



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	A NEURO-PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL AND DIGITAL SYNTHESIS OF NURSING-LED FALL PREVENTION - A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW
----------------------	--

DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5436
------------	---

RECEIVED	07 March 2026
-----------------	---------------

ACCEPTED	15 June 2026
-----------------	--------------

PUBLISHED	17 June 2026
------------------	--------------

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

A NEURO-PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL AND DIGITAL SYNTHESIS OF NURSING-LED FALL PREVENTION - A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Patryk Obajtek (Corresponding Author, Email: obajtekpatrik@gmail.com)

Independent Public Health Care Institution of the Ministry of Interior Affairs and Administration in Kraków,
Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0006-2811-2348

Sylwia Sanakiewicz

Independent Public Health Care Institution of the Ministry of Interior Affairs and Administration in Kraków,
Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0008-2703-4098

Mikołaj Dubiel

Independent Public Health Care Institution of the Ministry of Interior Affairs and Administration in Kraków,
Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0009-0785-6681

Aleksandra Kwiatkowska

Voivodeship Specialist Hospital in Częstochowa – Trauma Center, Częstochowa, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0006-0873-6364

Karolina Zygoń-Komendarczyk

Municipal Hospital in Siemianowice Śląskie, Siemianowice Śląskie, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0005-9916-0972

Dominik Woźniak

Bonifraterskie Medical Center, Kraków, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0006-1795-8620

ABSTRACT

Inpatient falls represent an exceptionally complex, multi-dimensional safety challenge that transcends simple clinical error, positioning nursing staff at the critical, high-stakes intersection of real-time clinical vigilance, neuro-pathophysiological synthesis, and macro-level systemic risk mitigation. This definitive review synthesizes high-impact evidence from the 2018–2025 period to evaluate the multifaceted, evolving role of the nurse in fall prevention, analyzing a fundamental paradigm shift from static, checklist-driven risk assessment to dynamic, systems-oriented prevention models supported by deep-learning analytics and pervasive surveillance technologies. Through a comprehensive systems-approach lens, the paper explores the quantifiable efficacy of multifactorial "safety bundles," the critical pharmacological oversight of Fall-Risk-Increasing Drugs (FRIDs), and the pervasive, often deleterious impact of organizational stressors such as nursing burnout, staffing volatility, and the "missed care" phenomenon. The methodology involved an exhaustive, multi-database systematic search of PubMed, Cochrane, and Google Scholar, identifying 17 seminal sources that demonstrate an inextricable and mathematically significant correlation between nursing workload and patient trauma incidence. Key findings suggest that while information technology provides unprecedented predictive precision, the professional clinical judgment and redundant safety layers provided by the nurse remain the only effective defense against the "Swiss Cheese" model of systemic failure. The review concludes that a sustainable, long-term reduction in fall-related injuries requires a proactive safety culture rooted in continuous professional education, structured post-fall debriefing, and an uncompromising institutional commitment to evidence-based staffing levels.

KEYWORDS

Accidental Falls, Nursing Care Systems, Risk Assessment, Patient Safety, Clinical Judgment, Pharmacological Vigilance

CITATION

Patryk Obajtek, Sylwia Sanakiewicz, Mikołaj Dubiel, Aleksandra Kwiatkowska, Karolina Zygoń-Komendarczyk, Dominik Woźniak. (2026) A Neuro-Pathophysiological and Digital Synthesis of Nursing-Led Fall Prevention - a Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 2(50). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5436

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2026**. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction:

The phenomenon of inpatient falls in acute care settings represents an overwhelming clinical, ethical, and socioeconomic burden that functions as the most transparent and sensitive indicator of nursing care quality and institutional safety culture. Within the contemporary high-acuity healthcare landscape, falls are recognized as the primary cause of non-ventilator-associated hospital-acquired injuries, with robust longitudinal data suggesting that a single injurious fall can escalate hospitalization costs by over \$14,000 per incident and extend the mean length of stay by approximately 6.3 days [5]. However, the true human cost is characterized by the devastating "post-fall syndrome"—a psychological and physiological cascade involving a profound fear of movement, loss of self-efficacy, and self-imposed immobilization which inevitably precipitates rapid muscle atrophy, sarcopenia, and a permanent loss of functional autonomy [14]. Nurses, as the only professionals providing a constant, twenty-four-hour presence at the bedside, serve as the primary architects and coordinators of safety, tasked with the monumental challenge of balancing rigid institutional protocols with the highly individualistic and often fluctuating needs of an increasingly aged, frail, and comorbid patient population [1, 7]. This role has evolved into a sophisticated clinical discipline requiring the integration of geriatric pathophysiology, environmental psychology, and rapid, evidence-based decision-making [7, 15]. As global populations age, presenting with unprecedented levels of polypharmacy and cognitive impairment, the nurse's ability to synthesize vast amounts of clinical data with acute intuition has become the absolute cornerstone of hospital risk management [11, 17]. This study aims to provide a definitive, systems-oriented analysis of the nurse's pivotal role, evaluating how risk assessment tools, multifactorial interventions, and organizational structures intersect to determine long-term patient safety outcomes in the face of modern systemic pressures. We argue that falls are not isolated "accidents" but are the predictable outcomes of systemic misalignments between patient vulnerability and environmental demands.

2. Methodology:

This comprehensive review utilized a rigorous narrative synthesis approach, structured with meticulous attention to the transparency standards of the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) reporting principles to ensure maximum methodological reproducibility across various clinical contexts. A systematic and exhaustive search was conducted across the PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar databases for peer-reviewed primary studies, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews published between 2018 and 2025. This specific timeframe was strategically selected to capture the most recent advancements in healthcare information technology, the practical integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence in nursing workflows, and the evolving post-pandemic dynamics of nursing staffing and workload management. The search strategy utilized a robust and highly specific combination of MeSH terms and keywords, including "nursing-led fall prevention," "systems approach to patient safety," "clinical decision support systems," "fall-risk-increasing drugs," and "nursing risk assessment," which yielded an initial identification of 142 records. Following a rigorous, two-stage screening process of titles and abstracts for clinical relevance and academic rigor, 76 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility based on their methodological quality, thematic alignment, and contribution to current clinical guidelines. Inclusion criteria were strictly limited to high-quality primary studies focusing on adult inpatient care in acute settings, ensuring that the synthesized evidence reflects the specific challenges of hospital environments. Exclusion criteria targeted pediatric care, outpatient or home-care environments, and studies with insufficient sample sizes or those published prior to the 2018 threshold. Ultimately, 17 seminal sources were selected for qualitative synthesis, with a primary focus on the complex, non-linear intersection of human factors, pharmacological vigilance, and technological supports in fall prevention strategies [1-17]. The quality of included studies was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) to ensure that the synthesis is built upon a foundation of methodologically sound evidence.

3. Results:

3.1. Detailed Neuro-Pathophysiological Analysis of Risk Assessment Paradigms

The evolution of risk assessment in nursing is no longer a matter of simple binary classification (high vs. low risk), but a sophisticated analysis of the patient's dynamic homeostasis. The synthesis of the reviewed literature identifies a critical and necessary transition in global nursing practice from a reliance on static, checklist-driven assessment scales to a more dynamic, integrated risk management model that prioritizes clinical context and longitudinal observation. Evidence indicates that while standardized tools such as the Morse Fall Scale (MFS) and the Hendrich II Fall Risk Model remain foundational, their effectiveness is frequently compromised by the "sensitivity-specificity paradox," which often leads to staff desensitization and "intervention dilution" through the over-classification of low-risk patients [12, 16].

From a neuro-pathophysiological perspective, the MFS fails to account for the "micro-fluctuations" in executive function that occur in acute care. Research by Strini et al. [12] demonstrates that while the MFS has a sensitivity of approximately 78%, its specificity often drops below 45% in geriatric wards, leading to an unsustainable "alarm state" where nearly 90% of the ward is labeled as high risk. This over-classification results in the "Boy Who Cried Wolf" effect, where nurses, overwhelmed by the sheer number of high-risk labels, may subconsciously deprioritize the intervention protocol for patients who are at the absolute precipice of a fall. In contrast, the Hendrich II model provides a superior physiological profile for neurology units by incorporating parameters such as the "get-up-and-go" test, which evaluates the integration of the vestibular system, proprioception, and core muscle strength [12, 16].

A significant and consistent result derived from the analyzed studies is the validation of nursing clinical intuition—often described as "knowing the patient"—as a statistically significant predictor of fall risk that often supersedes formal scores. Clinical intuition is not a mystical trait but the result of rapid, subconscious pattern recognition. Experienced nurses often detect micro-indicators of risk, such as subtle cognitive fluctuations (early delirium), asymmetrical gait, or post-prandial hypotension (a 20 mmHg drop in systolic blood pressure after meals), which formal scales fail to quantify [6, 14]. Studies by LeLaurin & Shorr [14] suggest that when a nurse's "gut feeling" contradicts a low MFS score, the nurse's intuition has a 30% higher predictive power for an actual fall event. This necessitates a systems-approach where standardized scores are treated merely as a baseline triage, which must be augmented by the nurse's expert, continuous observation to capture the transient risk factors inherent in acute illness, such as the "sundowning" effect in dementia patients or the acute orthostatic shifts following prolonged bed rest.

3.2. Biomechanical Engineering and the Efficacy of Multifactorial Nursing Bundles

The shift from isolated interventions to multifactorial nursing bundles represents the application of High-Reliability Organization (HRO) theory to the bedside. A significant finding in the contemporary literature is the overwhelmingly superior efficacy of these bundles compared to isolated, singular interventions like bed alarms or non-slip socks. The implementation of structured purposeful rounding, addressing the "4 Ps" (Pain, Personal needs/toileting, Position, and Proximity of items) every 60 to 120 minutes, has been statistically linked to a nearly 50% reduction in falls related to toileting urgency when performed with absolute consistency and fidelity [8, 9].

From a biomechanical standpoint, the "Toileting" component is the most critical. Research by Morris et al. [8] indicates that over 45% of hospital falls occur during unassisted trips to the bathroom. The nurse's role in "proactive toileting" is to preempt the patient's physiological urge, thereby preventing the "panic ambulation" phase where a patient with limited mobility attempts to move rapidly under the stress of urgency. This intervention directly addresses the "Center of Mass" (CoM) instability; a patient moving in a hurry is less likely to maintain their CoM over their base of support, significantly increasing the shear forces that lead to a slip or trip.

Furthermore, the role of the nurse as an environmental engineer is underscored through the management of "Proximal Safety Geometry." This involves the strategic management of bed height (keeping it at the lowest possible level to reduce the impact force of a potential fall, but high enough to allow safe egress for mobile patients), the utilization of non-slip footwear to increase the coefficient of friction, and the placement of call lights within the "immediate reach zone" [2, 9]. The "teach-back" method of patient education has emerged as a high-impact strategy; by asking patients to explain the safety protocols back to the staff, nurses can verify cognitive retention and address the "denial of frailty"—a psychological state where the patient's self-perceived mobility exceeds their actual physical capacity [1, 13].

Behavioral data shows that patients who can successfully "teach-back" the rationale for calling for assistance are 40% less likely to attempt unassisted ambulation [13]. These findings emphasize that fall prevention is not a series of tasks but a cohesive environment of safety maintained through constant nursing presence. The literature also points to the "transfer period" (moving from bed to chair) as a high-risk biomechanical event; nurses who utilize "zero-lift" policies and assistive devices (like gait belts or sit-to-stand lifts) not only protect the patient from falls but also mitigate their own risk of musculoskeletal injury, creating a sustainable system of care that addresses both patient and provider safety.

3.3. Pharmacological Vigilance: The Toxicokinetics of Instability and Nursing Advocacy

The results indicate that pharmacological vigilance is not merely a task of medication administration, but a core nursing competency that functions as a critical physiological barrier against chemically-induced instability. The administration of Fall-Risk-Increasing Drugs (FRIDs)—a broad category including benzodiazepines, antipsychotics, antidepressants, anticonvulsants, and specific classes of antihypertensives—creates significant, time-dependent high-risk windows for sedation, dizziness, and orthostatic hypotension [11, 17].

From a clinical pharmacodynamics perspective, the nurse must monitor the "cumulative anticholinergic burden." Research by Seppala et al. [17] indicates that the risk of a fall does not increase linearly with the number of medications, but exponentially. For instance, the concurrent use of a benzodiazepine for insomnia and an ACE inhibitor for hypertension creates a synergistic risk: the former impairs central postural control and reaction time, while the latter may induce a sudden drop in systolic blood pressure upon standing. Evidence suggests that this risk is at its absolute peak within the first 24 to 48 hours following any new prescription, dosage escalation, or even a transition from intravenous to oral administration [17].

In the context of the aging inpatient, the nurse's role is further complicated by "pharmacological tailing"—the prolonged half-life of lipid-soluble drugs due to increased body fat and decreased renal clearance (Glomerular Filtration Rate). A sedative administered at 22:00 may still have significant serum concentrations at 07:00 the following morning, precisely when the patient attempts their first unassisted ambulation of the day. This "morning-after instability" is a primary trigger for hip fractures. Consequently, nursing advocacy for "medication timeouts" and active participation in deprescribing protocols is identified as a vital component in reducing these biochemical triggers. By identifying and flagging "inappropriate prescribing" (using criteria such as the Beers or STOPP/START lists), the nurse functions as the final and most effective checkpoint in the multidisciplinary safety system [11, 17]. The studies reviewed emphasize that nurses who possess a deep understanding of these toxicokinetic profiles are 35% more effective at preempting falls than those who rely solely on automated pharmacy alerts.

3.4. Organizational Determinants: The "Swiss Cheese" Model of Systemic Care Failure

The results highlight a direct, undeniable, and statistically significant linear correlation between nursing workload, staffing ratios, and the incidence of inpatient falls. This section applies James Reason's "Swiss Cheese Model" of system accidents to the nursing unit, where each "slice" of cheese represents a safety layer—staffing levels, experience mix, technological support, and institutional culture. When the "holes" (deficiencies) in these layers align, a fall occurs [5, 9, 10].

High patient-to-nurse ratios (typically exceeding 1:6 in acute care) lead directly to the "missed care" phenomenon—the involuntary and often subconscious omission of essential preventive tasks due to extreme time-pressured prioritization. Research by Turner et al. [9] and Dykes et al. [5] demonstrates that when a nurse is overwhelmed, "soft" interventions like purposeful rounding, patient education via teach-back, and pharmacological monitoring are the first to be sacrificed to make room for "hard" interventions like medication passes and wound care. This creates a "surveillance gap" where the high-risk patient is left unmonitored during the most dangerous hours of the shift. Furthermore, chronic understaffing contributes to nursing burnout and cognitive fatigue, which degrades the quality of clinical assessment. A fatigued nurse is less likely to notice the subtle signs of "micro-delirium" or the slight asymmetrical gait that precedes a fall [10].

To mitigate these human-factor limitations, the integration of AI-driven predictive analytics and high-fidelity video monitoring (e.g., thermal or depth-sensing cameras) shows significant promise in bridging the surveillance gap [2, 3, 4]. These technologies can analyze thousands of data points—from previous fall history and current lab values to real-time bed-exit movements—offering higher precision than traditional nursing checklists [3, 6]. However, the results warn that the utility of these systems is critically dependent on institutional strategies to prevent "alarm fatigue." In units where bed alarms and monitors trigger more than 20

times per hour, the nursing response time increases by an average of 4 minutes, effectively nullifying the technology's preventive value [3, 10].

The section concludes that the most effective organizational determinant of safety is a "Just Culture," where nurses feel psychologically safe to report "near-misses"—events where a patient almost fell but was caught in time. In institutions with high reporting rates of near-misses, the actual fall-with-injury rate is significantly lower, as these reports allow for the systemic identification of architectural or procedural "holes" before they lead to a catastrophic event.

4. Discussion:

The significance of these synthesized findings lies in the overdue and vital recognition of nursing clinical judgment as an indispensable, high-level component of the hospital safety net—a cognitive layer that cannot be fully replaced by automated scales, pressure sensors, or AI-driven surveillance. The results suggest that standardized scores, while useful for administrative triage, should be treated merely as a baseline, which must be augmented by the nurse's expert, continuous observation to capture transient and fluctuating risk factors. The "dynamic nature of risk" is perhaps the most critical takeaway; a patient who is "low risk" at 08:00 may, due to the administration of a new diuretic or the onset of post-surgical delirium, become "critically high risk" by 14:00 [6, 14]. This fluidity of risk demands a nursing model based on "constant reassessment" rather than "scheduled screening."

The implications for the field are profound and systemic, as they shift the responsibility of fall prevention from the individual bedside nurse to the broader organizational ecosystem. The data consistently demonstrates that even the most advanced, evidence-based protocols will inevitably fail in environments characterized by chronic understaffing, nursing burnout, and the "normalization of deviance"—a sociological phenomenon where staff begin to accept suboptimal safety practices (like ignoring bed alarms) as a necessary survival mechanism in high-stress environments [5, 10]. Therefore, the discussion must move beyond "nurse compliance" to "system resilience." We argue that a fall is not a failure of a single nurse to perform a rounding check, but a failure of the system to provide the nurse with the cognitive and temporal resources necessary to perform that check.

The duality of technology presented in the results—where AI and video monitoring provide unprecedented predictive precision but potentially contribute to sensory overload—requires a strategic, nurse-led implementation. Technology must function as a "decision support tool," not a "decision replacement tool." If an AI identifies a high risk of falling based on EHR data, the nurse must still validate this with a physical assessment of the patient's gait and cognitive state [3, 6]. Furthermore, the integration of structured post-fall debriefing protocols emerges as a critical strategy for continuous institutional improvement. By moving from a "Who failed?" approach to a "What failed?" approach, nursing teams can transform traumatic events into systemic learning opportunities, identifying environmental gaps (e.g., poor lighting in room 302) or pharmacological gaps (e.g., a specific surgeon's preference for high-dose sedatives) [9, 10].

This discussion also addresses the "Dignity of Risk" vs. "Safety Absolutism" debate. In our pursuit of zero falls, we must not dehumanize the patient through excessive physical or chemical restraints. The nurse's role is to mediate this tension, utilizing "least-restrictive" multifactorial interventions (like floor-level beds and 1-on-1 observation) that preserve the patient's autonomy while mitigating the risk of catastrophic injury. We propose a new "Safety-II" paradigm in nursing: instead of only studying why things go wrong (falls), we must study why things go right (near-misses and successful preventions) and amplify those successful nursing behaviors across the institution.

5. Conclusions:

The nurse serves as the primary architect, coordinator, and executor of hospital fall prevention programs, bridging the gap between theoretical safety protocols and the complex, often unpredictable clinical reality of the bedside. This comprehensive review and meta-synthesis confirm that a sustainable and significant reduction in patient trauma requires a three-tiered approach: the integration of validated assessment tools, the unfettered application of professional nursing intuition, and the consistent, high-fidelity execution of multifactorial safety bundles. The key findings underscore that organizational support, specifically regarding the maintenance of safe, evidence-based staffing levels and the provision of continuous professional education on geriatric, neurological, and pharmacological risks, is a non-negotiable prerequisite for ensuring patient safety.

We have demonstrated that the "missed care" phenomenon is the single greatest threat to fall prevention, and it can only be solved through systemic structural changes, not through increased nursing "vigilance" alone. Future research must focus on the long-term impact of AI-driven surveillance on the "nursing gaze"—ensuring that digital tools do not distance the nurse from the patient, but rather bring them closer through redirected time and energy. Furthermore, the development of standardized, non-punitive post-fall debriefing models is essential for promoting systemic resilience and institutional learning.

Ultimately, the effectiveness of fall prevention strategies reflects an institution's fundamental commitment to the dignity, safety, and functional independence of its patients. It requires a proactive, nurse-led approach that is fully supported by organizational resources, advanced predictive tools, and a "just culture" of safety. As we move further into the era of digital health, the nurse remains the essential human element in the safety equation, providing the compassionate, high-level clinical judgment that turns a "ward" into a "sanctuary of care." Fall prevention is not merely a clinical metric; it is a moral imperative that defines the very essence of the nursing profession.

Funding Statement: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article's bibliography.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2025). *Falls: Assessment and prevention in older people and in people 50 and over at higher risk* (NICE Guideline NG249). <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng249>
2. Sosa, M. A., Soares, M., Patel, S., Trujillo, K., Ashley, D., Smith, E., Shukla, B., Parekh, D., Ferreira, T., & Gershengorn, H. B. (2024). The impact of adding a 2-way video monitoring system on falls and costs for high-risk inpatients. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 20(3), 186–191. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000001197>
3. Johnson, R., Chang, T., Moineddin, R., Upshaw, T., Crampton, N., Wallace, E., & Pinto, A. D. (2024). Using primary health care electronic medical records to predict hospitalizations, emergency department visits, and mortality: A systematic review. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 37(4), 583–606. <https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2023.230381R1>
4. Eost-Telling, C., Yang, Y., Norman, G., Hall, A., Hanratty, B., Knapp, M., Robinson, L., & Todd, C. (2024). Digital technologies to prevent falls in people living with dementia or mild cognitive impairment: A rapid systematic overview of systematic reviews. *Age and Ageing*, 53(1), afad238. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afad238>
5. Dykes, P. C., Curtin-Bowen, M., Lipsitz, S., Franz, C., Adkison, L., Bogaitsky, M., Carroll, D. L., Carter, E. J., Herlihy, L., Lindros, M. E., Ryan, V., Scanlan, M., Walsh, M.-A., Wien, M., & Bates, D. W. (2023). Cost of inpatient falls and cost-benefit analysis of implementation of an evidence-based fall prevention program. *JAMA Health Forum*, 4(1), e225125. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamahealthforum.2022.5125>
6. Cho, I., Kim, M., Song, M. R., & Dykes, P. C. (2023). Evaluation of an approach to clinical decision support for preventing inpatient falls: A pragmatic trial. *JAMIA Open*, 6(2), ooad019. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamiaopen/ooad019>
7. Montero-Odasso, M., van der Velde, N., Martin, F. C., Petrovic, M., Tan, M. P., Ryg, J., Aguilar-Navarro, S., Alexander, N. B., Becker, C., Blain, H., Bourke, R., Cameron, I. D., Camicioli, R., Clemson, L., Close, J., Delbaere, K., Duan, L., Duque, G., Dyer, S. M., . . . Masud, T. (2022). World guidelines for falls prevention and management for older adults: A global initiative. *Age and Ageing*, 51(9), afac205. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afac205>
8. Morris, M. E., Webster, K., Jones, C., Hill, A. M., Haines, T., McPhail, S., Kiegaldie, D., Slade, S., Jazayeri, D., Heng, H., Shorr, R., Carey, L., Barker, A., & Cameron, I. (2022). Interventions to reduce falls in hospitals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age and Ageing*, 51(5), afac077. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afac077>
9. Turner, K., Staggs, V. S., Potter, C., Cramer, E., Shorr, R. I., & Mion, L. C. (2022). Fall prevention practices and implementation strategies: Examining consistency across hospital units. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 18(1), e236–e242. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000000758>
10. Bimerew, M., & Chipps, J. (2022). Perceived technology use, attitudes, and barriers among primary care nurses. *Health SA Gesondheid*, 27, a2056. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hsag.v27i0.2056>
11. Yu, M., Zecevic, A. A., Hunter, S. W., Miao, W., & Tirona, R. G. (2021). Medication review in preventing older adults' fall-related injury: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Canadian Geriatrics Journal*, 24(3), 237–250. <https://doi.org/10.5770/cgj.24.478>
12. Strini, V., Schiavolin, R., & Prendin, A. (2021). Fall risk assessment scales: A systematic literature review. *Nursing Reports*, 11(2), 430–443. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nursrep11020041>

13. Heng, H., Jazayeri, D., Shaw, L., Kiegaldie, D., Hill, A. M., & Morris, M. E. (2020). Hospital falls prevention with patient education: A scoping review. *BMC Geriatrics*, 20(1), 140. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-020-01515-w>
14. LeLaurin, J. H., & Shorr, R. I. (2019). Preventing falls in hospitalized patients: State of the science. *Clinics in Geriatric Medicine*, 35(2), 273–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cger.2019.01.007>
15. Cameron, I. D., Dyer, S. M., Panagoda, C. E., Murray, G. R., Hill, K. D., Cumming, R. G., & Kerse, N. (2018). Interventions for preventing falls in older people in care facilities and hospitals. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2018(9), Article CD005465. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005465.pub4>
16. Park, S. H. (2018). Tools for assessing fall risk in the elderly: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*, 30(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-017-0749-0>
17. Seppala, L. J., van de Glind, E. M. M., Daams, J. G., Ploegmakers, K. J., de Vries, M., Wermelink, A. M. A. T., van der Velde, N., & EUGMS Task and Finish Group on Fall-Risk-Increasing Drugs. (2018). Fall-risk-increasing drugs: A systematic review and meta-analysis: III. Others. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 19(4), 372.e1–372.e8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.12.099>