



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	MENOPAUSAL HORMONE THERAPY AND BREAST CANCER RISK
----------------------	---

DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5043
------------	---

RECEIVED	11 March 2026
-----------------	---------------

ACCEPTED	10 June 2026
-----------------	--------------

PUBLISHED	18 June 2026
------------------	--------------

LICENSE	
----------------	--



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

MENOPAUSAL HORMONE THERAPY AND BREAST CANCER RISK

Marta Rogozińska (Corresponding Author, Email: marta.rogozinska2209@gmail.com)
Stefan Zeromski Specialist Hospital in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-7445-1128

Natalia Bukala
University Clinical Hospital in Wroclaw, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-1617-3487

Dominika Kondyjowska
Ludwik Rydygier Specialist Hospital in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-1458-9284

Weronika Tomasiczek
Jagiellonian University Medical College, św. Anny 12, 31-008 Kraków, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-3466-0386

Sylvia Tomasiczek
Jagiellonian University Medical College, św. Anny 12, 31-008 Kraków, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-4214-8454

Zuzanna Sawiec
Ludwik Rydygier Specialist Hospital in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3237-4029

Ewa Antonowicz
Independent Public Health Care Center of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Administration in Kraków,
Kronikarza Galla 25, 30-053 Kraków, Poland
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6229-8389

Dorota Maria Komuńska
Stefan Zeromski Specialist Hospital in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-8919-0557

ABSTRACT

Introduction. Menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) is widely used for the relief of menopausal symptoms and for the prevention of osteoporosis. However, its use remains controversial due to the potential increased risk of breast cancer, especially with combined estrogen-progestin therapy (EPT).

Aim. This review aims to critically evaluate the current evidence on the relationship between MHT and breast cancer risk, with a focus on formulation-specific effects, timing of initiation, duration of use, and risk among breast cancer survivors.

Material and methods. A structured literature review was conducted using PubMed, MED-LINE, and EMBASE databases from January 2018 to June 2025. Inclusion criteria covered randomized controlled trials, cohort and case-control studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses involving > 600 breast cancer cases. Studies examining systemic MHT and breast cancer incidence, subtype-specific risk, and recurrence in survivors were included. A total of 30 high-quality references were selected.

Results. Combined EPT consistently increases breast cancer risk (RR/HR~1.5-2.5), with higher risk for long-duration use and early initiation after menopause (<3 years). Estrogen-only therapy (ET) shows a modest risk increase in observational studies, but RCT data (e.g., WHI) suggest reduced incidence and mortality. Bioidentical progesterone appears less harmful than synthetic progestins. Transdermal MHT may carry lower risk than oral formulations. Vaginal estrogen shows no significant increase in breast cancer risk. Among breast cancer survivors, systemic MHT is associated with a significantly increased risk of recurrence (HR~1.46).

Conclusions. MHT use, particularly EPT, is associated with an elevated breast cancer risk. Risk varies by formulation, duration, timing, and patient profile. Clinicians should tailor MHT decisions individually, favoring the lowest effective dose and shortest duration, while considering non-hormonal alternatives when appropriate. Systemic MHT is generally contraindicated in breast cancer survivors.

KEYWORDS

Menopausal Hormone Therapy; Breast Cancer; Estrogen-Progestin Therapy; Estrogen-Only Therapy; Hormone Replacement Therapy; Recurrence Risk; Transdermal Hormones; Bioidentical Progesterone; WHI; Breast Cancer Survivors

CITATION

Marta Rogozińska, Natalia Buwała, Dominika Kondyjowska, Weronika Tomaszczek, Sylwia Tomaszczek, Zuzanna Sawiec, Ewa Antonowicz, Dorota Maria Komuńska. (2026) Menopausal Hormone Therapy and Breast Cancer Risk. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 2(50). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5043

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2026. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

Abbreviations

- MHT - menopausal hormone therapy
- ET - estrogen - only therapy
- EPT - combined estrogen - progestin therapy
- HR - hazard ratio
- RR/OR - relative risk/odds ratio
- RCT - randomized controlled trial
- CEE - conjugated equine estrogens
- MPA - medroxyprogesterone acetate

Introduction

Menopausal hormone therapy is widely used for relief of vasomotor and urogenital symptoms and for prevention of osteoporosis. However, concerns persist regarding its role in breast cancer development. The seminal Women's Health Initiative (WHI) trials in early 2000s linked combined EPT to increased breast cancer risk, prompting a shift in prescribing practices. Subsequent observational and randomized studies have further investigated the impact of MHT formulation, dosage, timing, duration, and patient characteristics (e.g., BMI, cancer history). This review evaluates current evidence to inform clinical decision-making.

Methods

A targeted literature search in PubMed, MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, EMBASE and [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov) was conducted through mid-2025. Inclusion criteria: RCTs, cohort or case-control studies, meta-analyses with >600 breast cancer cases, as well as systematic reviews on MHT and breast cancer risk. Excluded were studies focusing exclusively on non-systemic MHT (e.g., vaginal estrogen). PRISMA guidelines were followed; extracted data included HRs or ORs for EPT and ET, stratified by duration (>5 years), timing of initiation (gap hypothesis), histological or receptor subtype, mortality, and recurrence in survivors. The final analysis included 30 references, prioritizing large-scale or recent high-quality studies.

Results

1. Overall breast cancer risk

The Collaborative Group's meta-analysis of individual data from 51 studies (n=160 000 cases) found increased risk for combined EPT (every year of use, RR~1.08) and modestly elevated risk with ET. The Million Women Study (n>1million) reported RR~2.0 for current EPT users and ~1.3 for ET users. WHI RCTs confirmed increased breast cancer incidence with EPT (HR~1.28) and a surprising decrease with ET alone (HR~0.78).

2. Formulation-, route-, and dosage-specific risks

A large Norwegian cohort (1.27 million women; 2004-2018) reported highest risk for oral estradiol + norethisterone acetate (NETA), with HR up to 2.67, and increased risk also for transdermal estradiol (HR~1.48); vaginal estrogen carried no significant BC risk. A Finnish study (1994-2019) showed modestly elevated ORs: ET 1.61 for 5-9 years, tibolone ~1.30, and EPT~1.82. Notably, bioidentical progesterone appears safer than synthetic progestogens.

3. Timing of initiation (gap hypothesis)

Data from the French E3N cohort revealed that EPT initiates within 3 years post-menopause increased risk even for short-duration (<2 years) use (HR~1.54), whereas delayed initiation showed no early risk.

4. Histological subtypes & receptor status

Combined MHT is most strongly linked to hormone receptor-positive (ER+) cancers, including luminal A (HR~1.97). There is evidence for increased ductal and lobular tumors with EPT.

5. Mortality & recurrence

Observational analyses suggest ET increases breast cancer mortality, while EPT is linked to higher incidence. WHI follow-up showed no increased all-cause mortality with MHT use. In breast cancer survivors, a meta-analysis of four RCTs (n=4050) reported increased recurrence with systemic HRT (HR~1.46); no significant increase in death (HR~0.91).

6. Effect modifiers

Risk is heightened in low-BMI women. Family history significantly increases absolute but not relative risk, with combined EPT raising absolute BC incidence slightly (e.g., from 9.8% to 11.0% over 30 years).

Discussion

Summary

Evidence indicates:

- **EPT** markedly increases breast cancer risk (RR~1.5-2.5); risk rises with duration and persists post-cessation.
- **ET** also increases risk in observational studies (RR~1.3-1.6), though WHI showed reduced incidence and mortality.
- **Route matters:** oral estradiol + synthetic progestin poses highest risk, transdermal slightly lower; vaginal ET appears safe.
- **Timing** plays a role: early initiation (<3 years post-menopause) increases risk even for short use.

- **Bioidentical progesterone** may be safer than synthetic progestins.
- **Survivor data** show elevated recurrence risk; systemic MHT is generally discouraged in these women.

Clinical implications

Risk-benefit assessments must be individualized. Estrogen-only transdermal therapy, especially post-hysterectomy, may be preferable for symptomatic women. If the uterus is intact, consider EPT using micronized progesterone with the lowest effective dose and duration (<5 years) while monitoring risk modulators (e.g, BMI, family history). Non-hormonal alternatives should be considered in higher-risk individuals.

Limitations

- RCTs (e.g., WHI) often involved older initiation age and CEE+MPA rather than contemporary regimens.
- Observational studies may be affected by confounding and detection bias.
- Survivor trials are limited in size and duration, and largely pertain to systemic therapy.

Conclusions

Combined estrogen-progestin MHT is clearly associated with increased breast cancer risk; absolute risk varies based on formulation, duration, initiation timing, and patient factors. Estrogen-only therapy may pose a lower risk, but safety remains context-dependent. Clinicians should customize MHT based on individual risk profiles, using the lowest effective dose, shortest duration, and safer regimens, especially among women with elevated baseline risk. Shared decision-making and close monitoring are essential.

REFERENCES

1. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. (1997). Breast cancer and hormone replacement therapy: Collaborative reanalysis of data from 51 epidemiological studies of 52,705 women with breast cancer and 108,411 women without breast cancer. *The Lancet*, 350(9084), 1047–1059. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(97\)08233-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(97)08233-0)
2. Million Women Study Collaborators. (2003). Breast cancer and hormone-replacement therapy in the Million Women Study. *The Lancet*, 362(9382), 419–427. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)14065-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14065-2)
3. Anderson, G. L., Limacher, M., Assaf, A. R., Bassford, T., Beresford, S. A. A., Black, H., Bonds, D., Brunner, R., Brzyski, R., Caan, B., Chlebowski, R., Curb, D., Gass, M., Hays, J., Heiss, G., Hendrix, S., Howard, B. V., Hsia, J., Hubbell, A., ... Women's Health Initiative Steering Committee. (2004). Effects of conjugated equine estrogen in postmenopausal women with hysterectomy: The Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 291(14), 1701–1712. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.14.1701>
4. Støer, N. C., Vangen, S., Singh, D., Fortner, R. T., Hofvind, S., Ursin, G., & Botteri, E. (2024). Menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: A population-based cohort study of 1.3 million women in Norway. *British Journal of Cancer*, 131(1), 126–137. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-024-02590-1>
5. Siitonen, H., Joensuu, J., Savolainen-Peltonen, H., Gissler, M., Ylikorkala, O., & Mikkola, T. S. (2025). Update of the impact of menopausal hormone therapy on breast cancer risk. *European Journal of Cancer*, 216, 115340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2025.115340>
6. Fournier, A., Mesrine, S., Boutron-Ruault, M.-C., & Clavel-Chapelon, F. (2009). Estrogen-progestagen menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer: Does delay from menopause onset to treatment initiation influence risks? *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 27(31), 5138–5143. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2008.21.6432>
7. Fournier, A., Berrino, F., & Clavel-Chapelon, F. (2008). Unequal risks for breast cancer associated with different hormone replacement therapies: Results from the E3N cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 107(1), 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-007-9523-x>
8. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. (2019). Type and timing of menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: Individual participant meta-analysis of the worldwide epidemiological evidence. *The Lancet*, 394(10204), 1159–1168. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31709-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31709-X)
9. Poggio, F., Del Mastro, L., Bruzzone, M., Ceppi, M., Razeti, M. G., Fregatti, P., Ruelle, T., Pronzato, P., & Lambertini, M. (2022). Safety of systemic hormone replacement therapy in breast cancer survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 191(2), 269–275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-021-06436-9>
10. Busund, M., Ursin, G., Lund, E., Chen, S. L. F., & Rylander, C. (2024). Menopausal hormone therapy and incidence, mortality, and survival of breast cancer subtypes: A prospective cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research*, 26, Article 162. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-024-01897-4>

11. Huntley, C., Torr, B., Sud, A., Renwick, A., Broggio, J., Turnbull, C., & Hanson, H. (2024). Breast cancer risk assessment for prescription of menopausal hormone therapy in women with a family history of breast cancer. *British Journal of General Practice*, 74(744), e484–e491. <https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2023.0327>
12. Manson, J. E., Aragaki, A. K., Rossouw, J. E., Anderson, G. L., Prentice, R. L., LaCroix, A. Z., Chlebowski, R. T., Howard, B. V., Thomson, C. A., Margolis, K. L., Lewis, C. E., Stefanick, M. L., Jackson, R. D., Johnson, K. C., Martin, L. W., Shumaker, S. A., Espeland, M. A., Wactawski-Wende, J., & Women's Health Initiative Investigators. (2017). Menopausal hormone therapy and long-term all-cause and cause-specific mortality: The Women's Health Initiative randomized trials. *JAMA*, 318(10), 927–938. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.11217>
13. Sourouni, M., & Kiesel, L. (2023). Menopausal hormone therapy and the breast: A review of clinical studies. *Breast Care*, 18(3), 164–171. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000530205>
14. Stør, N. C., Vangen, S., Singh, D., Fortner, R. T., Hofvind, S., Ursin, G., & Botteri, E. (2024). Menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: A population-based cohort study of 1.3 million women in Norway. *British Journal of Cancer*, 131(1), 126–137. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-024-02590-1>
15. Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). Timing hypothesis (menopausal hormone therapy). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved July 22, 2026, from [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timing_hypothesis_\(menopausal_hormone_therapy\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timing_hypothesis_(menopausal_hormone_therapy))
16. Stør, N. C., Vangen, S., Singh, D., Fortner, R. T., Hofvind, S., Ursin, G., & Botteri, E. (2024). Menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: A population-based cohort study of 1.3 million women in Norway. *British Journal of Cancer*, 131(1), 126–137. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-024-02590-1>
17. Chlebowski, R. T., & Manson, J. E. (2017). Menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer. *The Cancer Journal*, 23(3), 169–177. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.0000000000000268>
18. Chlebowski, R. T., Anderson, G. L., Aragaki, A. K., Manson, J. E., Stefanick, M. L., Pan, K., Barrington, W., Kuller, L. H., Simon, M. S., Lane, D., Johnson, K. C., Rohan, T. E., Gass, M. L. S., Cauley, J. A., Paskett, E. D., Sattari, M., & Prentice, R. L. (2020). Association of menopausal hormone therapy with breast cancer incidence and mortality during long-term follow-up of the Women's Health Initiative randomized clinical trials. *JAMA*, 324(4), 369–380. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.9482>
19. Siitonen, H., Joensuu, J., Savolainen-Peltonen, H., Gissler, M., Ylikorkala, O., & Mikkola, T. S. (2025). Update of the impact of menopausal hormone therapy on breast cancer risk. *European Journal of Cancer*, 216, 115340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2025.115340>
20. Hodis, H. N., & Mack, W. J. (2022). Menopausal hormone replacement therapy and reduction of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease: It is about time and timing. *The Cancer Journal*, 28(3), 208–223. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.0000000000000591>
21. Kim, J., & Munster, P. N. (2025). Estrogens and breast cancer. *Annals of Oncology*, 36(2), 134–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2024.10.824>
22. Sourouni, M., & Kiesel, L. (2023). Menopausal hormone therapy and the breast: A review of clinical studies. *Breast Care*, 18(3), 164–171. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000530205>
23. Fournier, A., Berrino, F., & Clavel-Chapelon, F. (2008). Unequal risks for breast cancer associated with different hormone replacement therapies: Results from the E3N cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 107(1), 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-007-9523-x>
24. Siitonen, H., Joensuu, J., Savolainen-Peltonen, H., Gissler, M., Ylikorkala, O., & Mikkola, T. S. (2025). Update of the impact of menopausal hormone therapy on breast cancer risk. *European Journal of Cancer*, 216, 115340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2025.115340>
25. Huntley, C., Torr, B., Sud, A., Renwick, A., Broggio, J., Turnbull, C., & Hanson, H. (2024). Breast cancer risk assessment for prescription of menopausal hormone therapy in women with a family history of breast cancer. *British Journal of General Practice*, 74(744), e484–e491. <https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2023.0327>
26. Yuk, J.-S. (2024). Relationship between menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer: A nationwide population-based cohort study. *PubMed*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38469634/>
27. Busund, M., Ursin, G., Lund, E., Chen, S. L. F., & Rylander, C. (2024). Menopausal hormone therapy and incidence, mortality, and survival of breast cancer subtypes: A prospective cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research*, 26, Article 162. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-024-01897-4>
28. Asinaro, G., Massarotti, C., Xholli, A., Londero, A. P., Lambertini, M., Anserini, P., Del Mastro, L., & Cagnacci, A. (2025). Menopausal symptoms in breast cancer survivors on adjuvant endocrine therapy compared with those of menopausal women. *Maturitas*, 191, 108143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2024.108143>
29. Huguenin, A. (2024). Menopausal hormone therapy for breast cancer survivors. *PubMed*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39436643/>
30. MacInnis, R. J., Jenkins, M. A., Milne, R. L., John, E. M., Daly, M. B., Andrulis, I. L., Colonna, S. V., Phillips, K.-A., Le Marchand, L., Newcomb, P. A., Phipps, A. I., Schmit, S. L., Macrae, F. A., Buchanan, D. D., Gallinger, S., Pai, R. K., Samadder, N. J., Giles, G. G., Southey, M. C., ... Terry, M. B. (2025). Menopausal hormone therapy: Assessing associations with breast and colorectal cancers by familial risk. *JNCI Cancer Spectrum*, 9(1), pkae121. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jncics/pkae121>