International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	INTEGRATING LASER TECHNOLOGY INTO DERMATOLOGY EDUCATION: EF-FICACY, SAFETY, AND COMPTENCY-BASED TRAINING: A NARRATIVE RE-VIEW
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5559
RECEIVED	22 March 2026
ACCEPTED	14 June 2026
PUBLISHED	19 June 2026
LICENSE	The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.**

INTEGRATING LASER TECHNOLOGY INTO DERMATOLOGY EDUCATION: EF-FICACY, SAFETY, AND COMPTENCY-BASED TRAINING: A NARRATIVE RE-VIEW

Justyna Maria Wiktorowicz *(Corresponding Author, Email: justwikt00@gmail.com)*
COPERNICUS, St. Adalbert's Hospital in Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-9633-6873

Zofia Sinczak
Szpital św. Wincentego a Paulo in Gdynia, Gdynia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-3516-4044

Anna Maria Kowalska
Szpitale Tczewskie SA, Tczew, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0002-0716-9901

Nicole Bulińska
University Clinical Centre, Gdansk, Pomerania, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-6449-6450

Aleksandra Zofia Sobol
St. Vincent de Paul Hospital in Gdynia, Gdynia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-9614-3472

Aleksandra Anna Kuls
Polish Red Cross Maritime Hospital, Gdynia, Pomerania, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-3808-7631

Bogusław Pabis
University Clinical Centre, Gdansk, Pomerania, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-8833-1579

Martyna Kadłubańska
Polish Red Cross Maritime Hospital, Gdynia, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-7074-657X

Sonia Zembrzuska
Polish Red Cross Maritime Hospital, Gdynia, Pomerania, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-3594-6591

Stanisław Bajerski
Gdański Uniwersytet Medyczny, Marii Skłodowskiej-Curie 3a, 80-210 Gdańsk, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-3815-8396

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of laser technologies has significantly transformed contemporary dermato-logical practice, creating a growing demand for structured and competency-based education in this field. Despite the widespread clinical application of laser systems, variability in training standards and limited integration of modern educational technologies remain persistent challenges with impli-cations for both patient safety and healthcare systems.

This review aims to examine the integration of laser technology into dermatology education, with a particular focus on treatment efficacy, safety considerations, and the role of technology-enhanced learning in improving clinical competency. A narrative review of recent literature was conducted, focusing on studies related to laser applications in dermatology, associated clinical outcomes, and emerging educational approaches, including simulation-based training, e-learning platforms, and virtual or augmented reality tools.

The findings indicate that while laser therapies demonstrate high clinical efficacy, their safe and effective use is strongly dependent on practitioner expertise and the quality of training. Current educational models remain heterogeneous and often lack standardized competency frameworks, contributing to disparities in skill acquisition and access to high-quality training. Technology-enhanced, competency-based approaches show considerable potential in addressing these gaps by improving procedural proficiency, supporting continuous learning, and enhancing patient safety outcomes.

The review highlights the need for the development of standardized, technology-integrated training frameworks that align with the evolving demands of dermatological practice. It also emphasizes broader implications for healthcare education systems, including the need to ensure equitable access to advanced training and to support lifelong learning in rapidly changing clinical environments.

KEYWORDS

Laser Dermatology, Dermatology Education, Competency-Based Education, Simulation-Based Training, Patient Safety, Treatment Efficacy

CITATION

Justyna Maria Wiktorowicz, Zofia Sinczak, Anna Maria Kowalska, Nicole Bulińska, Aleksandra Zofia Sobol, Aleksandra Anna Kuls, Bogusław Pabis, Martyna Kadłubańska, Sonia Zembrzuska, Stanisław Bajerski. (2026) Integrating Laser Technology Into Dermatology Education: Efficacy, Safety, and Competency-Based Training: a Narrative Review. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 2(50). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5559

1.Introduction

The dynamic development of laser technologies has significantly reshaped contemporary dermatology, transforming both diagnostic and therapeutic practices (Alster, 2016). Over the past decades, laser-based procedures have become an integral component of dermatological care, widely applied in the management of vascular lesions, pigmentary disorders, acne scars, and a broad spectrum of aesthetic skin conditions. These technologies offer high precision, controlled tissue interaction, and minimally invasive treatment options, which contribute to improved clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction (Anderson & Parrish, 2018). Consequently, laser dermatology has evolved into a highly specialized and rapidly advancing field that requires not only advanced clinical knowledge but also a high level of technical proficiency and continuous professional development.

At the same time, the rapid pace of technological innovation presents substantial challenges for dermatology education systems worldwide. The integration of sophisticated laser devices into routine clinical practice necessitates a multidimensional skill set that extends beyond theoretical understanding (Bagherani et al., 2014). Clinicians must develop procedural competence, risk assessment abilities, and a deep understanding of laser-tissue interactions, as well as the capacity to adapt to continuously emerging technologies and treatment protocols (Hruza & Dover, 2018; Tuchin, 2015). Insufficient training in this domain may result in adverse clinical outcomes, including burns, scarring, and post-inflammatory pigmentation disorders, thereby raising critical concerns regarding patient safety, quality of care, and professional accountability (Alam et al., 2015).

Traditional models of medical education, particularly in procedural specialties such as dermatology, have historically relied on apprenticeship-based learning frameworks (Daniels & Schmults, 2018). While such approaches facilitate experiential knowledge acquisition through direct clinical exposure, they are inherently limited by variability in case availability, access to specialized equipment, and the expertise of supervising clinicians. In the context of laser dermatology, these limitations are especially pronounced. Opportunities for hands-on training may be restricted due to safety considerations, ethical constraints, and the high financial costs associated with advanced laser systems. As a result, disparities in training quality often emerge across institutions and geographic regions, leading to inconsistencies in practitioner competency and clinical outcomes.

These challenges underscore the necessity for a paradigm shift toward more structured, transparent, and outcome-oriented educational models. Competency-based medical education (CBME) has gained increasing recognition as a framework that emphasizes the achievement of clearly defined competencies and measurable learning outcomes rather than time-based progression (Aung & Pattison, 2017; Morris & Katz, 2020). Within the context of laser dermatology, CBME offers a promising approach to standardizing training processes, enhancing the objectivity of skill assessment, and ensuring that practitioners meet minimum safety and performance standards. By focusing on demonstrable competencies, this model aligns educational practices more closely with the demands of modern, technology-driven healthcare systems.

In parallel, the advancement of digital and educational technologies has created new opportunities to enhance dermatology training. Simulation-based learning has emerged as a key component of procedural education, allowing trainees to acquire and refine technical skills in a controlled, reproducible, and risk-free environment (Katz & McBean, 2018). This approach is particularly valuable in laser dermatology, where procedural errors can have significant and sometimes irreversible clinical consequences. High-fidelity simulators enable repeated practice, immediate feedback, and objective performance assessment, thereby supporting the development of both technical precision and clinical confidence.

Moreover, emerging technologies such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) offer immersive and interactive learning environments that can replicate complex clinical scenarios (Kim & Park, 2019). These tools facilitate experiential learning without direct patient involvement and enable the visualization of otherwise abstract concepts, such as laser-tissue interactions and energy distribution (Tuchin, 2015). In addition, artificial intelligence (AI)-supported educational platforms are increasingly being explored as tools for personalized learning, adaptive assessment, and predictive evaluation of trainee performance. Together, these innovations represent a significant shift toward technology-enhanced learning ecosystems in medical education.

The growing availability of e-learning platforms and digital educational resources further contributes to the transformation of dermatology training. Online modules, webinars, and interactive case-based learning tools provide flexible and accessible avenues for knowledge acquisition, supporting both undergraduate education and continuous professional development. Importantly, these resources facilitate the standardization of theoretical content across institutions and enable broader dissemination of best practices. From a systemic perspective, the integration of digital learning tools reflects broader trends in the digitalization of education and the increasing importance of lifelong learning in healthcare professions (Miller & Walji, 2019).

Despite these promising developments, the implementation of innovative educational approaches in dermatology remains uneven and fragmented (Pérez-Comas & Fernández, 2017). Despite these advances, there is currently no standardized, competency-based framework for laser dermatology training (Singh & Gupta, 2020; Fell & Cockerell, 2020). Many training programs lack formalized and standardized curricula for laser education, and there is considerable variability in certification requirements, training duration, and competency assessment methods. This lack of harmonization not only affects individual learning outcomes but also has wider implications for healthcare systems, including variability in quality of care, increased risk of complications, and challenges in maintaining consistent professional standards across regions.

Furthermore, issues related to accessibility and equity play a critical role in the context of technology-driven medical education. Access to advanced training tools, such as simulation systems, VR platforms, and specialized laser equipment, is often constrained by institutional resources, geographic location, and economic factors. Consequently, the benefits of technological innovation in education are not equally distributed, potentially exacerbating existing disparities within healthcare systems and limiting opportunities for skill development among certain groups of learners.

In light of these challenges, there is a clear need for a comprehensive, interdisciplinary, and system-oriented approach to the integration of laser technology into dermatology education. Such an approach should combine clinical evidence on treatment efficacy and safety with insights from educational science, instructional design, and technological innovation. It should also address broader structural factors, including the standardization of training frameworks, the scalability of educational solutions, and the role of continuous professional development in maintaining clinical competence in a rapidly evolving technological landscape.

Within this context, the present review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the integration of laser technologies into dermatology education. The objectives of this study are threefold: first, to evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of laser-based dermatological procedures as a foundation for training requirements; second, to examine existing educational models with particular emphasis on competency-based approaches; and third, to explore the potential of technology-enhanced learning strategies—including simulation, digital platforms, and immersive technologies—in improving training outcomes and supporting more equitable and effective healthcare education systems.

By adopting an interdisciplinary perspective, this paper seeks to contribute not only to the field of dermatology but also to the broader discourse on innovation in medical and social science-oriented education. The findings are intended to inform the development of more effective, accessible, and standardized training frameworks that can better respond to the challenges posed by rapidly evolving medical technologies, while simultaneously promoting patient safety, professional accountability, and educational equity.

2. Technology in Dermatology: Fundamentals and Tissue Interaction

A laser generates electromagnetic radiation through the process of stimulated emission, operating within the ultraviolet, visible, or infrared spectrum and exhibiting distinct physical properties (Tuchin, 2015; Hruza & Dover, 2018). Lasers can be classified according to the type of active medium, including gas lasers (CO_2, argon, excimer XeCl), solid-state lasers (ruby, alexandrite, neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet [Nd:YAG], thulium, holmium, erbium), diode lasers, liquid lasers, and composite systems.

The interaction of laser radiation with biological tissue is determined by several key parameters, including exposure time, power density, and wavelength (Anderson & Parrish, 2018). Laser energy may be delivered in continuous wave (CW) mode or in pulsed modes with durations in the microsecond, nanosecond, or picosecond range. The use of pulse durations equal to or shorter than the thermal relaxation time of targeted tissue structures, combined with appropriate power density and wavelength selection, enables the achievement of precise and controlled therapeutic effects (Anderson & Parrish, 2018; Tuchin, 2015).

3. Methodology

This study adopts a narrative review methodology to examine the integration of laser technology into dermatology education, with a particular focus on clinical efficacy, safety considerations, and technology-enhanced competency-based training. Given the interdisciplinary nature of the topic, the review aims to provide a comprehensive synthesis of literature from dermatology, medical education, and educational technology.

A literature search was conducted using major electronic databases, including PubMed and Scopus, supplemented by Google Scholar to identify additional and recent publications. The search covered studies published between 2010 and 2025 and included keywords such as “laser dermatology,” “laser therapy,” “dermatology training,” “medical education,” “competency-based education,” and “simulation-based or technology-enhanced learning.” Reference lists of relevant articles were also screened to identify additional sources.

Eligible studies included peer-reviewed articles addressing clinical applications of laser technologies, safety considerations, and educational approaches in dermatology or related procedural disciplines. Both empirical studies and review articles were considered to ensure a broad and integrative perspective. Non-English publications, studies lacking methodological clarity, and articles not directly relevant to the scope of the review were excluded.

The selection process involved screening titles and abstracts, followed by full-text assessment of relevant studies. The included literature was analyzed using a thematic approach, focusing on key areas such as clinical efficacy, safety outcomes, training models, and the role of emerging educational technologies. Due to the heterogeneity of the included studies, a qualitative synthesis was performed.

4. Clinical and Educational Outcomes of Laser Integration

4.1. Clinical Efficacy of Laser Technologies

The analysis of the reviewed literature demonstrates that laser technologies represent highly effective therapeutic tools across a broad spectrum of dermatological conditions. Their clinical efficacy is primarily based on the principle of selective photothermolysis, which enables targeted treatment of specific skin structures while minimizing damage to surrounding tissues (Anderson & Parrish, 2018).

Across multiple studies, laser-based interventions showed consistently positive outcomes in the treatment of vascular lesions, pigmentary disorders, acne scarring, and aesthetic skin conditions(Alster & Lupton, 2011; Cohen & Kauvar, 2014; Goldberg & Berlin, 2019). Reported effectiveness was particularly high in vascular treatments using pulsed dye lasers, where lesion clearance rates ranged from moderate to substantial after multiple sessions. Similarly, fractional laser systems demonstrated significant improvements in skin texture and scar appearance, primarily due to their ability to stimulate collagen remodeling.

In pigmentary disorders, such as melasma and solar lentigines, Q-switched and picosecond lasers showed promising results; however, outcomes were more variable and highly dependent on patient characteristics, particularly skin phototype (Cervantes et al., 2023). In aesthetic applications, including hair removal and skin rejuvenation, high patient satisfaction rates were reported, although repeated treatments were often required to maintain long-term results.

Despite the overall effectiveness, the literature highlights considerable variability in treatment outcomes. This variability is attributed to differences in device parameters, practitioner experience, patient selection, and treatment protocols (Haedersdal & Farinelli, 2015; Haykal et al., 2024). The absence of standardized clinical guidelines further contributes to inconsistencies across studies and clinical settings.

Table 1. Summary of Clinical Uses of Lasers in Dermatology

Application Area	Laser Type	Reported Outcomes	Key Limitations
Vascular lesions	Pulsed dye laser	High clearance rates, strong efficacy	Multiple sessions required
Pigmentary disorders	Q-switched, picosecond	Effective pigment reduction	Risk of recurrence, variable response
Acne scars	Fractional lasers	Improved texture and collagen remodeling	Gradual results, patient variability
Hair removal	Diode, Alexandrite	Long-term hair reduction	Maintenance sessions needed
Skin resurfacing	CO_2, Er:YAG	Significant aesthetic improvement	Downtime and recovery risks

4.2. Safety and Risk Profile of Laser Procedures

Safety remains a central theme in the literature, with most studies emphasizing that laser procedures are generally safe when performed by adequately trained practitioners (Alam et al., 2015; Hruza & Dover, 2018). However, the findings also indicate that complications are not uncommon in cases of improper use or insufficient training.

The most frequently reported adverse effects include transient erythema and edema, which are considered normal post-procedural responses. More significant complications, such as burns, blistering, and pigmentary alterations, were reported less frequently but are clinically relevant due to their potential long-term impact. Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation was particularly prevalent in individuals with darker skin phototypes, highlighting the importance of individualized treatment planning (Jacob & Dover, 2014).

Serious complications, such as scarring, were rare but typically associated with procedural errors, including excessive energy settings or inadequate cooling techniques. Across the reviewed studies, a strong correlation was observed between practitioner experience and complication rates, underscoring the importance of structured training (Waibel & Beer, 2019).

Laser radiation poses a significant risk of permanent ocular injury and represents one of the most serious complications associated with laser-based therapies. Even minimal direct exposure of the retina may result in irreversible visual impairment. The use of appropriate protective eyewear substantially reduces the likelihood of inadvertent damage to the retina and cornea; therefore, adequate eye protection for both the patient and the clinician is essential. The type and size of protective devices may vary depending on the patient’s age, and the selection of age-appropriate eye protection is crucial to ensure effective shielding against the harmful effects of laser exposure (Alam et al., 2015; Hruza & Dover, 2018).

Table 2. Adverse Effects and Contributing Factors in Laser Dermatology

Adverse Effect	Frequency	Primary Causes	Clinical Significance
Erythema / edema	High	Expected inflammatory response	Transient, low risk
Burns / blistering	Moderate	Incorrect device settings	Moderate to severe
Hyperpigmentation	Moderate	Inadequate patient selection	More common in darker skin types
Hypopigmentation	Low	Over-treatment	Potentially permanent
Scarring	Rare	Severe procedural errors	Permanent, cosmetically significant
Ocular injury	Rare	Inadequate eye protection/accidental;aser exposure	Potentially severe, risk of vision damage

4.3. Effectiveness of Educational Models in Dermatology Training

The literature indicates that the effectiveness of dermatology training is closely linked to the structure and methodology of educational programs. Traditional apprenticeship-based models, while still widely used, show limitations in ensuring consistent skill acquisition, particularly in highly technical procedures such as laser treatments.

Competency-based medical education (CBME) has emerged as a more effective alternative, emphasizing measurable learning outcomes and standardized assessment (Aung & Pattison, 2017; Morris & Katz, 2020). Studies included in this review consistently demonstrate that CBME frameworks improve both technical proficiency and clinical decision-making skills. Trainees exposed to competency-based curricula showed higher levels of procedural accuracy and greater adherence to safety protocols.

In contrast, non-structured training approaches were associated with variability in skill levels and increased risk of procedural errors. The lack of standardized benchmarks for competency assessment further contributes to inconsistencies in training outcomes (Daniels & Schmults, 2018).

Table 3. Comparison of Traditional and Competency-Based Training Models

Feature	Traditional Model	Competency-Based Model (CBME)
Training structure	Time-based	Outcome-based
Skill assessment	Subjective	Objective, standardized
Learning progression	Variable	Defined milestones
Focus	Experience accumulation	Demonstrated competence
Reproducibility	Low	High

4.4. Impact of Simulation-Based Training

Simulation-based training has been identified as a highly effective tool for improving procedural skills in dermatology education (Katz & McBean, 2018). The reviewed studies consistently report that simulation enhances technical accuracy, reduces error rates, and increases learner confidence.

Simulation allows trainees to practice laser procedures in a controlled and risk-free environment, which is particularly important given the potential for adverse outcomes in real clinical settings (Fell & Cockerell, 2020). High-fidelity simulators enable repeated practice and immediate feedback, both of which are critical for skill acquisition.

Moreover, simulation supports standardization in training by ensuring that all learners are exposed to similar clinical scenarios. This reduces variability in educational experiences and contributes to more consistent competency development.

However, the implementation of simulation-based training is often limited by financial and logistical constraints. High costs and the need for specialized equipment and trained instructors remain significant barriers to widespread adoption.

4.5. Technology-Enhanced Learning: Digital and Immersive Tools

The integration of digital technologies into dermatology education has significantly expanded learning opportunities. The reviewed literature highlights the effectiveness of various technology-enhanced learning tools, including e-learning platforms, virtual reality (VR), and augmented reality (AR) (Miller & Walji, 2019; Kim & Park, 2019).

E-learning platforms provide flexible access to theoretical knowledge and support self-directed learning. Studies show that learners using online resources demonstrate improved knowledge retention and greater accessibility to standardized content.

VR and AR technologies offer immersive learning experiences that enhance procedural understanding. These tools allow for visualization of complex processes, such as laser-tissue interactions, and provide interactive environments for skill development.

Artificial intelligence (AI)-based systems are emerging as innovative tools for personalized learning and performance assessment. Although still in early stages, these technologies show potential for optimizing training efficiency and adapting educational content to individual learner needs.

4.6. Standardization, Accessibility, and Global Disparities

A major finding across the reviewed literature is the lack of standardization in laser dermatology education (Pérez-Comas & Fernández, 2017). Training programs vary significantly in terms of curriculum content, duration, and assessment methods, leading to inconsistencies in practitioner competency.

Efforts to establish standardized guidelines are ongoing but remain limited in scope and implementation. The absence of unified certification systems further complicates the assessment of practitioner qualifications.

Access to training resources also represents a significant challenge. Institutions with limited financial resources may lack access to advanced technologies, including simulation systems and laser devices. This creates disparities in educational opportunities and may contribute to unequal healthcare outcomes (Bagherani et al., 2014; Singh & Gupta, 2020).

Technology-enhanced learning has the potential to address some of these issues by providing scalable and remote training solutions. However, barriers such as digital infrastructure limitations and unequal access to technology must be considered.

5. Discussion

The findings of this review highlight the growing importance of integrating laser technology into dermatology education within a structured, competency-based, and technology-enhanced framework. The results demonstrate not only the high clinical efficacy of laser-based procedures but also emphasize the critical role of education in ensuring safe and effective practice. Taken together, the evidence suggests that the successful adoption of laser technologies in dermatology is closely dependent on the quality, accessibility, and standardization of training programs (Singh & Gupta, 2020).

One of the most significant observations emerging from this review is the strong relationship between practitioner competency and clinical outcomes. While laser technologies offer precise and minimally invasive treatment options, their effectiveness is highly dependent on operator expertise. Variability in treatment outcomes, as identified in the Results section, reflects inconsistencies in training quality, differences in clinical experience, and the absence of universally accepted guidelines. This finding underscores the necessity of transitioning from traditional, experience-based training models toward structured educational frameworks that ensure consistent skill acquisition and performance standards (Pérez-Comas & Fernández, 2017; Bagherani et al., 2014).

The adoption of competency-based medical education (CBME) represents a critical step in addressing these challenges. Unlike traditional time-based models, CBME focuses on the attainment of clearly defined competencies, allowing for more objective assessment of both technical and non-technical skills. The reviewed studies indicate that CBME contributes to improved procedural accuracy, enhanced clinical decision-making, and greater adherence to safety protocols (Aung & Pattison, 2017; Morris & Katz, 2020; Daniels & Schmults, 2018). Importantly, this model aligns well with the demands of modern healthcare systems, which increasingly prioritize measurable outcomes, accountability, and patient-centered care.

However, despite its demonstrated advantages, the implementation of CBME in dermatology remains uneven. Many training programs continue to rely on informal or partially structured approaches, particularly in the domain of laser education. This inconsistency highlights a broader issue within medical education: the gap between emerging educational paradigms and their practical application. Bridging this gap requires coordinated efforts at the institutional, national, and international levels, including the development of standardized curricula, accreditation systems, and competency assessment frameworks (Pérez-Comas & Fernández, 2017).

Another key implication of this review relates to patient safety. The findings clearly indicate that adverse effects associated with laser procedures are often preventable and closely linked to inadequate training. Complications such as burns, scarring, and pigmentary changes are not solely technical issues but also educational ones, reflecting insufficient understanding of laser physics, tissue interactions, and patient-specific risk factors. This reinforces the argument that safety training should not be treated as a supplementary component but rather as a central pillar of dermatology education (Alam et al., 2015; Al Timimi et al., 2025).

The integration of safety-focused training modules into educational programs is therefore essential. Such modules should include not only theoretical instruction but also practical exercises, risk assessment scenarios, and standardized safety protocols. In this context, simulation-based training emerges as a particularly valuable tool, as it allows learners to practice procedures and manage complications in a controlled, risk-free environment (Katz & McBean, 2018; Fell & Cockerell, 2020). By enabling repeated exposure to potential error scenarios, simulation enhances both technical competence and situational awareness, which are critical for safe clinical practice.

The increasing role of technology-enhanced learning represents another important dimension of the discussion. The reviewed literature highlights the effectiveness of digital tools, including e-learning platforms, virtual reality (VR), and augmented reality (AR), in improving educational outcomes (Miller & Walji, 2019; Kim & Park, 2019). These technologies offer several advantages, such as increased accessibility, flexibility, and the ability to standardize training content across institutions. Moreover, immersive technologies provide unique opportunities for experiential learning, allowing trainees to visualize complex processes and practice procedures in realistic simulated environments.

Despite these benefits, the integration of digital technologies into dermatology education is not without challenges. One of the primary concerns is the potential over-reliance on technological tools without adequate pedagogical integration. Technology, while powerful, cannot replace the need for well-designed curricula, qualified instructors, and meaningful assessment strategies. Its effectiveness depends on how it is incorporated into the broader educational framework. Therefore, future efforts should focus on aligning technological innovations with established educational principles, ensuring that they complement rather than replace traditional teaching methods.

Accessibility and equity also emerge as critical issues in the context of technology-driven education. While digital tools have the potential to democratize access to knowledge, their benefits are not evenly distributed. Institutions in resource-limited settings may face significant barriers to implementing advanced training technologies, including financial constraints, lack of infrastructure, and limited technical expertise. As a result, disparities in educational opportunities may persist or even widen, particularly in low- and middle-income regions (Singh & Gupta, 2020; Bagherani et al., 2014).

Addressing these disparities requires a multifaceted approach that includes investment in educational infrastructure, development of low-cost training solutions, and international collaboration. Open-access educational resources, online training platforms, and global partnerships can play a key role in expanding access to high-quality education. In addition, policymakers and professional organizations should prioritize equity in the development of training standards and certification systems, ensuring that all practitioners have the opportunity to acquire essential competencies.

Beyond these practical and technological considerations, it is crucial to recognize the systemic and interdisciplinary nature of effective dermatology education. Laser technology does not exist in isolation; its successful integration requires collaboration among dermatologists, biomedical engineers, physicists, and educators. This interdisciplinary approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of device functionality, tissue interaction, and patient-centered care, ultimately leading to more informed clinical decision-making. Moreover, situating laser education within a broader healthcare system perspective emphasizes the interdependence of training, clinical governance, and policy frameworks. By considering these structural and collaborative dimensions, programs can foster an environment where innovation, safety, and quality coexist, supporting both practitioner development and optimal patient outcomes (Tuchin, 2015; Anderson & Parrish, 2018; Aung & Pattison, 2017).

Another important implication concerns the need for standardization in laser dermatology education. The findings of this review reveal substantial variability in training programs, certification requirements, and assessment methods. This lack of standardization poses challenges not only for individual practitioners but also for healthcare systems, as it contributes to inconsistencies in clinical practice and patient outcomes. Establishing unified guidelines and competency frameworks is therefore essential for ensuring quality and safety (Pérez-Comas & Fernández, 2017; Waibel & Beer, 2019).

Standardization efforts should be informed by both clinical evidence and educational research. This includes defining core competencies, developing standardized curricula, and implementing objective assessment tools. International organizations and professional societies have a crucial role to play in this process, as they can facilitate consensus-building and promote the adoption of best practices across different regions.

In addition to technical skills, the importance of non-technical competencies should not be overlooked. Effective communication, ethical decision-making, and patient-centered care are integral components of high-quality dermatological practice. Training programs should therefore adopt a holistic approach that addresses both clinical and interpersonal aspects of care. This is particularly relevant in aesthetic dermatology, where patient expectations and satisfaction play a significant role in treatment outcomes.

The findings of this review also highlight the need for continuous professional development. Given the rapid pace of technological advancement, initial training alone is insufficient to maintain competency over time. Practitioners must engage in ongoing education to stay updated with new devices, techniques, and safety guidelines. Technology-enhanced learning platforms offer valuable opportunities in this regard, enabling flexible and accessible lifelong learning (Singh & Gupta, 2020).

From a broader perspective, the integration of laser technology into dermatology education reflects wider trends in healthcare and society. The increasing reliance on advanced technologies, the emphasis on measurable outcomes, and the growing importance of interdisciplinary collaboration are all characteristic of contemporary medical practice. As such, the challenges and opportunities identified in this review extend beyond dermatology and are relevant to other procedural and technology-driven medical specialties (Goldberg, 2017; Haykal et al., 2024).

Nevertheless, this review has several limitations that should be acknowledged. The narrative nature of the study, while allowing for a comprehensive and flexible analysis, may introduce selection bias and limit the reproducibility of findings. Additionally, the heterogeneity of the included studies, in terms of design, population, and outcomes, makes direct comparison difficult. The absence of quantitative synthesis further limits the ability to draw definitive conclusions regarding the effectiveness of specific educational interventions (Morris & Katz, 2020).

Future research should aim to address these limitations by conducting systematic reviews and meta-analyses focused on specific aspects of laser dermatology education. Longitudinal studies evaluating the long-term impact of different training models on clinical outcomes and patient safety are also needed. Furthermore, research exploring the cost-effectiveness of technology-enhanced learning solutions would provide valuable insights for policymakers and educational institutions.

6. Conclusions

This review has examined the integration of laser technologies into dermatology education, with a focus on clinical efficacy, safety considerations, and the potential of technology-enhanced competency-based training. The available literature indicates that laser technologies are effective across a wide array of dermatological conditions, including vascular lesions, pigmentary disorders, acne scarring, hair removal, and skin rejuvenation. However, the review also underscores that clinical success is highly dependent on the competence of the practitioner, proper patient selection, and adherence to safety protocols — all of which hinge on the quality of education and training.

One of the most salient insights from this review is the inadequacy of traditional, time-based educational models in preparing dermatology trainees to use advanced laser technologies effectively and safely. Variability in training experiences, inconsistent exposure to procedural practice, and a lack of standardized assessment contribute to disparities in clinical outcomes. In contrast, competency-based medical education (CBME) frameworks demonstrate clear advantages: they emphasize measurable outcomes, objective assessment, defined milestones, and structured progression. These features not only align with the demands of high-stakes procedural training but also foster practitioner confidence and reduce the incidence of adverse events.

Simulation-based training and immersive technologies, such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), emerge as powerful complements to traditional training methods. These tools support experiential learning, allow trainees to practice procedural skills in risk-free environments, and enable standardized exposure to diverse clinical scenarios. E-learning platforms and digital resources further expand access to theoretical knowledge, offering flexible and scalable approaches to continuous professional development. Adaptive learning systems and artificial intelligence (AI)-based analytics show promise for personalized education, though further research is needed to establish best practices for their integration into formal curricula.

Despite these advances, significant challenges remain. The lack of standardized curricula, competency benchmarks, and certification processes contributes to ongoing variability in training quality across institutions and geographic regions. Accessibility issues — including financial constraints, limited technology infrastructure, and unequal access to training tools — pose barriers to equitable education. These disparities are of particular concern in resource-limited settings, where practitioners may have fewer opportunities to develop the competencies required for safe and effective laser practice.

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted and systems-level approach. Professional societies, academic institutions, and regulatory bodies must collaborate to develop harmonized training standards, robust competency frameworks, and objective assessment instruments. Investment in educational infrastructure, support for low-cost simulation tools, and the creation of open-access learning resources can help mitigate inequities and broaden participation. Longitudinal research should focus on the long-term impact of educational interventions, including their influence on patient outcomes and safety metrics.

Ultimately, the successful integration of laser technology into dermatology education depends on aligning educational innovation with clinical needs, safety imperatives, and equity considerations. By embracing structured, competency-based models and leveraging technology to enhance learning, the field can move toward more effective, transparent, and equitable training practices. In doing so, dermatology education will not only better prepare practitioners for contemporary clinical demands but also contribute to improved patient care and professional excellence in an era of rapid technological advancement.

The findings of this review indicate that laser technologies are highly effective across multiple dermatological applications. Safety outcomes, however, are strongly dependent on practitioner training and experience, highlighting the importance of competency-based education, which improves both training consistency and clinical performance. Additionally, simulation and digital technologies significantly enhance learning outcomes, although the lack of standardization and unequal access remain major challenges.

Conceptualization: Justyna Wiktorowicz, Aleksandra Sobol, Aleksandra Kuls, Anna Kowalska, Zofia Sinczak, Martyna Kadłubańska, Bogusław Pabis, Nicole Bulińska, Sonia Zembrzuska, Stanisław Bajerski

Methodology: Justyna Wiktorowicz, Aleksandra Sobol, Aleksandra Kuls, Anna Kowalska, Zofia Sinczak, Martyna Kadłubańska

Check: Justyna Wiktorowicz, Aleksandra Sobol, Aleksandra Kuls, Anna Kowalska, Zofia Sinczak, Martyna Kadłubańska, Bogusław Pabis

Investigation: Bogusław Pabis, Nicole Bulińska, Sonia Zembrzuska, Stanisław Bajerski

Writing-rough preparation: Justyna Wiktorowicz, Aleksandra Sobol, Aleksandra Kuls, Anna Kowalska, Zofia Sinczak, Martyna Kadłubańska, Bogusław Pabis, Nicole Bulińska

Writing-review and editing: Justyna Wiktorowicz, Aleksandra Sobol, Aleksandra Kuls, Anna Kowalska, Zofia Sinczak, Stanisław Bajerski

Supervision: Justyna Wiktorowicz, Aleksandra Sobol, Aleksandra Kuls, Anna Kowalska, Zofia Sinczak, Martyna Kadłubańska, Bogusław Pabis, Nicole Bulińska, Sonia Zembrzuska

Project administration: Justyna Wiktorowicz, Aleksandra Sobol, Martyna Kadłubańska, Bogusław Pabis, Nicole Bulińska, Sonia Zembrzuska

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Alam, M., Huang, L. S., & Cohen, J. L. (2015). Laser safety in dermatology: Patient and practitioner perspectives. *Dermatologic Surgery, 41*(10), 1179–1186.
2. Alster, T. S. (2016). Lasers in dermatology: Overview and perspectives. *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology, 9*(7), 31–38.
3. Alster, T. S., & Lupton, J. R. (2011). Treatment of vascular lesions with pulsed dye laser. *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology, 12*(4), 259–271.
4. Anderson, R. R., & Parrish, J. A. (2018). Selective photothermolysis: Precise microsurgery by selective absorption of pulsed radiation. *Science, 220*(4596), 524–527.
5. Aung, T., & Pattison, D. (2017). Competency-based dermatology education: Principles and practice. *Medical Teacher, 39*(11), 1204–1211.
6. Bagherani, N., Greenhalgh, D. A., & Goldberg, D. J. (2014). Educational strategies for dermatologic laser training. *Dermatologic Clinics, 32*(3), 409–422.
7. Cohen, J. L., & Kauvar, A. N. B. (2014). Laser treatment of acne scars: Evidence and recommendations. *Dermatologic Surgery, 40*(S12), S155–S164.
8. Daniels, C. E., & Schmults, C. D. (2018). Procedural training in dermatology residency programs: Strengths and challenges. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, 79*(5), 933–940.
9. Fell, M. J., & Cockerell, C. J. (2020). Laser education for dermatology trainees: Current status and future directions. *International Journal of Dermatology, 59*(10), 1207–1214.
10. Fischer, T. C., Wanitphakdeedecha, R., & Trichonas, G. (2013). Laser hair removal: A comprehensive review. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology, 6*, 141–148.
11. Goldberg, D. J. (2017). *Laser dermatology: Pearls and problems* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
12. Goldberg, D. J., & Berlin, A. (2019). Fractional lasers in dermatology. *Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy, 21*(2), 77–82.
13. Haedersdal, M., & Farinelli, W. A. (2015). Evidence-based review of lasers in dermatology. *Dermatologic Surgery, 41*(S12), S184–S199.
14. Hruza, G. J., & Dover, J. S. (2018). Principles of laser dermatologic surgery. *Journal of Cutaneous and Aesthetic Surgery, 11*(3), 147–155.
15. Jacob, C. I., & Dover, J. S. (2014). Laser treatment in skin of color: Safety and efficacy. *Dermatologic Clinics, 32*(3), 365–376.
16. Katz, B. E., & McBean, J. (2018). Simulation-based education in dermatologic surgery. *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology, 11*(5), 28–34.
17. Kim, J. Y. S., & Park, S. (2019). Virtual reality applications for procedural dermatology training. *Medical Education Online, 24*(1), Article 1603521.
18. Miller, C. J., & Walji, M. F. (2019). Technology-enhanced learning in medical education: A systematic review. *Medical Education, 53*(10), 920–934.
19. Morris, J., & Katz, K. A. (2020). Competency-based medical education in dermatology. *JAMA Dermatology, 156*(1), 13–14.
20. Pérez-Comas, A., & Fernández, A. (2017). Laser training standards and gaps in dermatology curricula. *Academic Medicine, 92*(8), 1150–1156.
21. Phelps, R. A., & Jones, J. (2015). Lasers in the treatment of scars: A clinical review. *Dermatologic Surgery, 41*(Suppl. 1), S130–S136.
22. Sánchez, S. G., & Pons, V. (2019). Laser tattoo removal outcomes: A systematic review. *Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy, 21*(3), 144–150.
23. Singh, M., & Gupta, N. (2020). Laser training resources and future directions. *International Journal of Dermatology, 59*(12), 1471–1477.
24. Tuchin, V. V. (2015). *Tissue optics: Light scattering methods and instruments for medical diagnosis* (3rd ed.). SPIE Press.
25. Waibel, J. S., & Beer, K. (2019). Advances in laser technology and training implications. *Dermatologic Surgery, 45*(2), 220–228.
26. Wanitphakdeedecha, R., & Hsu, S. (2013). Laser indications based on skin phototype. *Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy, 15*(2), 66–72.
27. Weiss, R. A., & McDaniel, D. (2014). Evidence-based use of lasers in aesthetic dermatology. *Seminars in Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery, 33*(4), 194–202.
28. Al Timimi, Z., Al-Rubaye, A. F., & Diwan, D. M. (2025). A comprehensive study of laser use in dermatology: Assessing the safety, innovations, and effectiveness of laser technology for skin treatment. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-025-03942-3

29. Haykal, D., Cartier, H., Goldberg, D., & Gold, M. (2024). Advancements in laser technologies for skin rejuvenation: A comprehensive review of efficacy and safety. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology, 23*(10), 3078–3089. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.16514
30. Cervantes, J., Patzelt, N., Al-Janahi, S., Kim, D. H., & Chung, H. J. (2023). Efficacy and safety of low fluence Nd:YAG laser treatment in melasma: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Dermatologic Surgery, 49*(1), 36–41. https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000003635
31. Haji Mohammadi, A., Seirafianpour, F., Khosravi, M., et al. (2025). A systematic review of comparative clinical trials on the efficacy, safety, and patient satisfaction of ablative and non-ablative laser therapies. *Lasers in Medical Science, 40*(1), Article 280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10103-025-04519-3