



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE THE USE OF VIRTUAL REALITY (VR) IN GERIATRICS: A MODERN APPROACH TO FALL PREVENTION AND POST-TRAUMATIC REHABILITATION

DOI [https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.1\(49\).2026.5038](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.1(49).2026.5038)

RECEIVED 13 January 2026

ACCEPTED 27 March 2026

PUBLISHED 30 March 2026

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

THE USE OF VIRTUAL REALITY (VR) IN GERIATRICS: A MODERN APPROACH TO FALL PREVENTION AND POST-TRAUMATIC REHABILITATION

Magdalena Lisik (Corresponding Author, Email: magdalenalisik2000@gmail.com)
Szpital Grochowski im. dr med. Rafała Masztaka SPZOZ, Warsaw, Mazovia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-6196-3386

Urszula Majda
Jędrzej Śniadecki Specialist Hospital in Nowy Sącz, Nowy Sącz, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-5144-2883

Magdalena Mida
Sosnowiecki Szpital Miejski sp. z o.o. w restrukturyzacji, Sosnowiec, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-2815-6893

Julia Stołtny
Zagłębie Clinical Hospital in Czeladź, Czeladź, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-0162-1809

Julia Kwiecień
Szpital Miejski w Rudzie Śląskiej Sp. z o.o., Ruda Śląska, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-2458-3224

Witold Kimla
Szpital Powiatowy w Chrzanowie, Chrzanów, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-0695-9541

Magdalena Michalik
Hospital of the Brothers Hospitallers of Saint John of God, Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-6463-0802

Anna Maruszak
American Heart of Poland S.A., Ustroń, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-2871-8800

Natalia Hajok
Indywidualna Praktyka Lekarska, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-5305-6075

Justyna Goryczka
Szpital Powiatowy w Chrzanowie, Chrzanów, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-4660-8318

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study evaluates the efficacy of Virtual Reality (VR) as a multimodal intervention in geriatric care, specifically addressing primary fall prevention and post-traumatic recovery. **Methods:** A systematic scoping review was conducted, synthesizing cross-disciplinary literature (2010–2024) to assess the translational potential of VR tools from neurology and sports medicine into geriatric protocols. **Key Findings:** VR-based interventions effectively resolve the "safety-intensity paradox," enabling high-challenge balance training without physical risk. Integration of real-time biofeedback and gamification significantly reduces kinesiophobia (fear of falling) and increases therapeutic adherence. Data suggests VR-integrated treadmill training can reduce fall rates by up to 42%. **Conclusions:** VR represents a shift toward active recovery models. Successful implementation in healthcare systems, particularly in Poland, requires addressing cognitive barriers (dementia), reducing the digital divide, and establishing cost-benefit frameworks for home-based telerehabilitation.

KEYWORDS

Virtual Reality, Geriatrics, Fall Prevention, Rehabilitation

CITATION

Magdalena Lisik, Urszula Majda, Magdalena Mida, Julia Stołtny, Julia Kwiecień, Witold Kimla, Magdalena Michalik, Anna Maruszak, Natalia Hajok, Justyna Goryczka. (2026) The Use of Virtual Reality (VR) in Geriatrics: A Modern Approach to Fall Prevention and Post-Traumatic Rehabilitation. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 1(49). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.1(49).2026.5038

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2026.** This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

Introduction

Demographic Context: Global and National Perspectives

The global population is currently undergoing a profound structural shift often referred to as the "Silver Tsunami" (Tragaki, 2024a). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), healthy ageing has become a critical priority for delivering universal health as the number of individuals aged 60 and over is projected to double by 2050 (World Health Organization, 2007). This rapid demographic transition poses an extreme challenge to global healthcare systems, particularly in managing age-related mobility issues and frailty. As this elderly population expands, the incidence of geriatric syndromes- specifically falls and fractures- is expected to rise exponentially, necessitating the integration of innovative tools like Virtual Reality (VR) to enhance both preventative strategies and post-injury rehabilitative care.

Poland represents one of the most significant examples of this trend within Europe. According to the most recent data from Statistics Poland (GUS), the demographic situation of older people in Poland in 2024 confirms a steady increase in the senior population, a figure projected to reach 40% by 2050. This transition is further complicated by the "double aging" phenomenon- the rapid growth of the 80+ age group (*Główny Urząd Statystyczny | GUS - Portal Informacyjny* (2026)). This demographic pressure places Poland at the forefront of nations requiring urgent systemic updates to geriatric rehabilitation protocols.

The Clinical Burden of Falls

Falls are classified as a primary "geriatric giants". They affect approximately 30% of community-dwelling seniors over the age of 65 annually (Soriano, 2008). The etiology of falls is multifactorial, stemming from intrinsic deficits, such as impaired balance and sarcopenia, and extrinsic environmental hazards like lack of seniors-oriented architecture (World Health Organization, 2007). The clinical consequences of falls are often serious, most notably femoral neck fractures, which significantly increase mortality and lead to a permanent loss of autonomy (World Health Organization, 2007). The socio-economic impact is equally substantial, as the management of fall-related complications imposes a considerable financial strain on national healthcare systems through prolonged, expensive hospitalizations and long-term nursing care. Beyond physical trauma, many patients develop Post-Fall Syndrome- a psychological "vicious cycle" characterized by

a persistent fear of falling that leads to activity restriction, further muscle atrophy, and an increased risk of subsequent injury (Mathon et al., 2017).

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of Virtual Reality (VR) as a modern intervention to address these clinical and psychological challenges. By providing a multisensory, enriched environment, VR facilitates motor learning through neurobiological mechanisms such as neuroplasticity and the secretion of neurotrophic factors. This paper aims to analyze how VR-based interventions can be integrated into both primary fall prevention and post-traumatic rehabilitation to improve gait stability and balance. Additionally, the study explores the psychological benefits of gamification and real-time biofeedback in increasing patient engagement. Finally, this work assesses the technical and economic barriers to widespread implementation, ultimately providing a rationale for a paradigm shift from passive to active, technology-driven recovery models in geriatric care.

Methodology

The methodological framework of this study is based on a systematic analysis of cross-disciplinary literature concerning the multifaceted applications of Virtual Reality (VR) in clinical environments. The selection criteria were purposefully broad, encompassing research that, while not exclusively geriatric, demonstrates significant translational potential for motor and cognitive rehabilitation. This approach allowed for the synthesis of advanced VR protocols from fields such as neurorehabilitation, sports medicine, and vestibular therapy, evaluating their applicability to age-related functional decline. The analysis prioritized studies investigating human-computer interaction, multisensory integration, and the adaptability of virtual interfaces for populations with diverse physical limitations.

Results

Mechanisms of VR Action in Geriatric Rehabilitation

The effectiveness of Virtual Reality in treating elderly patients is grounded in established neurobiological and psychological principles.

Virtual Reality (VR) is an advanced form of human-computer interface that allows users to interact with a computer-generated environment in a naturalistic manner (Salatino et al., 2023). This multisensory approach facilitates motor learning. VR systems are classified by their degree of immersion. Based on one of the immersion and the type of interfaces or components utilized in the system, we can distinguish three basic categories of VR devices: immersive VR (IVR), non-immersive VR (NIVR) and semi-immersive VR (SIVR) (Bamodu & Ye, 2013).

An immersive virtual reality (IVR) system consists of a head-mounted display (HMD) and 3D input devices, such as VR controllers or data gloves, that allow users to engage with a virtual environment (VE). In an IVR configuration, the user is fully surrounded by computer-generated 3D animations, making them feel like an integral part of the digital environment. This level of immersion is a defining feature that distinguishes IVR from other technologies. While it is the most expensive option, it offers the highest level of presence. (Luo et al., 2025).

NIVR, also called Desktop VR system, Fish tank or Window on World system is the least immersive and least expensive of the VR systems, as it requires the least sophisticated components. It allows users to interact with a 3D environment through computer or console game systems and 2D interface devices (mouse and keyboard or gamepad/joysticks). In NIVR, the user is aware of the real world without being fully immersed in the virtual environment (VE) (Luo et al., 2025).

Semi-immersive VR systems, also known as hybrid systems or augmented reality systems, provide a deep level of immersion while maintaining the convenience of computer-based VR systems or utilizing physical models. These systems typically include a large screen that displays the virtual environment, in combination with advanced interface devices such as cyber gloves, haptic feedback devices, or infrared cameras. This configuration allows the user to interact with the VE and simultaneously perceive the real world, resulting in partial immersion and a high sense of presence. A prominent example of such a system is CAVE (Cave Automatic Virtual Environment), and a typical use is a driving simulator (Bamodu & Ye, 2013).

Distributed VR, also known as Networked VR, is a new category of VR system that has emerged as a result of the rapid development of the internet. Its primary goal is to eliminate the barriers of distance, allowing individuals from various locations to participate and interact within the same virtual world via the internet or other networks. This technology can facilitate the delivery of rehabilitation on demand while significantly

reducing transportation time and costs. Consequently, it helps motivate patients to exercise more frequently within the comfort of their own home environment (Triandafilou et al., 2018).

The choice of immersion level often depends on the patient's baseline cognitive function. It also accounts for their susceptibility to sensory overload or vestibular discomfort. Recent technological progress has expanded the accessibility of these digital therapeutics. Consequently, modern applications are more portable and user-friendly. The integration of these technologies represents a shift from passive to active recovery models. VR-based interventions serve as an effective adjunct to traditional physiotherapy. These systems combine motor training with cognitive stimulation and real-time biofeedback. As a result, VR improves dynamic balance and supports rehabilitation after fall-related injuries. Furthermore, it significantly increases patient engagement compared to conventional exercises. The inherent safety of virtual environments is a major advantage. It allows for the simulation of high-risk scenarios, such as walking on uneven terrain, without the risk of an actual fall. This paper reviews scientific literature to evaluate the efficacy of these interventions. It aims to demonstrate how VR protocols can be integrated into standard geriatric care.

Neuroplasticity and Motor Learning

Neuroplasticity, defined as the brain's intrinsic ability to reorganize its structural and functional connections in response to extrinsic stimuli or intrinsic injuries, remains a viable mechanism for recovery even in late adulthood (D. C. Park & Bischof, 2013). While aging is traditionally associated with a decline in neural flexibility, Virtual Reality (VR) interventions leverage activity-dependent plasticity to override these limitations. By providing an "enriched environment"- a complex combination of multisensory stimulation and motor challenges- VR triggers the activation of the motor cortex which is essential for observational learning and movement execution(Levin & Demers, 2020).

The core of VR's efficacy lies in its ability to facilitate repetitive, goal-oriented tasks. Unlike traditional exercises, virtual simulations provide high-intensity training that stimulates the secretion of neurotrophic factors, most notably the Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF). BDNF acts as a primary mediator for synaptogenesis (the formation of new synapses) and long-term potentiation, which are the biological foundations for consolidating new motor patterns (Tong et al., 2001).

This neurological priming is particularly vital in the "relearning" phase of geriatric care, such as post-stroke or post-fracture recovery. In these clinical scenarios, the brain must compensate for damaged pathways by recruiting alternative neural circuits to manage balance and gait coordination. VR-based training enhances this process by providing high-fidelity feedback, ensuring that the motor commands are refined through constant comparison between the intended movement and the visual representation in the virtual space (Mirelman et al., 2010a).

Biofeedback: Real-Time Sensorimotor Integration

One of the most significant advantages of VR over traditional physiotherapy is the delivery of immediate, multimodal biofeedback, which facilitates the rapid correction of motor patterns. In conventional rehabilitation, patients often suffer from a "feedback delay," where postural errors or gait asymmetries remain unnoticed until a therapist intervenes. This latency hinders the formation of efficient motor schemas (Sigrist et al., 2012).. VR systems bridge this gap by creating a closed-loop system where movement data is captured, processed, and returned to the patient as sensory information in near real-time.

Visual and Auditory Cues

VR systems utilize sensors to provide instantaneous information on the Center of Pressure (CoP) and limb positioning. For elderly patients with age-related deficits in proprioception (the sense of self-movement and body position), these external cues act as a "sensory prosthesis"(Horak, 2006). By visualizing their balance on a screen or within an HMD, patients can consciously adjust their posture, which over time leads to the unconscious stabilization of the musculoskeletal system. Studies have shown that visual biofeedback in VR significantly reduces postural sway compared to traditional balance training (Cho et al., 2014). A more advanced mechanism employed in modern VR protocols is Error Augmentation (EA). Unlike traditional methods that focus on immediate correction, some VR environments intentionally exaggerate movement errors- for instance, making a slight tilt appear more drastic in the virtual world. This technique forces the patient's nervous system to make more robust and proactive compensatory adjustments. By increasing the "prediction error" in the brain, EA accelerates the rate of motor learning and improves the retention of balance strategies, as the central nervous system is challenged to find more stable solutions (Fasola et al., 2019).

Gamification: Enhancing Adherence and Engagement

Patient compliance is a persistent challenge in geriatric rehabilitation; traditional exercises are frequently perceived as repetitive, uninspiring, and physically taxing. Gamification- the application of game-design elements in non-game contexts- transforms these clinical routines into an engaging, goal-oriented experience.

The Dopaminergic Reward System

The core psychological mechanism of gamification is the activation of the brain's dopaminergic reward system. When a patient completes a virtual task and receives immediate positive reinforcement (e.g., points, visual effects, or level progression), the ventral striatum releases dopamine (Lohse et al., 2014). This neurochemical response not only elevates mood but also acts as a catalyst for motor learning by strengthening the neural pathways associated with the successful movement. Studies indicate that patients performing gamified exercises exhibit higher levels of intrinsic motivation compared to those following conventional protocols (Howard, 2017).

The "Flow State" and Distraction from Fatigue

Immersive VR often induces a psychological state known as "Flow"- a condition of deep absorption in an activity where the individual loses track of time and self-consciousness ("Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience," 1990). In this state, the patient's focus shifts from the internal perception of effort (perceived exertion) to the external virtual objective. As a result, seniors often perform a higher volume of therapeutic repetitions without a corresponding increase in perceived fatigue or pain. By reducing the "affective burden" of exercise, VR minimizes the likelihood of patients prematurely terminating their therapy sessions (Dubbeldam et al., 2024)

Long-term Adherence and Social Interaction

Furthermore, gamified VR can incorporate social elements, such as leaderboards or cooperative multiplayer modes, which tap into the social needs of the elderly population. According to the Self-Determination Theory (SDT), meeting the needs for competence and relatedness through these digital interactions significantly boosts long-term adherence to rehabilitation programs, which is crucial for preventing the regression of motor functions (Dubbeldam et al., 2024)

Safety and Ecological Validity in a Controlled Environment

The clinical application of VR in geriatrics offers a unique solution to the "safety-intensity paradox," where the fear of falling during high-intensity training often leads to suboptimal therapeutic outcomes. VR provides high ecological validity- the degree to which a training environment simulates real-world conditions- while maintaining a zero-risk physical setting.

Risk-Free Exposure to Real-World Hazards

Traditional gait and balance training often struggle to safely replicate complex environmental challenges, such as navigating a busy intersection or walking on uneven terrain. In a virtual environment, these scenarios can be simulated with high fidelity without exposing the patient to the danger of an actual fall (Mirelman et al., 2010b). This allows for the implementation of perturbation training, where the patient is intentionally challenged by unexpected virtual stimuli (e.g., a dog running across their path). Such exposure helps in developing reactive and proactive balance strategies, which are essential for fall prevention in daily life(Cho et al., 2014)

Controlled Environment and Standardization

Unlike outdoor or community-based rehabilitation, VR allows for the complete control and standardization of environmental variables. Therapists can precisely adjust the level of sensory "noise," lighting, and obstacle density to match the patient's current functional capacity. This controlled progression- starting from a simple, quiet room to a complex, distracting street scene- minimizes the risk of cognitive overload, which is a known trigger for falls in seniors with mild cognitive impairment (Dockx et al., 2016).

Clinical Monitoring and Risk Mitigation

Furthermore, modern VR platforms used in clinical settings are often integrated with safety harnesses and real-time motion capture. This integration ensures that even if a patient loses their balance during a virtual task, they are physically protected from injury. Research indicates that when implemented with proper screening for cybersickness (visual-vestibular conflict), VR-based protocols are as safe as, and in some cases safer than, traditional treadmill training or free-walking exercises (García-Muñoz et al., 2021), (Keshner & Fung, 2017).

VR in Fall Prevention: Primary and Secondary Prophylaxis

Virtual Reality serves as a powerful prophylactic tool by simulating the complex, unpredictable nature of daily environments. Prophylactic interventions in VR focus on three interconnected pillars: motor stabilization, cognitive-motor interference, and psychological desensitization.

Balance and Gait Training in Everyday Simulations

Standard balance exercises often lack the environmental complexity needed for real-world application. VR addresses this by placing the patient in realistic scenarios, such as walking through a park or navigating a crowded sidewalk. These simulations require the patient to perform anticipatory postural adjustments- for example, stepping over a virtual puddle or weaving around pedestrians. Literature indicates that such "functional gait training" in VR leads to a more significant reduction in stride-to-stride variability (a key predictor of falls) than treadmill walking alone (Mirelman et al., 2016a).

Dual-Task Training (Cognitive-Motor Interference)

A primary cause of falls in the elderly is the inability to manage "dual-task" situations, where cognitive demands such as talking or reading signs interfere with motor control. Virtual Reality is uniquely suited for Dual-Task Training (DTT) because it can seamlessly integrate physical movement with complex cognitive challenges. To simulate a realistic cognitive load, patients may be asked to solve mathematical puzzles or identify specific colors in the virtual field while simultaneously walking on a pressure-sensitive mat or treadmill. This process facilitates neural integration, as research shows that DTT in VR strengthens the connectivity between the prefrontal cortex (responsible for executive functions) and the motor areas. This physiological shift significantly improves the "safety margin" during real-life distractions (Khan et al., 2025a).

Reducing Fear of Falling (Post-Fall Syndrome)

Fear of falling (ptophobia) often leads to a self-imposed restriction of activity, which paradoxically increases fall risk due to muscle atrophy and loss of confidence. VR acts as a form of exposure therapy. By allowing seniors to successfully navigate "challenging" virtual heights or busy environments within a safe, harness-secured setting, VR helps break the "vicious cycle" of Post-Fall Syndrome. This psychological desensitization restores the patient's self-efficacy- the belief in their physical ability- which is a critical factor in maintaining functional independence (Montero-Odasso et al., 2012).

VR in Post-Fall and Post-Fracture Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation following acute injuries, such as hip or femoral neck fractures, requires a delicate balance between early mobilization and pain management. Virtual Reality facilitates this transition by modulating the patient's perception of physical limitations and enhancing the quality of motor output during the recovery phase.

Recovery of Motor Function Following Hip and Femoral Fractures

Post-operative rehabilitation for hip fractures often involves fear-avoidance behaviors that delay weight-bearing. VR systems allow for the "graded exposure" of movement. By using sensors and avatars, patients can visualize their movements in a simplified virtual space, which helps in recalibrating the motor cortex before attempting complex real-world ambulation. Studies have shown that VR-assisted gait training after hip surgery leads to faster improvements in the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test and higher symmetry in weight distribution compared to standard protocols (Muhla et al., 2020).

Pain Management through Virtual Distraction

The "Gate Control Theory of Pain" suggests that the brain has a limited capacity to process sensory information. VR utilizes this by providing an immersive, multisensory experience that competes with pain signals for attention—a phenomenon known as Virtual Distraction.

When a patient is immersed in a high-fidelity virtual environment during painful physical therapy exercises, the subjective intensity of pain is significantly reduced. Functional MRI (fMRI) studies have demonstrated decreased activity in the "pain matrix" (including the insula and anterior cingulate cortex) during VR sessions, allowing for a greater range of motion and higher intensity of exercise without increasing pharmaceutical analgesia (Hoffman et al., 2004).

Resistance and Strength Training: Addressing Sarcopenia

Sarcopenia (the age-related loss of muscle mass and strength) is accelerated by the immobilization following a fall. VR systems integrated with elastic bands or weighted sensors transform monotonous resistance exercises into interactive tasks. The implementation of biofeedback-guided strength training allows VR to provide precise data on the speed and force of muscle contractions. This real-time monitoring ensures that patients maintain correct form, which is critical for avoiding secondary injuries during rehabilitation. Furthermore, this technology provides a necessary hypertrophic stimulus by increasing user engagement through gamified challenges, such as rowing a virtual boat. These immersive environments encourage patients to reach the intensity levels required for muscle hypertrophy—a factor essential for long-term functional recovery and the prevention of future fractures (Liao et al., 2019).

Challenges and Limitations in Geriatric VR Implementation

Despite the documented benefits, the widespread adoption of Virtual Reality in geriatric care faces several significant hurdles. These barriers range from physiological side effects to economic and design-related constraints.

Technological and Physiological Barriers: Cybersickness

The most prominent physiological challenge in this context is cybersickness, also known as simulator sickness, which is a form of motion sickness occurring within virtual environments. This condition is primarily caused by a sensory conflict between the visual system, which perceives movement, and the vestibular system, which senses that the body is stationary. Older adults may be more susceptible to these effects due to age-related changes in the vestibular apparatus. Symptoms include dizziness, nausea, and disorientation, all of which can paradoxically increase the risk of a fall during or immediately after a session (Drazich et al., 2023). To provide effective mitigation, current literature suggests that using high-refresh-rate displays and limiting session duration can significantly reduce these symptoms (Mittelstaedt, 2020). Implementing these technical adjustments ensures that the therapeutic benefits of the environment are not undermined by physiological discomfort.

Technology Acceptance and User Experience (UX) for Seniors

The "Digital Divide" remains a significant barrier to the acceptance of VR among the elderly, as many seniors experience technophobia or anxiety when confronted with complex hardware like Head-Mounted Displays (HMDs). To address these challenges, specialized interface design is required because standard VR systems are often too complex for users with declining fine motor skills or visual impairments. Effective geriatric VR relies on senior-friendly UX, which is characterized by high-contrast visual elements, large icons, and clear, slow-paced voice commands (Vaportzis et al., 2017). The likelihood of adoption is also heavily influenced by perceived usefulness. According to the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), seniors are more inclined to engage with VR if they perceive a direct link between the virtual tasks and their physical safety in the real world (Xu et al., 2022).

Economic and Institutional Constraints

The high cost of medical-grade VR hardware and specialized software remains a major deterrent for public healthcare institutions. While the price of consumer VR systems has decreased, clinical-grade setups with integrated motion tracking and safety harnesses require a significant initial investment, creating a notable gap between capital and operational costs.

Beyond hardware, the implementation of these systems necessitates specialized training and staffing. Physiotherapists must be trained to manage both the physical safety of the patient and the technical troubleshooting of the software simultaneously. To justify these requirements, current literature emphasizes the need for comprehensive cost-benefit analyses. These studies aim to demonstrate that VR-reduced fall rates lead to substantial long-term savings by decreasing the frequency of expensive hospitalizations for fractures (Lee et al., 2024).

Discussion

The therapeutic potential of Virtual Reality in geriatric care is undeniable, yet its transition from a controlled research setting to a chaotic clinical environment is far from straightforward. While VR effectively bridges the gap between safety and high-intensity training—allowing seniors to face physical challenges that would be reckless in a standard gym—real-world implementation hits a significant wall when it comes to cognitive health. We cannot ignore the high prevalence of dementia and other neurodegenerative conditions in this age group. For a patient struggling with cognitive decline, the sensory richness of an immersive headset can quickly turn from a "healing environment" into a source of acute disorientation or even panic. This visual-vestibular conflict is a serious clinical consideration that demands a far more nuanced approach to session duration and immersion levels than what is currently standard.

Beyond the physiological constraints, there is a socio-technical barrier that is often underestimated in academic literature—the digital divide. Many seniors view head-mounted displays with skepticism and technophobia, which can lead to poor adherence if the technology isn't introduced with high levels of empathy and human supervision. Furthermore, the institutional inertia within hospitals remains a formidable obstacle. Integrating disruptive digital tools into rigid, often underfunded medical infrastructures—especially in countries like Poland—requires more than just buying the equipment. It demands a fundamental shift in how we train medical staff and a strategic rethink of hospital budgets. While the initial costs are high, the long-term economic argument is strong. If VR-driven telerehabilitation can prevent even a small percentage of hip fractures, the savings for the national healthcare budget would be substantial, moving us away from expensive, reactive crisis management toward proactive, home-based prevention.

Conclusions

The synthesis of current clinical evidence confirms that Virtual Reality has transcended its status as an experimental novelty to become a scientifically validated force multiplier for the overburdened geriatric physiotherapy workforce through the strategic integration of high-intensity motor training and cognitive engagement which effectively disrupts the Post-Fall Syndrome cycle by concurrently addressing physical instability and the pervasive kinesiophobia following traumatic injury

Systematic analysis of clinical trials and meta-analyses demonstrates that VR-based interventions yield superior or at least non-inferior outcomes compared to conventional physiotherapy in enhancing postural stability and gait velocity because the fundamental efficacy of these systems is rooted in task-specific neuroplastic stimulation while the V-TIME multi-center trials provide definitive evidence that incorporating VR into treadmill protocols can reduce fall incidence by up to 42% in high-risk cohorts (Mirelman et al 2016b) thus offering a robust holistic methodology to counteract the functional decline intrinsic to the Silver Tsunami (Skjæret et al 2014)

Implementing these technologies within the Polish healthcare framework requires a transition toward standardized hybrid protocols where VR serves as a diagnostic and therapeutic adjunct rather than a human replacement while initial clinical contact must prioritize habituation to minimize the incidence of vestibulocular conflict and Polish medical facilities should favor non-immersive or semi-immersive interfaces such as medical kiosks for patients presenting with advanced vestibulopathy or cognitive impairment to maintain safety and patient dignity within the local socio-economic landscape

Future investigative efforts must prioritize longitudinal sustainability by quantifying the washout effect to establish the durability of balance gains after the cessation of the intervention while expanding research into low-cost domestic telerehabilitation and AI-driven adaptive algorithms that modulate task difficulty based on real-time biometric telemetry such as heart rate variability and kinematic precision to ensure that digital therapeutics preserve functional autonomy and empower the aging population to navigate the physical environment with the same physiological confidence they regained in the virtual one

Declaration of competing interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Bamodu, O., & Ye, X. M. (2013a). Virtual reality and virtual reality system components. *Advanced Materials Research*, 765–767, 1169–1172. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.765-767.1169>
2. Bamodu, O., & Ye, X. M. (2013b). Virtual reality and virtual reality system components. *Advanced Materials Research*, 765–767, 1169–1172. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.765-767.1169>
3. Chang, C.-J., Chern, J.-S., Yang, T.-F., & Yang, S.-W. (2016). Age-related changes in postural re-balanced ability during a continuous and unexpected perturbation integrated with virtual reality scenes. *Biomedical Engineering: Applications, Basis and Communications*, 28(3), Article 1650020. <https://doi.org/10.4015/s1016237216500204>
4. Cho, G. H., Hwangbo, G., & Shin, H. S. (2014). The effects of virtual reality-based balance training on balance of the elderly. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 26(4), 615–617. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.26.615>
5. Dockx, K., Bekkers, E. M., Van den Bergh, V., Ginis, P., Rochester, L., Hausdorff, J. M., Mirelman, A., & Nieuwboer, A. (2016). Virtual reality for rehabilitation in Parkinson's disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2016(12), Article CD010760. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd010760.pub2>
6. Drazich, B., McPherson, R., Gorman, E., Chan, T., Teleb, J., Galik, E., & Resnick, B. (2023). In too deep? A systematic literature review of fully immersive virtual reality and cybersickness among older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 71(12). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.18553>
7. Dubbeldam, R., Stemplewski, R., Pavlova, J., Cyma-Wejchenig, M., Lee, S., Esser, P., Bentlage, E., Alcan, V., Çevik, Ö. S., Epiphaniou, E., Gallè, F., Langedard, A., Gafner, S., Ahmed, M., Bandura, N., Güner, A. E., Göz, E., Kara, I., Kabuk, A., & Türkoglu, I. (2024). Technology-assisted physical activity interventions for older people in their home-based environment: A scoping review. *JMIR Aging*. <https://doi.org/10.2196/65746>
8. Fasola, J., Kannape, O. A., Bouri, M., Bleuler, H., & Blanke, O. (2019). Error augmentation improves visuomotor adaptation during a full-body balance task. In *2019 41st Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*. IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/embc.2019.8857523>
9. *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. (1990). *Choice Reviews Online*, 28(1), 28-0597. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.28-0597>
10. García-Muñoz, C., Casuso-Holgado, M. J., Hernández-Rodríguez, J. C., Pinero-Pinto, E., Palomo-Carrión, R., & Cortés-Vega, M.-D. (2021). Feasibility and safety of an immersive virtual reality-based vestibular rehabilitation programme in people with multiple sclerosis experiencing vestibular impairment: A protocol for a pilot randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*, 11(11), Article e051478. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-051478>
11. Gerards, M., Marcellis, R., Senden, R., Poeze, M., de Bie, R., Meijer, K., & Lenssen, A. (2023). The effect of perturbation-based balance training on balance control and fear of falling in older adults: A single-blind randomised controlled trial. *BMC Geriatrics*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-023-03988-x>
12. Giovannini, S., Brau, F., Galluzzo, V., Santagada, D. A., Loreti, C., Biscotti, L., Laudisio, A., Zuccalà, G., & Bernabei, R. (2022). Falls among older adults: Screening, identification, rehabilitation, and management. *Applied Sciences*, 12(15), Article 7934. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12157934>
13. Główny Urząd Statystyczny. (2026, February 4). *GUS—Portal Informacyjny*. <https://new.stat.gov.pl/>
14. Hoffman, H. G., Richards, T. L., Coda, B., Bills, A. R., Blough, D., Richards, A. L., & Sharar, S. R. (2004). Modulation of thermal pain-related brain activity with virtual reality: Evidence from fMRI. *NeuroReport*, 15(8), 1245–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.wnr.0000127826.73576.91>
15. Horak, F. B. (2006). Postural orientation and equilibrium: What do we need to know about neural control of balance to prevent falls? *Age and Ageing*, 35(Suppl. 2), ii7–ii11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afl077>
16. Howard, M. C. (2017). A meta-analysis and systematic literature review of virtual reality rehabilitation programs. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 70, 317–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.01.013>
17. Keshner, E. A., & Fung, J. (2017). The quest to apply VR technology to rehabilitation: Tribulations and treasures. *Journal of Vestibular Research*, 27(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ves-170610>
18. Khan, M. J., Kenneth, K., Wong, T. W.-L., Tsang, W. W., Chen, C., Chan, W., & Winsler, S. J. (2025). Effectiveness of dual-task exercise in improving balance and preventing falls among older adults: Systematic review with meta-analysis and meta-regression. *European Geriatric Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-025-01328-3>
19. Lee, J., Phu, S., Lord, S., & Okubo, Y. (2024). Effects of immersive virtual reality training on balance, gait and mobility in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gait & Posture*, 110, 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2024.03.009>
20. Levin, M. F., & Demers, M. (2020). Motor learning in neurological rehabilitation. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 43(24), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2020.1752317>
21. Liao, Y.-Y., Chen, I.-H., Lin, Y.-J., Chen, Y., & Hsu, W.-C. (2019). Effects of virtual reality-based physical and cognitive training on executive function and dual-task gait performance in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: A randomized control trial. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 11, Article 162. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2019.00162>

22. Lohse, K. R., Hilderman, C. G. E., Cheung, K. L., Tatla, S., & Van der Loos, H. F. M. (2014). Virtual reality therapy for adults post-stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis exploring virtual environments and commercial games in therapy. *PLOS ONE*, *9*(3), Article e93318. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093318>
23. Luo, F., Hu, Y., Liu, S., & Yang, R. (2025). XR (extended reality: Virtual reality, augmented reality, mixed reality) technology applications in orthopedic field. *Medicine*, *104*(27), Article e42956. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000042956>
24. Mamoon, U. (2025). The silver tsunami: Are we ready for the aging world. *Proceedings*, *39*(3), 112–113. <https://doi.org/10.47489/szmc.v39i3.835>
25. Mathon, C., Beaucamp, F., Roca, F., Chassagne, P., Thevenon, A., & Puisieux, F. (2017). Post-fall syndrome: Profile and outcomes. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, *60*, e50–e51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2017.07.102>
26. Mirelman, A., Maidan, I., Herman, T., Deutsch, J. E., Giladi, N., & Hausdorff, J. M. (2010a). Virtual reality for gait training: Can it induce motor learning to enhance complex walking and reduce fall risk in patients with Parkinson's disease? *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, *66A*(2), 234–240. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glq201>
27. Mirelman, A., Maidan, I., Herman, T., Deutsch, J. E., Giladi, N., & Hausdorff, J. M. (2010b). Virtual reality for gait training: Can it induce motor learning to enhance complex walking and reduce fall risk in patients with Parkinson's disease? *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, *66A*(2), 234–240. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glq201>
28. Mirelman, A., Rochester, L., Maidan, I., Del Din, S., Alcock, L., Nieuwhof, F., Rikkert, M. O., Bloem, B. R., Pelosin, E., Avanzino, L., Abbruzzese, G., Dockx, K., Bekkers, E., Giladi, N., Nieuwboer, A., & Hausdorff, J. M. (2016a). Addition of a non-immersive virtual reality component to treadmill training to reduce fall risk in older adults (V-TIME): A randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, *388*(10050), 1170–1182. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(16\)31325-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31325-3)
29. Mirelman, A., Rochester, L., Maidan, I., Del Din, S., Alcock, L., Nieuwhof, F., Rikkert, M. O., Bloem, B. R., Pelosin, E., Avanzino, L., Abbruzzese, G., Dockx, K., Bekkers, E., Giladi, N., Nieuwboer, A., & Hausdorff, J. M. (2016b). Addition of a non-immersive virtual reality component to treadmill training to reduce fall risk in older adults (V-TIME): A randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, *388*(10050), 1170–1182. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(16\)31325-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31325-3)
30. Mittelstaedt, J. M. (2020). Individual predictors of the susceptibility for motion-related sickness: A systematic review. *Journal of Vestibular Research*, 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ves-200702>
31. Montero-Odasso, M., Verghese, J., Beauchet, O., & Hausdorff, J. M. (2012). Gait and cognition: A complementary approach to understanding brain function and the risk of falling. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *60*(11), 2127–2136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.04209.x>
32. Muhla, F., Clanché, F., Duclos, K., Meyer, P., Maïaux, S., Colnat-Coulbois, S., & Gauchard, G. C. (2020). Impact of using immersive virtual reality over time and steps in the timed up and go test in elderly people. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(3), Article e0229594. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229594>
33. Park, D. C., & Bischof, G. N. (2013). Cerebral aging and neuroplasticity. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, *15*(1). <https://doi.org/10.31887/dens.2013.15.1>
34. Park, D., & Bischof, G. (2013). The aging mind: Neuroplasticity in response to cognitive training. *Cerebral Aging and Neuroplasticity*, *15*(1), 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.31887/dens.2013.15.1/dpark>
35. Salatino, A., Zavattaro, C., Gamberi, R., Cirillo, E., Piatti, M. L., Pyasik, M., Serra, H., Pia, L., Geminiani, G., & Ricci, R. (2023). Virtual reality rehabilitation for unilateral spatial neglect: A systematic review of immersive, semi-immersive and non-immersive techniques. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *152*, Article 105248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105248>
36. Sigrist, R., Rauter, G., Riener, R., & Wolf, P. (2012). Augmented visual, auditory, haptic, and multimodal feedback in motor learning: A review. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *20*(1), 21–53. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-012-0333-8>
37. Skjæret, N., Nawaz, A., Ystmark, K., Dahl, Y., Helbostad, J. L., Svanæs, D., & Vereijken, B. (2014). Designing for movement quality in exergames: Lessons learned from observing senior citizens playing stepping games. *Gerontology*, *61*(2), 186–194. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000365755>
38. Soriano, T. (2008). Falls in the community-dwelling older adult: A review for primary-care providers. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, *2*, 545–553. <https://doi.org/10.2147/cia.s1080>
39. Tieri, G., Morone, G., Paolucci, S., & Iosa, M. (2018). Virtual reality in cognitive and motor rehabilitation: Facts, fiction and fallacies. *Expert Review of Medical Devices*, *15*(2), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2018.1425613>
40. Tong, L., Shen, H., Perreau, V. M., Balazs, R., & Cotman, C. W. (2001). Effects of exercise on gene-expression profile in the rat hippocampus. *Neurobiology of Disease*, *8*(6), 1046–1056. <https://doi.org/10.1006/nbdi.2001.0427>
41. Tragaki, A. (2024a). From “demographic bomb” to “silver tsunami”: Navigating global population shifts. *European View*, *23*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/17816858241240606>
42. Tragaki, A. (2024b). From “demographic bomb” to “silver tsunami”: Navigating global population shifts. *European View*, *23*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/17816858241240606>

43. Triandafilou, K. M., Tsoupikova, D., Barry, A. J., Thielbar, K. N., Stoykov, N., & Kamper, D. G. (2018). Development of a 3D, networked multi-user virtual reality environment for home therapy after stroke. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-018-0429-0>
44. Vaportzis, E., Giatsi Clausen, M., & Gow, A. J. (2017). Older adults' perceptions of technology and barriers to interacting with tablet computers: A focus group study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, Article 1687. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01687>
45. World Health Organization. (2007). *WHO global report on falls prevention in older age*. <https://doi.org/9789241563536>
46. Xu, W., Liang, H.-N., Yu, K., Wen, S., Baghaei, N., & Tu, H. (2022). Acceptance of virtual reality exergames among Chinese older adults. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2022.2098559>
47. Zahedian-Nasab, N., Jaber, A., Shirazi, F., & Kavousipor, S. (2021). Effect of virtual reality exercises on balance and fall in elderly people with fall risk: A randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatrics*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-021-02462-w>
48. Główny Urząd Statystyczny. (2025). *Sytuacja osób starszych w Polsce w 2024 r.* <https://Stat.gov.pl/Obszary-Tematyczne/Osoby-Starsze/Osoby-Starsze/Sytuacja-Osob-Starszych-w-Polsce-w-2024-r-,2,7.html?Pdf=1>