



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE CELIAC DISEASE AS A FAMILY ILLNESS: AN ANALYSIS OF EMOTIONAL BURDEN, LIFESTYLE CHANGES, AND THE ROLE OF MODERN SUPPORT TECHNOLOGIES WITHIN THE FAMILY SYSTEM - A NARRATIVE REVIEW

DOI [https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.1\(49\).2026.4825](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.1(49).2026.4825)

RECEIVED 11 January 2025

ACCEPTED 05 March 2026

PUBLISHED 16 March 2026

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

CELIAC DISEASE AS A FAMILY ILLNESS: AN ANALYSIS OF EMOTIONAL BURDEN, LIFESTYLE CHANGES, AND THE ROLE OF MODERN SUPPORT TECHNOLOGIES WITHIN THE FAMILY SYSTEM - A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Paulina Jarzabek (Corresponding Author, Email: paulina.jarzabek131@gmail.com)
Medical University of Lodz, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-9859-1362

Radosław Gryko
Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0002-8215-7011

Aleksandra Włodarczyk
Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-5456-6703

Norbert Grabias
Karol Jonscher Municipal Medical Center, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-3681-5096

Jędrzej Piotrowski
Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8044-5496

Maria Rajkowska
Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-2822-0595

Julia Weronika Mieszkowska
Healthcare Complex in Łęczyca, Łęczyca, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-7699-9880

Bernard Myszewski
Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-0027-0789

Anna Kinga Tejchma
University Clinical Hospital No. 2 of the Medical University of Lodz, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-7322-5517

Łukasz Dominik Woźniak
Medical University of Lodz, Łódź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-5634-3810

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Celiac Disease (CD) necessitates strict, lifelong adherence to a gluten-free diet (GFD), transforming a clinical diagnosis into a pervasive "family illness." This condition imposes profound emotional, logistical, and financial strains on the entire household. Caregivers frequently encounter states of "hypervigilance" and social withdrawal, while patients navigate complex socio-economic hurdles.

Aim of the Study: This narrative review aims to analyze the multidimensional impact of CD on the family unit, focusing on emotional distress, lifestyle modifications, and socio-economic challenges. Furthermore, it evaluates the efficacy of emerging technologies, including mHealth, AI, e-learning, and portable sensors - in facilitating family adaptation and disease management.

Methodology: A comprehensive review of literature from PubMed and Google Scholar was conducted, prioritizing peer-reviewed articles published between 2018 and 2025. The analysis synthesized key themes regarding family Quality of Life (QoL), the economic implications of the GFD, and the utility of digital support tools.

Results: Families managing CD experience elevated psychological distress, characterized as a "ripple effect" that disrupts domestic dynamics and spontaneity. Economic data confirms a "double burden": gluten-free products are up to 183% more expensive yet often nutritionally inferior to standard alternatives. While digital innovations like mobile apps and e-learning significantly enhance self-management and psychosocial well-being, challenges remain regarding the reliability of portable sensors, unverified online information, and the digital divide.

Conclusions: Effective CD management requires a paradigm shift from patient-centered to family-centered care. Modern technologies offer vital support for the transitioning to patient autonomy but require professional oversight to mitigate anxiety. Addressing the socio-economic and nutritional disparities of the GFD constitutes an urgent public health priority.

KEYWORDS

Celiac Disease, Family Burden, Quality of Life, mHealth, Caregiver Stress, Social Support Technologies

CITATION

Paulina Jarząbek, Radosław Gryko, Aleksandra Włodarczyk, Norbert Grabias, Jędrzej Piotrowski, Maria Rajkowska, Julia Weronika Mieszowska, Bernard Myszewski, Anna Kinga Tejchma, Łukasz Dominik Woźniak. (2026) Celiac Disease as a Family Illness: An Analysis of Emotional Burden, Lifestyle Changes, and the Role of Modern Support Technologies Within the Family System - A Narrative Review. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 1(49). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.1(49).2026.4825

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2026.** This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

Celiac Disease (CD) is a systemic autoimmune disorder triggered by gluten ingestion in genetically predisposed individuals (Singh et al., 2018). Global data indicates a prevalence of approximately 1%, with rising diagnostic rates averaging an annual increase of 7.5%. Currently, the sole effective medical intervention is strict, lifelong adherence to a Gluten-Free Diet (GFD) (Shiha & Sanders, 2025). While clinical remission depends on dietary compliance, the practical management of CD extends far beyond the individual, functioning as a collective "family illness."

Unlike conditions managed primarily through pharmacotherapy, CD demands a fundamental restructuring of the household routine. This necessity forces families to navigate complex logistical challenges, particularly regarding social gatherings, travel, and dining out (Abreu Paiva et al., 2019). The psychosocial weight of this adjustment is substantial. Diagnoses often trigger "hypervigilance" in caregivers, which is a perpetual alertness regarding food safety that frequently drives social withdrawal and avoidance behaviors (Wolf et al., 2018).

An integrative review by Satherley et al. (2020) confirms that the psychological well-being of parents is significantly compromised, with profound disruptions in social lives and family relationships. Furthermore, this burden follows a socio-economic gradient. Caregivers with limited financial resources or lower educational attainment report higher levels of distress. This suggests that the financial pressure of the GFD, combined with limited coping mechanisms, amplifies the daily strain of disease management. This financial

anxiety is grounded in a harsh economic reality. Estévez et al. (2024) describe a "double burden" where essential gluten-free foods are both scarce and exorbitantly priced. In the US, gluten-free items can cost up to 183% more than standard wheat products (Lee et al., 2019), while in Norway, patients pay premiums for nutritionally inferior alternatives (Myhrstad et al., 2021). Moreover, many processed gluten-free products are high in sugar, sodium, and saturated fats, yet deficient in fiber and protein, posing risks of metabolic imbalances (Calvo-Lerma et al., 2019; Estévez et al., 2024; Melini & Melini, 2019). These challenges create a "ripple effect," disrupting family traditions and spontaneity (Stahl & Silvester, 2020). However, the rapidly evolving field of digital health offers new avenues for support. From telemedicine platforms reducing logistical barriers (Haimi & Lerner, 2024) to gamified mobile apps (Meyer & Naveh, 2021) and AI-driven educational tools (Connan et al., 2019), technology is reshaping CD management, promising to move the responsibility from parental oversight to patient autonomy (Basil et al., 2025).

2. Methodology

Study Design and Search Strategy

This study was designed as a comprehensive narrative review to synthesize diverse literature regarding the psychosocial and technological aspects of Celiac Disease management. Electronic databases, specifically PubMed and Google Scholar, were searched to identify relevant studies using keywords such as: "Celiac Disease family burden," "caregiver stress," "Gluten-Free Diet economic cost," "mHealth in celiac disease," "telemedicine," and "quality of life."

Eligibility Criteria

The review included peer-reviewed articles, observational studies, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and systematic reviews published primarily between 2018 and 2025. This timeframe ensures the inclusion of recent data on digital health technologies and current economic trends. Articles were eligible if published in English with full-text access. Studies focusing solely on clinical pathophysiology without addressing psychosocial or technological dimensions were excluded.

Data Extraction and Analytic Approach

Data were extracted into three thematic categories: Emotional and Psychological Burden, Lifestyle and Socio-Economic Challenges, and Role of Modern Technologies. A qualitative synthesis approach was employed to identify converging evidence (e.g., high costs of GFD) and divergent outcomes (e.g., mixed impacts of portable sensors), allowing for a holistic assessment of the intersection between technology and family life.

3. Results

3.1. The Emotional and Psychological Burden on the Family

Receiving a CD diagnosis imposes a heavy emotional weight on the family system. Wolf et al. (2018) emphasize that families frequently operate in a state of "hypervigilance," necessitating constant monitoring of food safety. This anxiety is a leading cause of "social shrinking" which involves voluntarily restricting dining out, travel, and attendance at gatherings to mitigate the risk of cross-contamination. (Wolf et al., 2018; Satherley et al., 2020). Such avoidance behaviors significantly disrupt normal social dynamics and Quality of Life (Abreu Paiva et al., 2019).

Expanding on this perspective, Satherley et al. (2020) indicate that while families often attain clinical competence, the emotional toll persists. This burden is not uniform but follows a distinct socio-economic gradient. Caregivers facing financial instability or lower educational attainment report elevated anxiety. For these households, limited resources act as a "stress multiplier," rendering daily management significantly more overwhelming compared to affluent families.

This "ripple effect" permeates the household structure, fundamentally altering family identity through constant vigilance. Stahl and Silvester (2020) note that the diet interrupts core traditions involving food, forcing families to mourn the loss of spontaneity. Although the responsibility of ensuring safety falls disproportionately on mothers, the diagnosis also reconfigures the sibling dynamic in complex ways. Healthy siblings may experience feelings of neglect due to the attention focused on the celiac child's dietary needs, or conversely, adopt a role of "secondary protectors," displaying hypervigilance on behalf of their sibling. This forced maturity can strengthen family bonds but may also impose an undue psychological burden on young children who feel responsible for medical safety (Stahl & Silvester, 2020; Wolf et al., 2018).

Regarding pediatric patients, Rozensztrauch and Mostyńska (2025) demonstrated that the emotional health of children with CD suffers significantly. Using the PedsQL 4.0 scale, researchers identified Emotional

Functioning as the weakest domain ($M=58.7$), revealing a substantial deficit compared to healthy peers ($M=80.0$). The data indicated that 43% of children felt distressed at family events, and 48% encountered difficulties in schools. Notably, adolescents demonstrated worse outcomes than younger children, attributed to developmental factors like peer pressure and stigma.

3.2. Lifestyle Modifications and Socio-Economic Challenges

A primary barrier to adherence is the cost and availability of gluten-free products. Estévez et al. (2024) describe a "double burden": the basic Gluten-Free Food Basket is 23.3% more expensive than standard diets, while availability in lower-income areas drops significantly (30% vs. 42.9%).

This economic disparity is corroborated globally. In the US, gluten-free products can cost up to 183% more than wheat-based versions (Lee et al., 2019). Similarly, Myhrstad et al. (2021) found that in Norway, patients are forced to navigate a market where expensive alternatives offer inferior nutritional value - specifically, lower protein content and higher levels of saturated fats and sugar. This underscores a systemic problem: the financial cost of the diet increases the risk of nutritional imbalances instead of solving them.

Moreover, Estévez et al. (2024) warn that 87.7% of gluten-free bakery items are ultra-processed. Calvo-Lerma et al. (2019) examined the nutritional profiles of 654 products in Europe and confirmed a "protein gap" in European products, particularly in gluten-free pasta, which provides 50% less protein than wheat pasta. This is primarily due to manufacturers' reliance on refined starches (such as rice or corn) instead of whole grains. Consequently, without careful planning, the diet exposes patients to micronutrient deficiencies, particularly in iron and folate (Melini & Melini, 2019).

The reliance on such ultra-processed, low-cost gluten-free alternatives presents a looming public health concern. According to the prevailing nutritional model of the gluten-free diet, which is characterized by high caloric density and low micronutrient value, an increased risk for obesity and metabolic syndrome in adulthood is placed on patients. This creates a paradox where the treatment for an autoimmune condition becomes a risk factor for lifestyle-related diseases, potentially increasing long-term healthcare costs (Calvo-Lerma et al., 2019; Melini & Melini, 2019; Estévez et al., 2024).

Finally, managing a "mixed kitchen" adds logistical complexity. The Codex Alimentarius Commission defines gluten-free as less than 20 parts per million (ppm) of gluten. A study showed that while cooking gluten-free pasta in the same water as regular pasta resulted in gluten transfer above 20 ppm in all samples, using shared toasters or utensils (when cleaned) is generally safe. Distinguishing between these high-risk and low-risk activities is crucial to mitigating hypervigilance. (Weisbrod et al., 2020). These economic and nutritional challenges highlight the precise areas where low-cost digital tools and educational apps discussed in the next section can intervene.

3.3. The Role of Modern Technologies in Family Support

The digital revolution offers practical solutions to these burdens. New technologies in health and social science are reshaping how CD is managed in several ways:

1. Mobile Applications: The development of mobile health (mHealth) is becoming a crucial support system for shifting responsibility from parental control to patient independence. Meyer and Naveh (2021) highlight that modern apps, like "Plan My C-Day," use gamification to teach self-management. By simulating high-risk social scenarios, the app significantly improved adolescents' risk assessment skills, achieving a System Usability Scale score of 3.71 out of 5. Regarding automated support, the Text Message Automated Compliance Help (TEACH) program sent text messages containing educational quizzes, lifestyle tips, and emotional support, resulting in an 81% engagement rate. Basil et al. (2025) reported statistically significant improvements in PROMIS Global Mental Health (50.8 to 53.5, $p=0.01$) and Physical Health scores (50.8 to 57.7, $p=0.03$). Additionally, Patient Activation Measure scores rose from 63.1 to 72.5 ($p=0.01$), indicating enhanced engagement. In a randomized controlled trial ($n=60$), Nikniaz et al. (2022) evaluated an Android app featuring gluten-free databases and label guides. After three months, the intervention group demonstrated a significant reduction in indigestion compared to controls ($p=0.002$). This improvement is attributed to the app bridging knowledge gaps and preventing inadvertent gluten exposure. Similarly, the MyHealthyGut application was developed to assist adults in CD management. A study involving 115 individuals demonstrated improved Quality of Life and reduced anxiety. Paradoxically, self-reported dietary adherence scores declined. Researchers interpret this not as regression, but as increased vigilance regarding previously overlooked cross-contamination risks, identifying the tool as most beneficial for newly diagnosed patients. (Dowd et al., 2018; 2020).

2. E-learning: For complex cases involving comorbid Type 1 Diabetes, Connan et al. (2019) designed and validated an interactive e-learning module tailored for caregivers and children managing both conditions simultaneously. Participants gave the module a satisfaction rating of 4.67 out of 5, describing it as both engaging and easy to use. Most importantly, the intervention led to a marked improvement in the families' grasp of the GFD, with knowledge test scores increasing significantly from start to finish ($P = 0.001$). This technological aid frees up time during medical appointments, allowing patients to ask personalized questions instead of reviewing basic educational material, thereby easing the load of managing two chronic illnesses at once (Connan et al., 2019).

3. Social Media: Digital platforms have evolved into fundamental resources for managing CD. Al Sarkhy (2020) found that 96% of patients utilize these channels, predominantly WhatsApp (82.5%) and Instagram (62.3%) to build robust online communities. These spaces allow users to exchange recipes, share personal experiences which effectively helps reduce social isolation. Additionally, users rely on them for information about the GFD (83%) or to find safe dining spots (64.2%). However, a major challenge remains with the reliability of the information shared, as content is often generated by other patients (37.7%) rather than healthcare professionals (Al Sarkhy, 2020). Furthermore, integrating technology into family life requires a balanced approach. While platforms like Instagram host a massive community with over 1.2 million celiac-related posts, families must navigate an environment where misinformation is a real risk. Approximately 13% of relevant content on Facebook has been identified as misleading (Basil et al., 2025). On a positive note, online programs that emphasize self-compassion have demonstrated promise in enhancing overall psychological well-being (Dowd et al., 2022).

4. Portable Gluten Sensors: Devices like Nima sensor, allow on-the-go testing but require caution. While the sensors proved to be highly accurate (96–98%) when testing simple samples, their performance varied wildly, dropping to between 45% and 93%, in complex food matrices. Major technical flaws include difficulties with thick textures and the "high hook effect", (false negatives at high gluten concentrations). Therefore, these sensors should be viewed as helpful add-ons rather than a substitute for strict dietary caution (Marić & Scherf, 2021). Psychologically, research highlights a generational gap: while these sensors reassure adults, they may increase anxiety in adolescents due to the pressure of testing (Basil et al., 2025).

5. Telemedicine and Artificial Intelligence (AI): Haimi and Lerner (2024) emphasize that telemedicine reduces logistical burdens like travel costs. The addition of AI and chatbots strengthens this support system by offering 24/7 interactive help. A "hybrid model" that blends remote consultations with essential in-person physical exams for the most effective, patient-focused care is recommended. (Haimi & Lerner, 2024). Moreover, studies show that continuous remote monitoring improves adherence and disease knowledge, with high satisfaction rates (86%) among patients. For young adults, online consultations are associated with better health issue detection compared to standard care (Basil et al., 2025).

While the proliferation of these digital tools offers unprecedented support, it simultaneously introduces a new dimension of inequality known as the "digital divide." Access to advanced mHealth applications often requires smartphones and stable internet connections. Consequently, families from lower socio-economic backgrounds, who are already struggling with the high cost of gluten-free food, may also be excluded from these technological benefits. Furthermore, the integration of AI raises critical questions regarding data privacy and the ethical management of sensitive pediatric health records (Estévez et al., 2024; Haimi & Lerner, 2024).

4. Discussion

The comprehensive analysis of the reviewed literature confirms that CD cannot be managed effectively when viewed solely through a biomedical lens. Instead, it operates as a complex "family illness" where the burden of treatment, specifically the strict GFD, creates a ripple effect impacting the psychological, financial, and social well-being of the entire household (Satherley et al., 2020).

A critical finding of this review is the "nutritional paradox" facing families. While adherence to a GFD is the only available medical intervention, the current market landscape often forces a trade-off between dietary compliance and metabolic health. The "double burden" of high costs and inferior nutritional quality suggests that families, particularly those with lower socioeconomic status, are structurally disadvantaged (Estévez et al., 2024; Lee et al., 2019). The reliance on ultra-processed gluten-free substitutes, characterized by the "protein gap" and high glycemic indices, raises concerns that the treatment for an autoimmune disorder may inadvertently pave the way for long-term lifestyle diseases, such as obesity and metabolic syndrome (Calvo-Lerma et al., 2019; Myhrstad et al., 2021; Melini & Melini, 2019). This indicates an urgent need for policy interventions to address food quality rather than viewing gluten-free products merely as lifestyle choices.

Psychologically, the transition from diagnosis to daily management is marked by a pervasive state of "hypervigilance" (Wolf et al., 2018). While this alertness is necessary to prevent mucosal damage, this review highlights its detrimental impact on family dynamics, leading to "social shrinking" and the loss of spontaneity (Stahl & Silvester, 2020). The data suggests that the caregiver's burden, predominantly borne by mothers, often exceeds the stress of the diagnosis itself, manifesting as chronic anxiety and social isolation. This underscores the necessity for clinical protocols to include psychological screening for caregivers to mitigate the risk of parental burnout (Abreu Paiva et al., 2019). Furthermore, the emotional impact extends to children, who demonstrate significantly lower emotional functioning compared to healthy peers, exacerbated by social stigma and fear of cross-contamination (Rozensztrauch & Mostyńska, 2025).

The role of modern technology in mitigating these burdens appears dualistic. On one hand, mHealth and e-learning platforms demonstrate clear efficacy in facilitating the critical developmental shift from parental control to patient autonomy, especially for adolescents (Basil et al., 2025; Meyer & Naveh, 2021). Tools that gamify education or provide reliable databases effectively bridge the knowledge gap that often fuels anxiety (Connan et al., 2019). On the other hand, this review identifies a risk where technology may exacerbate distress. The use of portable gluten sensors, while theoretically empowering, introduces ambiguity through technical limitations, potentially reinforcing obsessive-compulsive behaviors regarding food safety rather than alleviating them (Marić & Scherf, 2021). Additionally, the reliance on social media creates a vulnerability to misinformation, where unverified advice can contradict medical guidelines (Al Sarkhy, 2020).

Finally, the integration of AI and telemedicine introduces a new dimension of inequality. While these tools offer logistical relief, the "digital divide" implies that families with limited financial resources are least likely to access advanced digital interventions (Haimi & Lerner, 2024). Consequently, while technology offers a pathway to personalized medicine, without equitable access, it risks widening the health disparity gap among the celiac population (Estévez et al., 2024). Future management models must therefore integrate family-centered psychological support with strategic technological implementation to ensure these tools serve as mechanisms for empowerment rather than additional stress.

5. Conclusions

The comprehensive analysis presented in this review underscores a critical paradigm shift: Celiac Disease should no longer be categorized solely as a clinical condition affecting the individual, but rather as a pervasive "family illness." It fundamentally restructures the psychosocial, logistical, and economic dynamics of the household. The burden of management falls disproportionately on caregivers, particularly mothers, who often assume the role of "invisible patients," navigating chronic hypervigilance and social isolation to ensure food safety.

Furthermore, the review highlights the systemic inequities of the Gluten-Free Diet. Families confront a "double burden" of exorbitant costs, up to 183% higher than standard foods and frequent nutritional inferiority. The market paradox, where expensive substitutes are often ultra-processed and deficient in essential nutrients, places families in a position where medical adherence may inadvertently increase metabolic risks. This suggests the current socio-economic environment is maladapted to support long-term patient health without substantial scaffolding.

In response, modern technologies have emerged as a powerful, though complex, component of family support. Digital interventions, particularly mHealth apps and e-learning, demonstrate clear efficacy in enhancing self-management and facilitating the transition to adolescent autonomy. Telemedicine and AI effectively reduce logistical barriers. However, technology is not a universal panacea. The digital divide, the ambiguity of portable sensors, and unverified social media advice can exacerbate anxiety, highlighting the need for careful selection of digital tools.

Ultimately, effective management of Celiac Disease requires a holistic approach extending beyond clinical care. Future models must integrate family-centered psychological support and advocate for policy changes regarding food affordability and quality. The integration of digital technologies into practice should be strategic, ensuring these tools serve as mechanisms for empowerment rather than sources of additional stress.

Disclosures**Author's Contributions:**

Conceptualization: Paulina Jarzabek
 Methodology: Paulina Jarzabek, Julia Mieszkowska
 Software: Norbert Grabias, Paulina Jarzabek
 Check: Anna Tejchma, Paulina Jarzabek
 Validation / Check: Maria Rajkowska, Paulina Jarzabek
 Formal Analysis: Paulina Jarzabek, Aleksandra Włodarczyk
 Investigation: Radosław Gryko, Paulina Jarzabek
 Resources: Jędrzej Piotrowski, Paulina Jarzabek
 Data curation: Łukasz Woźniak, Paulina Jarzabek
 Writing – rough preparation: Bernard Myszewski, Paulina Jarzabek
 Writing – Review and Editing: Julia Mieszkowska, Paulina Jarzabek
 Supervision / Project Administration: Paulina Jarzabek, Norbert Grabias

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement: The author received no external funding for this work.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable; this review included only published data.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All supporting data are available within the cited peer-reviewed literature.

Acknowledgments: The author acknowledges the contribution of investigators and data curators whose high-quality research underpins the advances reviewed herein.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Declaration of the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process: In preparing this work, the authors used ChatGPT for the purpose of improving language and readability. After using this tool, the authors have reviewed and edited the content as needed and accept full responsibility for the substantive content of the publication.

REFERENCES

1. Abreu Paiva, L. M., Gandolfi, L., Pratesi, R., Harumi Uenishi, R., Puppini Zandonadi, R., Nakano, E. Y., & Pratesi, C. B. (2019). Measuring quality of life in parents or caregivers of children and adolescents with celiac disease: Development and content validation of the questionnaire. *Nutrients*, *11*(10), 2302. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11102302>
2. Al Sarkhy, A. (2020). Social media usage pattern and its influencing factors among celiac patients and their families. *Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology*, *26*(2), 99–104. https://doi.org/10.4103/sjg.SJG_495_19
3. Basil, A., Littlejohn, B., Perl, J., & Adams, D. W. (2025). Use of technology to educate patients with celiac disease. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, *40*(5), 1031–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ncp.11324>
4. Calvo-Lerma, J., Crespo-Escobar, P., Martínez-Barona, S., Fornés-Ferrer, V., Donat, E., & Ribes-Koninckx, C. (2019). Differences in the macronutrient and dietary fibre profile of gluten-free products as compared to their gluten-containing counterparts. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *73*(6), 930–936. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-018-0385-6>
5. Connan, V., Marcon, M. A., Mahmud, F. H., Assor, E., Martincevic, I., Bandsma, R. H., Vresk, L., & Walsh, C. M. (2019). Online education for gluten-free diet teaching: Development and usability testing of an e-learning module for children with concurrent celiac disease and type 1 diabetes. *Pediatric Diabetes*, *20*(3), 293–303. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12815>
6. Dowd, A. J., Jackson, C., Tang, K. T. Y., Nielsen, D., Clarkin, D. H., & Culos-Reed, S. N. (2018). MyHealthyGut: Development of a theory-based self-regulatory app to effectively manage celiac disease. *mHealth*, *4*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.21037/mhealth.2018.05.05>
7. Dowd, A. J., Tang, K., Chen, M., Jung, M., Mosewich, A., Welstead, L., & Culos-Reed, S. (2022). Improvements in self-compassion after an online program for adults with celiac disease: Findings from the POWER-C study. *Self and Identity*, *22*, 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2022.2074091>
8. Dowd, A. J., Warbeck, C. B., Tang, K. T., Fung, T., & Culos-Reed, S. N. (2020). MyHealthyGut: Findings from a pilot randomized controlled trial on adherence to a gluten-free diet and quality of life among adults with celiac disease or gluten intolerance. *Digital Health*, *6*, 2055207620903627. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2055207620903627>

9. Estévez, V., Rodríguez, J. M., Schlack, P., Navarrete, P., Bascuñán, K. A., Núñez, V., Oyarce, C., Flores, C., Ayala, J., & Araya, M. (2024). Persistent barriers of the gluten-free basic food basket: Availability, cost, and nutritional composition assessment. *Nutrients*, *16*(6), 885. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16060885>
10. Haimi, M., & Lerner, A. (2024). Utilizing telemedicine applications in celiac disease and other gluten-free-diet-dependent conditions: Insights from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Healthcare*, *12*(11), 1132. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12111132>
11. Lee, A. R., Wolf, R. L., Lebowohl, B., Ciaccio, E. J., & Green, P. H. R. (2019). Persistent economic burden of the gluten free diet. *Nutrients*, *11*(2), 399. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11020399>
12. Marić, A., & Scherf, K. A. (2021). A portable gluten sensor for celiac disease patients may not always be reliable depending on the food and the user. *Frontiers in nutrition*, *8*, 712992. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.712992>
13. Melini, V., & Melini, F. (2019). Gluten-free diet: Gaps and needs for a healthier diet. *Nutrients*, *11*(1), 170. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11010170>
14. Meyer, S., & Naveh, G. (2021). Mobile application for promoting gluten-free diet self-management in adolescents with celiac disease: Proof-of-concept study. *Nutrients*, *13*(5), 1401. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13051401>
15. Myhrstad, M. C. W., Slydahl, M., Hellmann, M., Garnweidner-Holme, L., Lundin, K. E. A., Henriksen, C., & Telle-Hansen, V. H. (2021). Nutritional quality and costs of gluten-free products: A case-control study of food products on the Norwegian market. *Food & Nutrition Research*, *65*. <https://doi.org/10.29219/fnr.v65.6121>
16. Nikniaz, Z., Namvar, Z. A., Shirmohammadi, M., & Maserat, E. (2022). Smartphone application for celiac patients: Assessing its effect on gastrointestinal symptoms in a randomized controlled clinical trial. *International Journal of Telemedicine and Applications*, *2022*, 8027532. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8027532>
17. Rozensztrauch, A., & Mostyńska, P. (2025). Quality of life in children with celiac disease: An observational study. *Nutrients*, *17*(6), 1085. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu17061085>
18. Satherley, R. M., Coburn, S. S., & Germone, M. (2020). The impact of celiac disease on caregivers' well-being: An integrative review. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, *70*(3), 295–303. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000002572>
19. Shiha, M. G., & Sanders, D. S. (2025). What is new in the management of coeliac disease? *European Journal of Internal Medicine*, *134*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2025.01.028>
20. Singh, P., Arora, A., Strand, T. A., & Makharia, G. K. (2018). Global prevalence of celiac disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology: the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association*, *16*(6), 823–836.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2017.06.037>
21. Stahl, M. G., & Silvester, J. A. (2020). The gluten-free family ripple effect: The tides that bond and the tides that divide. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, *65*(10), 2754–2755. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-020-06428-7>
22. Weisbrod, V. M., Silvester, J. A., Raber, C., McMahon, J., Coburn, S. S., & Kerzner, B. (2020). Preparation of gluten-free foods alongside gluten-containing food may not always be as risky for celiac patients as diet guides suggest. *Gastroenterology*, *158*(1), 273–275. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.09.007>
23. Wolf, R. L., Lebowohl, B., Lee, A. R., Zybert, P., Reilly, N. R., Cadenhead, J., Amengual, C., & Green, P. H. R. (2018). Hypervigilance to a gluten-free diet and decreased quality of life in teenagers and adults with celiac disease. *Digestive diseases and sciences*, *63*(6), 1438–1448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-018-4936-4>