



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE

THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN SHAPING MENTAL HEALTH: A
REVIEW OF POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE EFFECTS

DOI

[https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2\(50\).2026.5484](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5484)

RECEIVED

04 April 2026

ACCEPTED

16 June 2026

PUBLISHED

30 June 2026

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN SHAPING MENTAL HEALTH: A REVIEW OF POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE EFFECTS

Marta Kamrowska (Corresponding Author, Email: mart.kamrowska@gmail.com)
University Clinical Hospital No. 2 of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-1570-0144

Jakub Świech
University Clinical Hospital No. 2 of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-1732-4162

Katarzyna Apanasewicz
J. Strus Multidisciplinary Municipal Hospital in Poznań, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-0765-5398

Filip Kopacki
University Clinical Hospital No. 2 of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-7897-8222

Weronika Szczepilewska
Independent Public Provincial Integrated Hospital in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-3956-622X

Daniel Jaskot
Independent Public Provincial Integrated Hospital in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-8678-9838

Jakub Marzec
University Clinical Hospital No. 1 of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-8336-7260

Natalia Kita
University Clinical Hospital No. 2 of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-2277-6198

Natalia Sztenc
Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-4307-7958

Patrycja Broniszewska
University Clinical Hospital No. 2 of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-6577-307X

ABSTRACT

Social media has become an integral component of modern life, significantly influencing communication, social interactions, and psychological functioning. This review article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of both positive and negative effects of social media use on mental health. A narrative review of literature indexed in PubMed was conducted, including empirical studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses published between 2015 and 2024. A total of 35 studies were included in the final analysis.

The findings indicate that the impact of social media on mental health is complex and multidimensional. Negative outcomes include increased risk of depression, anxiety, sleep disturbances, cyberbullying, and problematic social media use. These effects are often mediated by mechanisms such as social comparison, fear of missing out (FOMO), and exposure to idealized content. Conversely, social media can also provide significant benefits, including enhanced social support, improved access to health information, and opportunities for self-expression and identity development.

Importantly, the effects of social media are not uniform and depend on factors such as the intensity and type of use, individual vulnerability, and social context. Active and meaningful engagement appears to be associated with more positive outcomes, whereas passive consumption is more often linked to negative effects.

KEYWORDS

Social Media, Mental Health, Depression, Anxiety, Cyberbullying, Digital Behavior

CITATION

Marta Kamrowska, Jakub Świech, Katarzyna Apanasewicz, Filip Kopacki, Weronika Szczepilewska, Daniel Jaskot, Jakub Marzec, Natalia Kita, Natalia Sztenc, Patrycja Broniszewska. (2026) The Role of Social Media in Shaping Mental Health: a Review of Positive and Negative Effects. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 2(50). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5484

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2026. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

Social media platforms have fundamentally transformed the way individuals communicate, interact, and construct their identities. Over the past two decades, platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, and Twitter have become deeply embedded in everyday life, particularly among adolescents and young adults. The global proliferation of smartphones and constant internet connectivity has further intensified the role of social media as a central element of modern social functioning.

The rapid expansion of social media has raised increasing concerns regarding its impact on mental health. While early research predominantly emphasized negative outcomes, including increased risk of depression, anxiety, and social isolation, more recent studies have highlighted a more complex and nuanced relationship. Social media can simultaneously act as both a risk factor and a protective factor for mental well-being. Recent reviews have highlighted the growing role of social media in shaping mental health outcomes among children, adolescents, and young adults (Hilty et al., 2023).

The dual nature of social media arises from its ability to facilitate social connectivity and access to information while also exposing users to harmful experiences such as cyberbullying, social comparison, and addictive usage patterns. Importantly, the effects of social media are not uniform and depend on various factors, including the type of use, individual vulnerability, and social context.

The aim of this review is to provide a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence regarding the impact of social media on mental health, with a particular focus on identifying both negative and positive outcomes, underlying mechanisms, and implications for clinical practice and future research.

The global reach of social media has expanded rapidly in recent years. According to international reports, over 4.5 billion people worldwide actively use social media platforms, with adolescents and young adults representing the most engaged demographic group. The average daily usage often exceeds two to three hours, and in some populations, even higher durations have been reported.

This widespread adoption has transformed social media into a major environmental factor influencing psychological development, particularly during sensitive developmental periods such as adolescence.

From a clinical perspective, understanding the role of social media has become increasingly important. Mental health professionals are now encountering patients whose symptoms are directly or indirectly influenced by online experiences. Therefore, integrating knowledge about digital behavior into psychiatric assessment is essential.

The relevance of this topic extends beyond psychology and psychiatry alone. Social media now functions not merely as a communication tool but as a social environment in which identity, peer approval, emotional regulation, and health-related beliefs are continuously negotiated. For adolescents, this environment overlaps with a developmental period characterized by heightened sensitivity to peer evaluation, ongoing identity formation, and increased vulnerability to affective disorders. For adults, social media may affect work-life balance, social connectedness, political stress, and health information exposure. For older adults, it may serve as a compensatory mechanism against loneliness, particularly in individuals with limited mobility or reduced face-to-face contact.

Another important reason for studying social media in the context of mental health is the rapid evolution of platform architecture. Features such as algorithmic recommendation systems, push notifications, infinite scroll, short-form video loops, and visible public metrics of approval have changed the way users engage with online content. These elements may reinforce prolonged engagement and emotional reactivity. As a result, the mental health effects of social media cannot be reduced to the simple question of whether technology is “good” or “bad.” They must instead be understood within a broader biopsychosocial model that includes developmental stage, social environment, personality structure, coping style, digital literacy, and the design logic of the platforms themselves.

This topic also has growing importance for clinicians and public health professionals. Patients increasingly report that their mood, sleep, self-esteem, and stress levels are influenced by online experiences, including cyberbullying, viral comparison culture, exposure to distressing news, and pressure to remain permanently connected. At the same time, many users seek online communities, psychoeducation, peer support, and destigmatizing conversations about mental illness through the same platforms. This coexistence of benefit and harm makes a balanced review of the evidence especially necessary.

Therefore, this review addresses not only whether social media affects mental health, but also under what conditions, in whom, and through which mechanisms these effects emerge. Such an approach is clinically useful because it moves beyond overly generalized statements and focuses on patterns that may guide prevention, education, and intervention.

2. Methodology

A narrative literature review was conducted using the PubMed database. The literature search was performed in January 2025.

The search strategy was based on predefined keywords and Boolean operators. The following search string was used: (“social media” AND “mental health”) AND (“depression” OR “anxiety” OR “sleep disturbance” OR “cyberbullying” OR “problematic use”). Additional relevant studies were identified through manual screening of reference lists. The search was intentionally broad to capture the multidimensional nature of the relationship between social media use and mental health outcomes across different populations and study designs.

Inclusion criteria were: peer-reviewed articles; systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and empirical studies; publications in English; and studies focusing on mental health outcomes associated with social media use. Exclusion criteria included: non-peer-reviewed publications; studies lacking methodological rigor; and articles not directly addressing the relationship between social media and mental health. In addition, studies with unclear definitions of social media use or insufficient methodological transparency were excluded when necessary.

A total of approximately 70 articles were initially identified through database searching. After screening titles and abstracts, irrelevant studies were excluded, and duplicates were removed. Full-text articles were then assessed for eligibility. The selection process followed a structured approach inspired by PRISMA principles; however, due to the narrative nature of this review, a formal PRISMA protocol was not applied. Ultimately, 35 studies were included in the final analysis.

The screening process involved several stages. First, titles and abstracts were reviewed to exclude studies clearly unrelated to the topic, such as those focusing solely on general internet use without specific

analysis of social media. Second, potentially eligible full texts were assessed for relevance, methodological clarity, and direct applicability to the research question. Studies were retained if they examined social media as a primary exposure and reported outcomes related to depression, anxiety, stress, sleep, self-harm risk, cyberbullying, social support, self-esteem, or broader psychological well-being. When multiple studies addressed similar research questions, preference was given to those with larger sample sizes or more robust study designs.

The included studies consisted of cross-sectional studies, longitudinal analyses, randomized trials, and systematic reviews, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of both short-term associations and long-term trends. Longitudinal studies and systematic reviews were given particular weight during interpretation due to their stronger ability to indicate temporal relationships. Studies conducted in diverse populations and cultural contexts were also considered in order to increase the generalizability of the findings.

The included literature represented diverse methodological approaches. Cross-sectional studies were useful for identifying associations, while longitudinal studies contributed to understanding directionality and changes over time. Systematic and umbrella reviews helped identify consistent patterns across different populations and contexts. This combination of methodological perspectives enabled a more comprehensive and nuanced interpretation of the available evidence.

It should also be noted that the included studies used varying operational definitions of social media use. Some measured total daily time spent on platforms, whereas others distinguished between active and passive use, problematic use, nighttime use, or exposure to specific experiences such as cyberbullying. This variability partly explains inconsistencies across findings and highlights the importance of careful interpretation of results.

As a narrative review, this study does not aim to provide an exhaustive synthesis of all available literature but rather to present key themes and patterns emerging from selected high-quality studies. The aim was to provide a balanced and clinically relevant overview of current evidence rather than a quantitative aggregation of results.

3. Results

The reviewed literature demonstrates a consistent yet multifaceted relationship between social media use and mental health outcomes. The findings indicate that social media functions as both a risk and protective factor, depending on usage patterns, individual characteristics, and contextual influences (Sharma et al., 2020; Khalaf et al., 2023).

3.1 Negative Mental Health Outcomes

The most consistently reported negative outcomes include depression, anxiety, sleep disturbances, cyberbullying, and problematic social media use.

Depression

Multiple studies have demonstrated a significant association between high levels of social media use and depressive symptoms. Increased exposure to idealized content and frequent social comparison are considered key contributing mechanisms (Lin et al., 2016; Kelly et al., 2019).

Anxiety

Social media use has been linked to heightened anxiety levels, particularly among adolescents and young adults. Fear of missing out (FOMO) plays a central role, leading to compulsive engagement and emotional distress (Vannucci et al., 2017).

Sleep Disturbances

High social media use is associated with poorer sleep quality and reduced sleep duration. Mechanisms include blue light exposure, emotional arousal, and nighttime engagement (Levenson et al., 2016; Woods & Scott, 2016; Pirdehghan et al., 2021).

Cyberbullying

Cyberbullying represents a significant risk factor for mental health problems. Victims are at increased risk of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation (Hamm et al., 2015; Kowalski et al., 2014).

Problematic Use

Problematic social media use has been described as a behavioral addiction characterized by loss of control and compulsive engagement (Andreassen, 2014; Raudsepp, 2019).

3.2 Positive Mental Health Outcomes

Despite the risks, social media also offers several psychological benefits, particularly among adolescents, including enhanced well-being, creativity, and opportunities for meaningful engagement (Haddock et al., 2022).

Young adults represent another group frequently discussed in the literature, particularly in relation to social comparison and academic pressures.

Social Support

Online communities provide opportunities for emotional support, particularly for individuals with mental health conditions. Users may benefit from sharing experiences and receiving validation from peers facing similar challenges (Naslund et al., 2016; Naslund et al., 2020).

Access to Information

Social media facilitates access to mental health resources and promotes health awareness (Moorhead et al., 2013; Chen & Wang, 2021).

Identity Development

Social media allows for identity exploration and self-expression, particularly among adolescents. This process may contribute positively to self-concept and social integration (Valkenburg et al., 2022).

3.3 Moderating Factors

The impact of social media is influenced by: active vs passive use; duration of use; personality traits; existing mental health conditions.

Active use tends to be associated with more positive outcomes, whereas passive consumption is more strongly linked to negative effects (Orben & Przybylski, 2019). Additionally, individual vulnerability plays an important role, as users with pre-existing mental health conditions may be more susceptible to negative effects (Odgers & Jensen, 2020).

3.4 Summary of Evidence from Selected Studies

When the results of the included studies are viewed collectively, several broad patterns become visible. First, the association between social media use and poor mental health is more consistent when exposure is defined in a more specific way. Total time spent online shows only part of the picture; stronger and more clinically meaningful associations are observed when studies examine problematic use, nighttime use, passive browsing, exposure to cyberbullying, or emotionally charged social comparison (Primack et al., 2017; Levenson et al., 2017).

Second, depressive symptoms emerge as one of the most frequently studied and repeatedly reported outcomes. Across the reviewed literature, higher levels of social media engagement were associated with greater depressive symptom burden, particularly in adolescents and young adults (Lin et al., 2016; Kelly et al., 2019). The relationship was especially pronounced in studies focusing on passive consumption of content, upward social comparison, and problematic use. Longitudinal evidence suggests that the direction of effect may operate both ways: greater use may predict worsening mood over time, but low mood may also increase the tendency to withdraw into online environments (Boers et al., 2019).

Third, anxiety-related outcomes show a similar pattern. Social media was repeatedly associated with generalized anxiety, social anxiety, stress, and fear of missing out. These effects were strongest in users who reported compulsive checking, high emotional investment in online feedback, and difficulty disengaging from the platform environment (Vannucci et al., 2017). Importantly, several studies suggest that the anxiety-provoking dimension of social media is closely tied to uncertainty and anticipation.

Fourth, sleep-related findings were among the most coherent in the literature. Nighttime use, screen exposure before bedtime, and emotionally activating interactions were consistently associated with reduced sleep quality, shorter sleep duration, and increased sleep latency (Levenson et al., 2016; Ahmed et al., 2024; Pirdehghan et al., 2021). These findings are clinically relevant because sleep may act both as an outcome and as a pathway through which social media influences broader emotional functioning.

Fifth, cyberbullying and online harassment emerged as highly significant contributors to mental health risk. Studies consistently showed that exposure to cybervictimization was associated with depressive symptoms, anxiety, self-harm risk, and suicidal ideation (Hamm et al., 2015; Dorol-Beauroy-Eustache & Mishara, 2021; Marchant et al., 2017).

At the same time, the results were not uniformly negative. A distinct group of studies emphasized that social media can support mental health under certain conditions. This was most evident in research on online

social support, peer communities, mental health information sharing, and help-seeking behavior (Naslund et al., 2016; Pretorius et al., 2019).

Age and developmental stage also influenced the observed results. Adolescents appeared to be the most vulnerable group in the reviewed literature, likely due to developmental sensitivity to peer approval, self-image, and exclusion (Odgers & Jensen, 2020). Young adults also showed elevated risk, especially in studies linking heavy use with depression, anxiety, and poor sleep (Kelly et al., 2019). In contrast, some studies involving adults and older adults suggested that social media may, in selected contexts, enhance connectedness and reduce loneliness (Schønning et al., 2020).

Another important result concerns heterogeneity. Not all studies found large effects, and not all users were affected in the same way. Some investigations reported small effect sizes or mixed findings, particularly when general screen time measures were used without accounting for specific usage patterns (Berryman et al., 2018).

Taken together, the results support a differentiated interpretation: social media is neither uniformly harmful nor uniformly beneficial.

3.5 Differences Between Population Groups

The reviewed evidence also indicates important differences between population groups. Adolescents are the most intensively studied group and appear particularly susceptible to adverse effects (Odgers & Jensen, 2020).

Young adults represent another group frequently affected by social media-related distress, particularly in relation to social comparison and academic pressures (Kelly et al., 2019; Koh et al., 2024). In middle-aged and older adults, some studies suggest benefits related to social participation and reduced loneliness (Schønning et al., 2020).

3.6 Patterns of Use and Behavioral Profiles

Beyond general measures of time spent on social media, several studies have emphasized the importance of distinguishing between different patterns of use. Active use is often associated with more favorable psychological outcomes, whereas passive use is linked to negative effects (Valkenburg et al., 2022).

Compulsive checking is associated with increased stress and reduced attention capacity, while exposure to emotionally negative content may contribute to psychological distress (Perlis et al., 2021).

Feedback mechanisms such as likes and comments may influence self-esteem and emotional well-being (Andreassen, 2014).

Table 1. Summary of Positive and Negative Effects of Social Media on Mental Health

Domain	Negative Effects	Positive Effects
Emotional	Depression, anxiety	Social support
Behavioral	Addiction, compulsive use	Engagement, self-expression
Cognitive	Social comparison	Identity development
Physiological	Sleep disturbances	Access to health info

Source: Authors' own elaboration based on the reviewed literature.

4. Discussion

4.1 Social Media Use and Depression

A substantial body of literature indicates a significant association between social media use and depressive symptoms. Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies consistently demonstrate that higher frequency and intensity of social media engagement are linked to increased levels of depression (Lin et al., 2016; Kelly et al., 2019; Boers et al., 2019). However, the nature of this relationship remains complex and likely bidirectional.

One of the primary mechanisms underlying this association is **social comparison**. Social media platforms tend to present idealized and selectively curated content, which may lead users to engage in upward comparisons with others perceived as more successful, attractive, or socially connected. This process can result in decreased self-esteem, feelings of inadequacy, and negative self-perception, ultimately contributing to depressive symptoms.

Additionally, algorithm-driven content delivery systems may exacerbate these effects by continuously exposing users to emotionally salient or aspirational content. This repeated exposure can reinforce maladaptive cognitive patterns and increase vulnerability to depressive affect.

It is also important to consider reverse causality. Individuals experiencing depressive symptoms may be more likely to engage in passive or excessive social media use as a form of avoidance or coping, which may further intensify negative emotional states (Odgers & Jensen, 2020). Therefore, the relationship between social media and depression should be understood as dynamic and context-dependent rather than strictly causal.

4.2 Anxiety and Fear of Missing Out (FOMO)

Social media use has been consistently associated with increased levels of anxiety, particularly among adolescents and emerging adults (Vannucci et al., 2017). A central mechanism contributing to this relationship is the phenomenon known as fear of missing out (FOMO).

FOMO is characterized by a persistent concern that others are experiencing rewarding or meaningful events without one's participation. Social media platforms amplify this perception by providing constant updates about others' activities, achievements, and social interactions. This can lead to a heightened sense of social exclusion and pressure to remain continuously connected.

As a result, users may develop compulsive checking behaviors, which contribute to cognitive overload and emotional dysregulation. The constant need to monitor social media can also interfere with attention, productivity, and real-life social interactions, further increasing anxiety levels.

Moreover, the interactive nature of social media, including feedback mechanisms such as likes, comments, and shares, can create performance-related anxiety. Users may become preoccupied with how they are perceived by others, leading to increased self-monitoring and psychological distress.

4.3 Problematic Social Media Use and Behavioral Addiction

Problematic social media use has been conceptualized as a form of behavioral addiction, sharing characteristics with other addictive behaviors such as gambling and gaming (Andreassen, 2014). These characteristics include salience, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict, and relapse.

From a neurobiological perspective, social media use activates the brain's reward system through intermittent reinforcement mechanisms. Notifications, likes, and social feedback act as variable rewards, which are known to be particularly effective in reinforcing behavior. This can lead to compulsive engagement and difficulty regulating usage.

Empirical studies suggest that problematic social media use is associated with a range of negative mental health outcomes, including depression, anxiety, and reduced well-being (Raudsepp, 2019). Importantly, it is necessary to distinguish between high-frequency use and dysregulated use. Not all intensive use is inherently problematic; rather, the key factor is the degree of control and the extent to which social media use interferes with daily functioning. Experimental evidence also suggests that short-term abstinence from social media may lead to measurable improvements in well-being and mood (Brown & Kuss, 2020).

4.4 Sleep Disturbances and Circadian Disruption

Sleep disturbances represent one of the most consistently reported consequences of social media use (Levenson et al., 2016; Woods & Scott, 2016; van den Eijnden et al., 2021). Studies have demonstrated that increased social media engagement is associated with poorer sleep quality, reduced sleep duration, and greater sleep latency (Levenson et al., 2016; Woods & Scott, 2016).

Several mechanisms contribute to this relationship. First, exposure to blue light emitted by electronic devices suppresses melatonin production, disrupting circadian rhythms and delaying sleep onset. Second, engaging with emotionally stimulating content before bedtime increases physiological and cognitive arousal, making it more difficult to fall asleep.

Third, social media use often leads to sleep displacement, where time spent online replaces time that would otherwise be allocated to sleep. This is particularly evident among adolescents, who frequently engage in late-night social media use.

Sleep disturbances may act as a mediating factor linking social media use with broader mental health outcomes. Poor sleep is strongly associated with depression, anxiety, and impaired cognitive functioning, creating a feedback loop that exacerbates psychological distress.

4.5 Cyberbullying and Psychological Harm

Cyberbullying is one of the most severe negative consequences associated with social media use, particularly among younger populations. Research indicates that individuals exposed to cyberbullying are at significantly increased risk of depression, anxiety, self-harm, and suicidal ideation (Hamm et al., 2015; Kowalski et al., 2014; Marchant et al., 2017).

Unlike traditional forms of bullying, cyberbullying is characterized by anonymity, persistence, and a potentially unlimited audience. These features can intensify the psychological impact, as victims may feel unable to escape harassment.

Furthermore, the public nature of social media interactions can amplify feelings of humiliation and social stigma. This can lead to long-term psychological consequences, including decreased self-esteem and social withdrawal.

Preventing cyberbullying and providing adequate support for victims should be a priority for both policymakers and healthcare professionals.

4.6 Social Support and Positive Psychosocial Outcomes

Despite the risks, social media platforms can provide meaningful psychological benefits, particularly in terms of social support. Online communities allow individuals to connect with others who share similar experiences, including those related to mental health conditions (Naslund et al., 2016; Zhou & Cheng, 2022).

These interactions can reduce feelings of isolation, increase perceived social support, and facilitate coping strategies. For individuals who may face barriers to accessing traditional mental health services, such as stigma or geographical limitations, social media can serve as an accessible alternative.

Additionally, peer support in online environments may enhance engagement and promote help-seeking behavior, which is crucial for early intervention.

4.7 Health Communication and Information Dissemination

Social media has emerged as a powerful tool for health communication. It enables rapid dissemination of information and provides a platform for public health campaigns, mental health education, and awareness initiatives (Moorhead et al., 2013).

However, the quality of information available on social media varies widely. The spread of misinformation and unverified content can have harmful consequences, particularly in the context of mental health.

Therefore, there is a need for increased involvement of healthcare professionals and institutions in creating and promoting reliable content. Enhancing digital health literacy among users is also essential to help individuals critically evaluate online information.

4.8 Identity Development and Self-Expression

Social media provides a unique environment for identity exploration and self-expression, particularly during adolescence and early adulthood. Users can experiment with different aspects of their identity, receive feedback, and engage in social interaction.

This can have positive effects on self-concept and social integration. However, excessive reliance on external validation, such as likes and comments, may lead to unstable self-esteem and increased vulnerability to negative feedback.

Additionally, exposure to appearance-focused content may contribute to body dissatisfaction and disordered eating behaviors. Therefore, the relationship between social media and identity development is complex and influenced by multiple contextual factors.

4.9 Moderating and Mediating Factors

The impact of social media on mental health is not uniform and is influenced by a range of moderating and mediating factors. These include:

- type of use (active vs passive),
- duration and frequency of use,
- individual vulnerability (e.g., personality traits, pre-existing mental health conditions),
- social environment.

Active use, characterized by direct interaction and communication, is generally associated with more positive outcomes, whereas passive consumption is more strongly linked to negative effects (Orben & Przybylski, 2019).

These findings highlight the importance of adopting a nuanced perspective that accounts for individual differences and usage patterns.

4.10 Implications for Practice and Future Research

The findings of this review have important implications for clinical practice and public health. Healthcare professionals should consider social media use as part of routine mental health assessment and provide guidance on healthy usage patterns.

Future research should prioritize longitudinal and experimental designs to better understand causal relationships. Emerging evidence also suggests that social media-based interventions may offer promising tools for mental health support and early intervention (Kruzan et al., 2022). Additionally, there is a need to explore the role of platform design and algorithms in shaping user experiences and mental health outcomes.

Developing evidence-based interventions aimed at promoting healthy social media use represents a key area for future work.

4.11 Limitations of Existing Research

An important issue emerging from the reviewed literature is the methodological limitation of the field itself. Many studies rely on self-reported estimates of time spent on social media, which are often imprecise and may not correspond to objectively recorded usage. In addition, different studies define “social media use” in markedly different ways. Some focus on total daily duration, others on platform-specific behaviors, and still others on subjective emotional investment. This heterogeneity makes direct comparison difficult and may partly explain why effect sizes vary.

Another limitation is the predominance of cross-sectional research. Although such studies are useful for detecting associations, they cannot establish causality. It remains possible that poorer mental health increases vulnerability to maladaptive social media engagement, rather than social media being the original cause. Longitudinal studies have improved this picture, but they are still less common than cross-sectional designs, and experimental evidence remains limited.

There are also important contextual factors that are often underexamined. Platform culture, algorithmic design, socioeconomic background, offline support systems, and cultural norms may all shape how social media affects users. Future studies should therefore adopt more ecologically valid and developmentally informed models. Objective digital trace data, ecological momentary assessment, and mixed-methods approaches may be especially valuable in clarifying how specific online experiences interact with mood, cognition, and behavior in daily life.

4.12 Public Health and Clinical Implications

The public health implications of these findings are substantial. Because social media use is now nearly universal among younger populations, even modest effect sizes may translate into meaningful population-level consequences. Preventive efforts should therefore focus not only on limiting screen time in a general sense, but also on teaching healthier engagement patterns. Digital literacy programs should help young users understand social comparison, recognize manipulative platform features, identify misinformation, and manage online boundaries.

Schools and universities may play a particularly important role by incorporating education on digital well-being into health promotion initiatives. Parents and caregivers should also be supported in developing realistic and evidence-based strategies for supervising online activity without relying solely on prohibition. Excessively restrictive approaches may be ineffective, especially in older adolescents, whereas collaborative approaches centered on communication, sleep hygiene, and critical reflection may be more beneficial.

From a clinical standpoint, screening for social media-related stressors should become more routine in mental health assessment. Clinicians may ask about passive scrolling, cyberbullying exposure, nighttime use, reliance on online validation, and use of online communities for support. Such discussions can reveal modifiable factors contributing to symptoms and may help tailor psychoeducation or behavioral interventions. In this sense, social media use should be regarded not as a marginal lifestyle issue but as a meaningful component of contemporary psychosocial functioning.

4.13 The Role of Platform Design and Algorithmic Influence

An increasingly important dimension in understanding the relationship between social media and mental health is the role of platform design and algorithmic influence. Social media platforms are not neutral environments; they are structured systems designed to maximize user engagement through personalized content delivery and behavioral reinforcement mechanisms.

Algorithms prioritize content that is likely to generate interaction, which often includes emotionally charged, novel, or socially comparative material. This can lead to repeated exposure to content that intensifies emotional responses, including envy, outrage, or insecurity. Over time, such exposure may contribute to chronic stress and negative affective states.

The design of infinite scrolling interfaces and autoplay features further encourages prolonged use by removing natural stopping cues. As a result, users may spend significantly more time on platforms than initially intended. This extended engagement increases exposure to both positive and negative content, but may disproportionately amplify harmful effects due to cumulative exposure.

Another important factor is the visibility of social metrics. The quantification of social approval through likes, comments, and follower counts introduces a performance-oriented dimension to social interaction. For some users, particularly adolescents, this may increase pressure to present an idealized self-image and to seek external validation. The discrepancy between online self-presentation and real-life experience may contribute to emotional strain and reduced authenticity.

It is also worth noting that platform design can influence not only individual behavior but also social norms. The normalization of constant availability, rapid response, and continuous engagement may alter expectations within peer groups, making disengagement more difficult and potentially increasing anxiety.

From a research perspective, these factors highlight the importance of considering technological architecture as an active variable rather than a passive background. Future studies should incorporate measures of platform features and user interaction patterns to better understand how design influences mental health outcomes.

From a practical standpoint, increased transparency regarding algorithmic processes and the introduction of user-controlled features, such as usage reminders or content filters, may help mitigate some of the negative effects associated with prolonged and unregulated use.

5. Conclusions

Social media exerts a complex and multifaceted influence on mental health. While it presents significant risks, including depression, anxiety, and sleep disturbances, it also offers important benefits such as social support and access to information.

The key determinant of its impact lies in how it is used. Promoting balanced and mindful use of social media is essential for maximizing benefits and minimizing harm.

In addition, the integration of digital literacy into educational systems may play a crucial role in helping individuals navigate social media environments more effectively. Empowering users with the skills to critically evaluate online content and manage their usage may reduce the risk of negative outcomes.

In addition to individual-level recommendations, there is a growing need for broader institutional and societal responses. Technology companies, educators, healthcare providers, and policymakers all share responsibility for creating safer digital environments. Platform design choices that reduce compulsive engagement, strengthen privacy protections, and improve responses to harassment may contribute meaningfully to better mental health outcomes. At the same time, educational systems should promote digital resilience, helping users not only to avoid harm but also to use online spaces in ways that support connection, learning, and well-being.

Overall, the current evidence suggests that the most productive question is not whether social media should be universally embraced or rejected. Rather, the central issue is how to maximize its supportive functions while reducing its capacity to intensify distress, dysregulation, and social harm. A balanced, evidence-based approach is therefore essential for both research and practice.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, O., Walsh, E. I., Dawel, A., Alateeq, K., Espinoza Oyarce, D. A., & Cherbuin, N. (2024). Social media use, mental health and sleep: A systematic review with meta-analyses. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 367, 701–712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2024.08.193>
2. Andreassen, C. S. (2014). Social network site addiction: An overview. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 20(25), 4053–4061. <https://doi.org/10.2174/13816128113199990616>
3. Berryman, C., Ferguson, C. J., & Negy, C. (2018). Social media use and mental health among young adults. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 89(2), 307–314. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-017-9535-6>
4. Boers, E., Afzali, M. H., Newton, N., & Conrod, P. (2019). Association of screen time and depression in adolescence. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 173(9), 853–859. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.1759>
5. Brown, L., & Kuss, D. J. (2020). A seven-day social media abstinence trial. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 9(3), 654–665. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2020.00045>
6. Chen, J., & Wang, Y. (2021). Social media use for health purposes: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(5), e17917. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17917>
7. Dorol-Beauroy-Eustache, O., & Mishara, B. L. (2021). Systematic review of risk and protective factors for suicidal and self-harm behaviors among children and adolescents involved with cyberbullying. *Preventive Medicine*, 152, 106684. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106684>
8. Haddock, A., Ward, N., Yu, R., & O'Dea, N. (2022). Positive effects of digital technology use by adolescents: A scoping review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(21), 14009. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192114009>
9. Hamm, M. P., Newton, A. S., Chisholm, A., Shulhan, J., Milne, A., Sundar, P., Ennis, H., Scott, S. D., & Hartling, L. (2015). Prevalence and effect of cyberbullying on children and young people: A scoping review. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(8), 770–777. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.0944>
10. Hilty, D. M., Stubbe, D., Shoemaker, E. Z., Karic, J., & Yelton, E. (2023). Social media in children, adolescents, and young adults: A scoping review. *BJPsych Open*, 9(5), e152. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2023.520>
11. Kelly, Y., Zilanawala, A., Booker, C., & Sacker, A. (2019). Social media use and adolescent mental health. *EClinicalMedicine*, 6, 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.12.005>
12. Khalaf, A. M., Alubied, A. A., Khalaf, A. M., & Rifaey, A. A. (2023). Impact of social media on mental health of adolescents. *Cureus*, 15(8), e42990. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.42990>
13. Koh, G. K., Yong, A. S. Y., Toh, W. X., & Tan, N. C. (2024). Social media use and mental health in adults. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 21(4), 221–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wvn.12741>
14. Kowalski, R. M., Giumetti, G. W., Schroeder, A. N., & Lattanner, M. R. (2014). Cyberbullying research among youth. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(4), 1073–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035618>

15. Kruzan, K. P., Williams, K. D. A., Meyerhoff, J., Yoo, D. W., O'Dwyer, L. C., De Choudhury, M., & Mohr, D. C. (2022). Social media-based interventions for mental health. *Internet Interventions*, 30, 100578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2022.100578>
16. Levenson, J. C., Shensa, A., Sidani, J. E., Colditz, J. B., & Primack, B. A. (2016). Social media use and sleep disturbance. *Preventive Medicine*, 85, 36–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.01.001>
17. Levenson, J. C., Shensa, A., Sidani, J. E., Maitland, S. B., & Primack, B. A. (2017). Social media use before bed and sleep disturbance. *Sleep*, 40(9), zsx113. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsx113>
18. Lin, L. Y., Sidani, J. E., Shensa, A., Radovic, A., Miller, E., Colditz, J. B., Hoffman, B. L., Giles, L. M., & Primack, B. A. (2016). Social media use and depression. *Depression and Anxiety*, 33(4), 323–331. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22466>
19. Marchant, A., Hawton, K., Stewart, A., Montgomery, P., Singaravelu, V., Lloyd, K., Purdy, N., Daine, K., & John, A. (2017). Internet use, self-harm and suicidal behaviour. *PLOS ONE*, 12(8), e0181722. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181722>
20. Moorhead, S. A., Hazlett, D. E., Harrison, L., Carroll, J. K., Irwin, A., & Hoving, C. (2013). Social media for health communication. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 15(4), e85. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1933>
21. Naslund, J. A., Aschbrenner, K. A., Marsch, L. A., & Bartels, S. J. (2016). Peer-to-peer support and social media. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 25(2), 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796015001067>
22. Naslund, J. A., Bondre, A., Torous, J., & Aschbrenner, K. A. (2020). Social media and mental health. *Journal of Technology in Behavioral Science*, 5(3), 245–257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41347-020-00134-x>
23. Odgers, C. L., & Jensen, M. R. (2020). Adolescent mental health in the digital age. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 61(3), 336–348. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13190>
24. Orben, A., & Przybylski, A. K. (2019). Digital technology use and well-being. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 3(2), 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0506-1>
25. Perlis, R. H., Ognyanova, K., Santillana, M., Baum, M. A., Lazer, D., Druckman, J., Della Volpe, J., & Simonson, M. D. (2021). Social media and depression symptoms. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(11), e2135043. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.35043>
26. Pirdehghan, A., Khezme, E., & Panahi, S. (2021). Social media and sleep disturbance. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry*, 16(2), 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.18502/ijps.v16i2.5814>
27. Pretorius, C., Chambers, D., & Coyle, D. (2019). Online help-seeking and mental health. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21(11), e13873. <https://doi.org/10.2196/13873>
28. Primack, B. A., Shensa, A., Sidani, J. E., Whaite, E. O., Lin, L. Y., Rosen, D., Colditz, J. B., Radovic, A., & Miller, E. (2017). Social media use and social isolation. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 53(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.01.010>
29. Raudsepp, L. (2019). Problematic social media use and depression. *Journal of Adolescence*, 76, 197–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2019.09.004>
30. Schønning, V., Hjetland, G. J., Aarø, L. E., & Skogen, J. C. (2020). Social media and mental health in adolescents. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1949. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01949>
31. Sharma, M. K., John, N., & Sahu, M. (2020). Social media and mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 33(5), 467–475. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0000000000000631>
32. Valkenburg, P. M., Meier, A., & Beyens, I. (2022). Social media and adolescent mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 44, 58–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.08.017>
33. Vannucci, A., Flannery, K. M., & Ohannessian, C. M. C. (2017). Social media and anxiety. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 207, 163–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.040>
34. van den Eijnden, R. J. J. M., Geurts, S. M., ter Bogt, T. F. M., van der Rijst, V. G., & Koning, I. M. (2021). Social media use and sleep. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(3), 1346. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18031346>
35. Woods, H. C., & Scott, H. (2016). Social media and sleep, anxiety and depression. *Journal of Adolescence*, 51, 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.05.008>
36. Zhou, Z., & Cheng, Q. (2022). Online social support and mental health. *Journal of Adolescence*, 94(3), 281–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2022.01.006>