
19. Emil S, Laberge JM, Mikhail P, Baican L, Flageole H, Nguyen L, Shaw K. Appendicitis in children: a ten-year update of therapeutic recommendations. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2003 Feb;38(2):236-42. doi: 10.1053/jpsu.2003.50052. PMID: 12596112.
20. Minneci PC, Mahida JB, Lodwick DL, Sulkowski JP, Nacion KM, Cooper JN, Ambeba EJ, Moss RL, Deans KJ. Effectiveness of Patient Choice in Nonoperative vs Surgical Management of Pediatric Uncomplicated Acute Appendicitis. *JAMA Surg.* 2016 May 1;151(5):408-15. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2015.4534. PMID: 26676711.
21. Teke Z, Kabay B, Erbiş H, Tuncay OL. Appendicolithiasis causing diagnostic dilemma: a rare cause of acute appendicitis (report of a case). *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg.* 2008 Oct;14(4):323-5. PMID: 18988058.
22. Bom WJ, Scheijmans JCG, Gans SL, Van Geloven AAW, Boermeester MA. Population preference for treatment of uncomplicated appendicitis. *BJS Open.* 2021 Jul 6;5(4):zrab058. doi: 10.1093/bjsopen/zrab058. PMID: 34355241; PMCID: PMC8411439.
23. Golz RA, Flum DR, Sanchez SE, Liu X, Donovan C, Drake FT. Geographic Association Between Incidence of Acute Appendicitis and Socioeconomic Status. *JAMA Surg.* 2020 Apr 1;155(4):330-338. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2019.6030. PMID: 32129808; PMCID: PMC7057178.
24. Buel KL, Wilcox J, Mingo PT. Acute Abdominal Pain in Children: Evaluation and Management. *Am Fam Physician.* 2024 Dec;110(6):621-631. PMID: 39700366.
25. Vinodhini P, Sureshkumar S, Gurushankari B, Mahalakshmy T, Kate V. Comparison of Short-Course and Conventional Antimicrobial Duration in Mild and Moderate Complicated Intra-Abdominal Infections: A randomised controlled trial. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J.* 2023 May;23(2):212-219. doi: 10.18295/squmj.1.2023.006. Epub 2023 May 31. PMID: 37377823; PMCID: PMC10292598.
26. Kim K, Kim YH, Kim SY, Kim S, Lee YJ, Kim KP, Lee HS, Ahn S, Kim T, Hwang SS, Song KJ, Kang SB, Kim DW, Park SH, Lee KH. Low-dose abdominal CT for evaluating suspected appendicitis. *N Engl J Med.* 2012 Apr 26;366(17):1596-605. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1110734. PMID: 22533576.
27. Coursey CA, Nelson RC, Patel MB, Cochran C, Dodd LG, Delong DM, Beam CA, Vaslef S. Making the diagnosis of acute appendicitis: do more preoperative CT scans mean fewer negative appendectomies? A 10-year study. *Radiology.* 2010 Feb;254(2):460-8. doi: 10.1148/radiol.09082298. PMID: 20093517.
28. Raja AS, Wright C, Sodickson AD, Zane RD, Schiff GD, Hanson R, Baeyens PF, Khorasani R. Negative appendectomy rate in the era of CT: an 18-year perspective. *Radiology.* 2010 Aug;256(2):460-5. doi: 10.1148/radiol.10091570. Epub 2010 Jun 7. PMID: 20529988.
29. Raman SS, Osuagwu FC, Kadell B, Cryer H, Sayre J, Lu DS. Effect of CT on false positive diagnosis of appendicitis and perforation. *N Engl J Med.* 2008 Feb 28;358(9):972-3. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc0707000. PMID: 18305278.
30. Rao PM, Rhea JT, Novelline RA, Mostafavi AA, McCabe CJ. Effect of computed tomography of the appendix on treatment of patients and use of hospital resources. *N Engl J Med.* 1998 Jan 15;338(3):141-6. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199801153380301. PMID: 9428814.
31. St Peter SD, Noel-MacDonnell JR, Hall NJ, Eaton S, Suominen JS, Wester T, Svensson JF, Almström M, Muenks EP, Beaudin M, Piché N, Brindle M, MacRobie A, Keijzer R, Engstrand Lilja H, Kassa AM, Jancelewicz T, Butter A, Davidson J, Skarsgard E, Te-Lu Y, Nah S, Willan AR, Pierro A. Appendectomy versus antibiotics for acute uncomplicated appendicitis in children: an open-label, international, multicentre, randomised, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2025 Jan 18;405(10474):233-240. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)02420-6. Erratum in: *Lancet.* 2025 Feb 8;405(10477):468. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(25)00206-5. PMID: 39826968.