



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE

THE ROLE OF MYOGENIC DIFFERENTIATION ABERRATIONS IN
THE PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE

DOI

[https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2\(50\).2026.5591](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5591)

RECEIVED

13 March 2026

ACCEPTED

14 May 2026

PUBLISHED

25 May 2026

LICENSE



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

THE ROLE OF MYOGENIC DIFFERENTIATION ABERRATIONS IN THE PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE

Filip Glista (Corresponding Author, Email: filipg090700@gmail.com)
Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4456-6095

Mikolaj Stepura
Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-5935-2624

Jakub Najgeburski
Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-6899-8347

Aleksandra Giernalczyk
Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-6034-7884

Agnieszka Dąbrowska
Szpital Wojewódzki w Poznaniu, ul. Juraszów 7/19, 60-479 Poznań, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-6517-3406

Weronika Nawrocka
Szpital Specjalistyczny im. Stefana Żeromskiego SP ZOZ w Krakowie, Krakow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-3917-1884

Mikolaj Jaszowski
Szpital Uniwersytecki nr 2 im. dr. Jana Biziela w Bydgoszczy, Bydgoszcz, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-1433-6425

Inez Michalska
Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Bydgoszcz, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-0116-0405

Anna-Maria Grzeczka
Wielospecjalistyczny Szpital Miejski im. Józefa Strusia, ul. Szwajcarska 3, 61-285 Poznań, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-7623-3007

Paula Żak
Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Bydgoszcz, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-1251-432X

Patrycja Pietraszkiewicz
Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-4371-6999

Zuzanna Taciak
Medical Center HCP, 28 Czerwca 1956 r. 194, 61-485 Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-3101-009X

Katarzyna Pinkowska
Medical Center HCP, 28 Czerwca 1956 r. 194, 61-485 Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-5048-0393

Zuzanna Korbel
Medical Center HCP, 28 Czerwca 1956 r. 194, 61-485 Poznan, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-9863-1663

ABSTRACT

Physical performance is influenced by numerous factors, both congenital and non-congenital. Key determinants include diet, physical activity, lifestyle, and environmental exposure. It depends largely on the proper functioning of the musculoskeletal and cardiovascular systems.

Human myogenesis is a tightly regulated process that begins during prenatal development and continues throughout life. The key regulators of this process are myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs), a family of helix–loop–helix transcription factors. These factors cooperate with members of the myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) family to promote the transcription of muscle-specific genes. In addition, epigenetic mechanisms play a crucial role in regulating gene expression during myogenic differentiation and satellite cell activation. Cell-cycle regulators further contribute to myogenesis by controlling satellite cell proliferation and differentiation, thereby influencing muscle regeneration. Moreover, a wide range of bioactive compounds has been shown to modulate myogenesis through their effects on key regulatory pathways. These regulatory mechanisms may contribute to interindividual variability in physical performance. However, most of the available evidence is derived from in vitro studies, and its relevance to human physiology remains uncertain. Therefore, further research is required to better understand the role of these mechanisms in shaping physical performance. The aim of this review is to summarize current knowledge on the regulatory mechanisms of myogenesis and their role in physical performance. A narrative review of recent literature focusing on molecular and cellular mechanisms regulating myogenesis was conducted.

KEYWORDS

Myoblast Differentiation, Physical Performance, Epigenetics, Cell Cycle, Myogenesis

CITATION

Filip Glista, Mikołaj Stepura, Jakub Najgeburski, Aleksandra Giernalczyk, Agnieszka Dąbrowska, Weronika Nawrocka, Mikołaj Jaszowski, Inez Michalska, Anna-Maria Grzeczka, Paula Żak, Patrycja Pietraszkiewicz, Zuzanna Taciak, Katarzyna Pinkowska, Zuzanna Korbel. (2026) The Role of Myogenic Differentiation Aberrations in the Physical Performance. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 2(50). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5591

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2026. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1.Introduction

Physical performance among individuals is influenced by numerous congenital and non-congenital factors. One of the most important determinants is environmental exposure and lifestyle, including diet, regular physical activity, and the presence of chronic diseases (Fuentes-Abolafio i in., 2020). Physical performance depends on several physiological systems, including muscular strength and efficiency, cardiac function, and pulmonary capacity (Tieland i in., 2018). These factors may be further modified by daily habits, nutritional status, and well-structured exercise programs. Nevertheless, relatively little is known about genetic factors that directly affect physical performance through modulation of muscle activity and efficiency, particularly in the heart and skeletal muscles (Hsu i in., 2019) (Ghiotto i in., 2022).

Assessment of physical performance requires standardized measurement methods. Consequently, numerous clinical scales and tests have been developed to facilitate the diagnostic process and enable comparison of outcomes across patients. In particular, specialized assessment tools have been designed to evaluate physical performance in individuals with chronic conditions, including rheumatic diseases (Coleman i in., 2020). Such tests should be simple to perform, well tolerated by patients, and easily repeatable.

The fast-paced walk test is one commonly used assessment. This test measures the time required for an individual to walk a predetermined distance. The distance varies depending on the musculoskeletal condition being evaluated and typically ranges from 10 to 80 meters (Unver i in., 2015). Another widely used assessment is the chair stand test, which evaluates the ability to repeatedly stand up from and sit down on a chair. The test may be performed in a time-based format, in which the individual completes as many repetitions as possible within a specified time, or in a repetition-based format, where the individual is instructed to complete a set number of repetitions in the shortest possible time (Dobson i in., 2013) (Bohannon, 2006).

The stair climb test measures the time required for an individual to ascend and/or descend a predetermined number of stairs. The number of stairs included in the test depends on the patient's clinical condition (Iijima i in.,

2019). Walking endurance can be evaluated using walk tests that measure the distance covered within a specified time interval. Depending on the clinical context, walk tests lasting 2, 6, or 12 minutes are commonly used (Balke, 1963) (McGavin i in., 1976) (Butland i in., 1982). Another frequently applied assessment is the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test, which evaluates not only physical performance but also balance and agility. During the test, the individual is instructed to stand up from a chair, walk 3 meters, turn 180°, return to the chair, and sit down. The total time required to complete these tasks is recorded (Yuksel i in., 2017).

In addition to clinical assessments used in patients, numerous tests have been developed to evaluate musculoskeletal performance in athletes. These assessments, often referred to as performance-based tests, measure physical function in relation to the specific demands of different sports disciplines (Barbosa i in., 2024). Examples include the Medicine Ball Explosive Power Test used in volleyball players, the One-Arm Hop Test used in wrestlers, the Arm-Jump Board Test applied in rock climbers, and the Closed Kinetic Chain Upper Extremity Stability Test, which can be utilized across multiple sports. These tests may evaluate the time required to complete a task, the number of repetitions performed within a given time, or the duration for which a task can be maintained (Stockbrugger & Haennel, 2001) (Falsoni i in., 2002) (Laffaye i in., 2014) (Popchak i in., 2021).

Human myogenesis is a tightly regulated process that begins during prenatal development. During early embryogenesis, the paraxial mesoderm forms somites that subsequently differentiate into dermatomyotomes. From these structures, the myotome arises and gives rise to myogenic progenitor cells. These progenitors differentiate into myoblasts that proliferate, migrate, and fuse to form multinucleated myofibers (Kahane i in., 2002). After birth, a subset of these myogenic cells persists as satellite cells, which remain in a quiescent state and serve as a key source of muscle regeneration and repair throughout life (Yin i in., 2013).

The regulation of myogenesis involves a complex network of genetic and epigenetic mechanisms that coordinate muscle development and regeneration (Wu & Yue, 2024). Among the key regulators are the myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs), a family of basic helix–loop–helix transcription factors, including Myf5, MyoD, Myogenin, and MRF4, that control the specification and differentiation of the myogenic lineage (Asfour i in., 2018) (Zammit, 2017). Myogenesis is also regulated by members of the myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) family, which interact with MRFs to promote the transcription of muscle-specific genes (Brand, 1997). In addition, epigenetic mechanisms such as histone modifications and chromatin remodeling influence gene expression during myogenic differentiation and satellite cell activation (Massenet i in., 2021). These processes are further coordinated by multiple signaling pathways, including Notch, Hedgehog, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), which regulate the balance between proliferation and differentiation of myogenic precursors (Perrimon i in., 2012) (Ling i in., 2021).

Given the fundamental role of skeletal muscle in movement, strength generation, and endurance, alterations in these regulatory pathways may ultimately influence muscle structure and function. Consequently, genetic and epigenetic factors affecting myogenesis may contribute to interindividual differences in muscle performance and physical capacity.

In this review, we aim to summarize current knowledge regarding abnormalities in the aforementioned regulatory mechanisms of myogenesis and discuss their potential implications for physical performance.

2.1 Myogenic regulatory factors

Over the past decades, extensive research has led to the identification of key regulators of muscle development known as myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs). These proteins belong to a family of helix–loop–helix transcription factors that play critical roles in the specification, differentiation, and maintenance of the myogenic lineage, including the regulation of muscle satellite cells (Asfour i in., 2018). The MRF family comprises Myf5, MyoD, myogenin, and MRF4. Although these factors can function independently, they also exhibit overlapping and complementary roles in orchestrating myoblast differentiation during both prenatal development and postnatal muscle regeneration (Zammit, 2017).

Numerous modulators of this regulatory pathway have been identified (Bagherniya i in., 2022). Among them, bioactive compounds derived from green tea exhibit a range of beneficial properties, including anti-inflammatory, antihypertensive, insulin-sensitizing, and antioxidant effects (H. M. Kim & Kim, 2013). Epicatechin treatment in mice has been shown to increase MyoD activity and promote myogenic conversion as well as fibroblast differentiation (Lee i in., 2017). Furthermore, tannase-converted green tea extract significantly upregulated the expression of *myogenin*, *Myf5*, and *MyoD* in C2C12 skeletal muscle cells, suggesting its potential application in the treatment of sarcopenia (Hong i in., 2020). In humans, the administration of catechins in combination with exercise resulted in a statistically significant improvement in physical function in women with sarcopenia, including enhanced walking ability and increased muscle mass (H. Kim i in., 2013).

3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)propionic acid (HMPA), also known as dihydroferulic acid, is a compound found in various fermented foods and is characterized by notable anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties(Tian i in., 2022). Low-dose administration of HMPA in mice increased *Myf5* expression, suggesting a potential role in promoting muscle development. Additionally, HMPA-treated groups exhibited enhanced grip strength(Tong i in., 2024).

Tetracycline, a widely used antibiotic, has also been implicated in muscle regeneration. Combined with endurance exercise, tetracycline treatment was shown to enhance recovery following muscle atrophy, such as that induced by prolonged limb immobilization, potentially through upregulation of *MRF* expression(Shefer i in., 2008).

Umbelliferone, a derivative of coumarin, has been associated with various biological activities(Hijazin i in., 2019). In C2C12 myoblasts exposed to high-glucose conditions, umbelliferone treatment significantly increased the expression of *MyoD*, *myogenin*, and *myosin*, thereby promoting myoblast differentiation. These findings suggest its therapeutic potential in mitigating diabetes-related muscle atrophy(D. Y. Kim i in., 2025).

Myostatin is a key growth and differentiation factor that acts as a primary negative regulator of skeletal muscle development(Beyer i in., 2013). Its signaling has been shown to suppress the transcription of *MyoD* and *Myf5*, thereby reducing cell proliferation and myoblast differentiation(Amthor i in., 2002). Notably, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockdown of myostatin in C2C12 cells resulted in increased expression of *MyoD* and *myogenin*, indicating that this approach may represent a promising therapeutic strategy for restoring muscle mass and enhancing the regenerative capacity of muscle stem cells(Elashry i in., 2025).

Purple perilla (*Perilla frutescens*), a herb widely cultivated in Asia, has also demonstrated potential in this context. Peptides isolated from purple perilla seeds and administered in mice led to increased expression of *MyoD* and *myogenin*, as well as enhanced muscle fiber thickness. These results suggest that purple perilla seed peptides may effectively promote muscle growth and improve exercise tolerance(Liu i in., 2020).

2.2 Myocyte Enhancer Factor 2

The myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) family of transcription factors plays a crucial role in the regulation of myogenesis and muscle morphogenesis. MEF2 proteins interact with myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) through complex protein–protein interactions, thereby enhancing the transcriptional activation of muscle-specific genes required for muscle fiber formation and maturation(Brand, 1997). Compared with MRFs, MEF2 transcription factors exhibit broader biological functions and pleiotropic activity, as they are also involved in the regulation of other developmental processes, including neurogenesis and osteogenesis(Heidenreich & Linseman, 2004) (Blixt i in., 2020). The coordinated activity of MRFs and MEF2 proteins therefore constitutes a key regulatory axis ensuring proper activation of the muscle-specific transcriptional program.

Despite their importance, relatively little is known about factors that specifically regulate *MEF2* expression and their impact on physical performance. *MEF2* activity is known to be modulated by class II histone deacetylases (HDACs), which act as transcriptional repressors. Experimental studies in mice have demonstrated that repression of *MEF2* activity via HDACs suppresses the formation of slow-twitch muscle fibers, whereas enhanced *MEF2* activity promotes their development and is associated with increased running endurance compared to wild-type controls(Potthoff i in., 2007).

Further insights into *MEF2* regulation have emerged from studies in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Calcineurin, a calcium-dependent phosphatase, plays a key role in activating type I (slow-twitch) muscle fiber gene expression(Long & Zierath, 2008). It has been shown to stimulate *MEF2* transcriptional activity, thereby promoting the expression of genes associated with oxidative muscle fibers(Chin i in., 1998). Although these mechanisms have been investigated in the context of reduced muscle endurance in COPD patients, the available data remain inconclusive and do not fully explain the underlying molecular basis of impaired physical performance, highlighting the need for further research(Natanek i in., 2013).

Myocilin (Myoc) is a protein involved in maintaining muscle cell membrane integrity. In mouse models, downregulation of *Myoc* leads to muscle wasting, whereas its overexpression results in increased skeletal muscle mass. Although the precise relationship between *Myoc* expression and muscle mass regulation was previously unclear, recent findings indicate that MEF2 functions as an upstream activator of *Myoc* transcription, providing new insight into the regulatory mechanisms governing muscle maintenance(Joe i in., 2012) (Judge i in., 2020).

2.3 Epigenetic regulators

Epigenetic mechanisms further contribute to the regulation of myogenesis by modulating chromatin accessibility and gene expression without altering the underlying DNA sequence. These mechanisms include post-translational histone modifications, DNA methylation, and chromatin remodeling, which collectively regulate the transcriptional activity of genes involved in myogenic differentiation (Massenet *et al.*, 2021). Epigenetic regulation is particularly important for controlling the activation, proliferation, and differentiation of satellite cells during muscle repair and regeneration. Through dynamic changes in chromatin structure, epigenetic regulators coordinate the transition of satellite cells from a quiescent state to active proliferation and subsequent differentiation into mature muscle cells.

Myoblast differentiation is governed by a complex epigenetic landscape that operates in concert with canonical myogenic transcription factors, including MyoD, myogenin, and Pax7. DNA methylation constitutes a central regulatory mechanism; during myogenic differentiation, promoters of key myogenic genes (*MYOD1*, *MYOG*) undergo active demethylation mediated by ten–eleven translocation (TET) enzymes (TET1, TET2), resulting in transcriptional activation (Yang *et al.*, 2022) (Zhong *et al.*, 2017). In the context of physical performance, such mechanisms facilitate accelerated muscle regeneration, metabolic adaptation, and the establishment of long-term epigenetic memory, thereby enhancing subsequent adaptive responses to training stimuli (Kanzleiter *et al.*, 2015). DNA methylation patterns are dynamically remodelled in response to both acute and chronic exercise, particularly within enhancer regions, gene bodies, and intergenic domains (Bittel & Chen, 2024).

Histone modifications are of comparable importance. Myogenic differentiation is associated with widespread transcriptional repression, characterised by reduced histone acetylation and enrichment of repressive histone marks (Sincennes *et al.*, 2016). These chromatin alterations enable tightly regulated activation of exercise-responsive genes, govern muscle fibre-type transitions, modulate energy metabolism, and provide a molecular substrate for sustained training adaptations (Lim *et al.*, 2020).

The concept of skeletal muscle “epigenetic memory” has emerged as a key paradigm; selected genes (*FLNB*, *MYH9*, *SRGAP1*, *SRGN*, *ZMIZ1*) display persistent hypomethylation even during periods of detraining, despite reversion of muscle mass to baseline levels (Turner *et al.*, 2019). This phenomenon may underlie the accelerated reacquisition of muscle function following training cessation.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), generated during exercise, contribute to epigenomic remodelling through both direct and indirect mechanisms. Oxidative modification of guanine to 8-oxoguanine functions as an epigenetic signal and may influence promoter and enhancer methylation profiles (Radak *et al.*, 2024) (Caporossi & Dimauro, 2024). Notably, higher physical fitness is associated with a deceleration of the DNA methylation-based ageing clock (Radak *et al.*, 2024).

Exercise-induced epigenetic modifications target genes implicated in energy homeostasis, mitochondrial function, muscle regeneration, and calcium signalling pathways (Caporossi & Dimauro, 2024) (Mallett, 2025) (Widmann *et al.*, 2019). Emerging evidence indicates that such epigenetic signatures may serve as clinically relevant biomarkers for the development of personalised training interventions (Widmann *et al.*, 2019).

2.4 Cell cycle regulators

Cell proliferation and differentiation are controlled by cell-cycle regulators. The regulation of the cell cycle is complex and involves multiple signaling pathways, including Notch, Hedgehog, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) pathways. These signaling cascades coordinate cell-cycle progression and determine whether cells undergo mitosis or differentiation. Disruptions in these pathways may impair myoblast proliferation and differentiation (D. Y. Kim *et al.*, 2025) (Beyer *et al.*, 2013).

Cell-cycle regulators influence physical performance through the control of satellite cell proliferation and differentiation, regulation of muscle fibre phenotype, and modulation of metabolic adaptations to exercise. Key mediators, including CDK1, cyclin D3, the CDK4–E2F3 axis, and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (p21 and p27), play critical roles in determining both the regenerative capacity of skeletal muscle and its functional properties.

Cyclin D3 deficiency has been shown to induce a shift in muscle fibre phenotype towards an oxidative profile, accompanied by enhanced endurance performance and hypermetabolism (Giannattasio *et al.*, 2018). Cyclin D3–deficient mice exhibit an increased proportion of highly oxidative fibres within fast-twitch muscle groups, which are physiologically characterised by predominantly glycolytic metabolism (Giannattasio *et al.*, 2018). This phenotypic remodelling towards a slower, oxidative profile is associated with increased energy expenditure and

augmented fatty acid oxidation. These findings indicate that cyclin D3 contributes to the regulation of muscle fibre phenotype and, indirectly, to whole-body metabolic homeostasis(Giannattasio i in., 2018).

The CDK4–E2F3 signalling axis plays a pivotal role in the regulation of myogenesis and skeletal muscle metabolism, promoting both the abundance and functional capacity of oxidative fibres(Bahn i in., 2023). Mice expressing constitutively active *Cdk4*, incapable of binding its inhibitor p16INK4a, are protected against obesity and diabetes, and their skeletal muscles display an increased oxidative fibre content, enhanced mitochondrial function, and elevated glucose uptake(Bahn i in., 2023). Conversely, loss of *Cdk4* or skeletal muscle-specific deletion of *E2F3* results in reduced oxidative fibre content, impaired mitochondrial function, diminished exercise capacity, and increased susceptibility to metabolic dysfunction(Bahn i in., 2023).

CDK1 is essential for satellite cell proliferation, muscle regeneration, and overload-induced hypertrophy of muscle fibres(Kobayashi i in., 2020). *Cdk1* is highly expressed in myoblasts and is downregulated during myogenic differentiation(Kobayashi i in., 2020). Deletion of *Cdk1* in satellite cells leads to impaired muscle regeneration following injury, attributable to reduced in vivo proliferative capacity of these cells(Kobayashi i in., 2020). Furthermore, *Cdk1* expression in satellite cells is required for the development of overload-induced muscle fibre hypertrophy(Kobayashi i in., 2020).

Physical activity modulates the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, such as *p21* and *p27*, thereby influencing satellite cell activation(Roberts i in., 2010). Gene expression analyses of cell-cycle regulators provide evidence that satellite cell activation and proliferation may occur at early time points following exercise, including after resistance training(Roberts i in., 2010). In studies involving older women, resistance training was associated with a reduction in p27Kip1 levels, suggesting that mechanical loading may partially overcome its inhibitory effects on cell-cycle progression(Bamman i in., 2004).

Endurance training affects satellite cell function through mechanisms linked to metabolic reprogramming. It has been shown to promote self-renewal capacity while restraining differentiation, partly through reduced mitochondrial oxygen consumption under resting conditions(Abreu & Kowaltowski, 2020). Skeletal muscles from endurance-trained animals exhibit enhanced regenerative capacity following injury, as evidenced by increased density of newly formed fibres, reduced inflammation, and attenuated fibrosis(Abreu & Kowaltowski, 2020). These effects are associated with metabolic alterations in satellite cells and the maintenance of their progenitor properties(Abreu & Kowaltowski, 2020).

Understanding the role of cell-cycle regulators in shaping physical performance has important implications for the pathophysiology and treatment of muscle disorders, including sarcopenia and muscular dystrophies. Modulation of CDK, cyclin, and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor activity may represent a potential therapeutic strategy to enhance muscle regeneration, improve exercise capacity, and prevent age- or disease-related depletion of the satellite cell pool.

3. Discussion

The present body of literature highlights the complexity of regulatory mechanisms governing myoblast differentiation and their impact on physical performance. Although substantial progress has been made in identifying key molecular pathways, important gaps remain in understanding how these regulatory systems interact and translate into functional outcomes across different physiological and pathological conditions.

Myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) represent the core transcriptional machinery driving myogenesis and remain the most extensively characterized components of this process. Their role in coordinating myoblast specification, differentiation, and regeneration provides a well-established foundation for studying muscle development. However, as demonstrated by the studies discussed, MRF activity is not independent but instead operates within a broader regulatory network. In particular, interactions with MEF2 transcription factors significantly enhance the transcriptional activation of muscle-specific genes, underscoring the importance of cooperative regulation in achieving efficient muscle fiber formation and maturation(Brand, 1997) (Heidenreich & Linseman, 2004) (Blixt i in., 2020).

Beyond transcription factor networks, epigenetic regulation emerges as a critical layer of control that enables dynamic and reversible modulation of gene expression in response to environmental stimuli. Mechanisms such as DNA methylation, histone modifications, and chromatin remodeling not only regulate myogenic gene activation but also contribute to long-term adaptations associated with physical activity(Kanzleiter i in., 2015). The concept of skeletal muscle “epigenetic memory” provides a compelling explanation for the enhanced responsiveness to repeated training stimuli, suggesting that prior exercise exposure induces persistent molecular changes that facilitate subsequent muscle adaptation(Turner i in., 2019).

Cell-cycle regulators further integrate proliferative and differentiation signals, ensuring proper expansion of the satellite cell pool and efficient muscle regeneration. Key regulators, including CDK1, cyclin D3, and the CDK4–E2F3 axis, have been shown to influence not only myoblast proliferation but also muscle fiber-type composition and metabolic properties. These findings highlight a critical link between cell-cycle control and functional muscle performance, particularly in the context of endurance capacity and metabolic health (Giannattasio *et al.*, 2018) (Bahn *et al.*, 2023).

Importantly, a wide range of external modulators, including bioactive compounds, exercise, and metabolic signals have been shown to influence these regulatory pathways at multiple levels. Compounds such as catechins, epicatechin, and other plant-derived molecules demonstrate the capacity to modulate MRF expression and promote myogenic differentiation, while exercise-induced signaling affects epigenetic and cell-cycle mechanisms that underpin muscle adaptation. These observations suggest considerable potential for the development of targeted therapeutic and lifestyle-based interventions aimed at improving muscle function (Hong *et al.*, 2020) (H. Kim *et al.*, 2013) (D. Y. Kim *et al.*, 2025) (Liu *et al.*, 2020).

4. Conclusions

Myoblast differentiation and its contribution to physical performance are regulated by a complex, multilayered network involving transcription factors, epigenetic mechanisms, and cell-cycle regulators. While MRFs and MEF2 proteins form the central framework of myogenic control, additional regulatory layers provide the flexibility required for precise adaptation to physiological demands.

Emerging evidence demonstrates that these pathways can be modulated by external factors such as exercise and bioactive compounds, highlighting their potential as targets for therapeutic intervention. Despite these advances, several limitations remain. Much of the available evidence is derived from *in vitro* systems or animal models, which may not fully recapitulate human physiology. Additionally, variability in experimental design, intervention protocols, and outcome measures complicates direct comparison across studies. The molecular mechanisms linking these regulatory pathways to measurable improvements in physical performance also remain incompletely defined.

Future research should focus on integrative approaches that combine molecular, physiological, and clinical data to better understand the interplay between transcriptional, epigenetic, and cell-cycle regulation. In particular, well-designed human studies are needed to validate preclinical findings and to explore the translational potential of targeting these pathways in the prevention and treatment of muscle-related disorders.

Author's Contribution

Conceptualization: Filip Glista, Mikołaj Stepura

Writing - original draft: Filip Glista, Mikołaj Stepura, Jakub Najgeburski, Aleksandra Giernalczyk, Agnieszka Dąbrowska, Weronika Nawrocka, Mikołaj Jaszowski, Inez Michalska, Anna-Maria Grzeczka, Paula Żak, Patrycja Pietraszkiewicz, Zuzanna Taciak, Katarzynka Pinkowska, Zuzanna Korbiel

Writing - review and editing: Filip Glista, Jakub Najgeburski,

Supervising: Filip Glista, Mikołaj Stepura

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article's references.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Abreu, P., & Kowaltowski, A. J. (2020). Satellite cell self-renewal in endurance exercise is mediated by inhibition of mitochondrial oxygen consumption. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 11(6), 1661–1676. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12601>
2. Amthor, H., Huang, R., McKinnell, I., Christ, B., Kambadur, R., Sharma, M., & Patel, K. (2002). The regulation and action of myostatin as a negative regulator of muscle development during avian embryogenesis. *Developmental Biology*, 251(2), 241–257. <https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2002.0812>
3. Asfour, H. A., Allouh, M. Z., & Said, R. S. (2018). Myogenic regulatory factors: The orchestrators of myogenesis after 30 years of discovery. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 243(2), 118–128. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1535370217749494>
4. Bagherniya, M., Mahdavi, A., Shokri-Mashhadi, N., Banach, M., von Haehling, S., Johnston, T. P., & Sahebkar, A. (2022). The beneficial therapeutic effects of plant-derived natural products for the treatment of sarcopenia. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 13(6), 2772–2790. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.13057>
5. Bahn, Y. J., Yadav, H., Piaggi, P., Abel, B. S., Gavrilova, O., Springer, D. A., Papazoglou, I., Zerfas, P. M., Skarulis, M. C., McPherron, A. C., & Rane, S. G. (2023). CDK4-E2F3 signals enhance oxidative skeletal muscle fiber numbers and function to affect myogenesis and metabolism. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 133(13), Article e162479. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI162479>
6. Balke, B. (1963). *A simple field test for the assessment of physical fitness* (REP 63-6) [Report]. Civil Aeromedical Research Institute.
7. Bamman, M. M., Ragan, R. C., Kim, J.-S., Cross, J. M., Hill, V. J., Tuggle, S. C., & Allman, R. M. (2004). Myogenic protein expression before and after resistance loading in 26- and 64-yr-old men and women. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 97(4), 1329–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.01387.2003>
8. Barbosa, G. M., Calixtre, L. B., Fonseca Fialho, H. R., Locks, F., & Kamonseki, D. H. (2024). Measurement properties of upper extremity physical performance tests in athletes: A systematic review. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, 28(1), Article 100575. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2023.100575>
9. Beyer, T. A., Narimatsu, M., Weiss, A., David, L., & Wrana, J. L. (2013). The TGF β superfamily in stem cell biology and early mammalian embryonic development. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1830(2), 2268–2279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2012.08.025>
10. Bittel, A. J., & Chen, Y.-W. (2024). DNA methylation in the adaptive response to exercise. *Sports Medicine*, 54(6), 1419–1458. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-024-02011-6>
11. Blixt, N., Norton, A., Zhang, A., Aparicio, C., Prasad, H., Gopalakrishnan, R., Jensen, E. D., & Mansky, K. C. (2020). Loss of myocyte enhancer factor 2 expression in osteoclasts leads to opposing skeletal phenotypes. *Bone*, 138, Article 115466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115466>
12. Bohannon, R. W. (2006). Reference values for the five-repetition sit-to-stand test: A descriptive meta-analysis of data from elders. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 103(1), 215–222. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.103.1.215-222>
13. Brand, N. J. (1997). Myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2). *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, 29(12), 1467–1470. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1357-2725\(97\)00084-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1357-2725(97)00084-8)
14. Butland, R. J., Pang, J., Gross, E. R., Woodcock, A. A., & Geddes, D. M. (1982). Two-, six-, and 12-minute walking tests in respiratory disease. *British Medical Journal*, 284(6329), 1607–1608. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.284.6329.1607>
15. Caporossi, D., & Dimauro, I. (2024). Exercise-induced redox modulation as a mediator of DNA methylation in health maintenance and disease prevention. *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, 213, 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2024.01.023>
16. Chin, E. R., Olson, E. N., Richardson, J. A., Yang, Q., Humphries, C., Shelton, J. M., Wu, H., Zhu, W., Bassel-Duby, R., & Williams, R. S. (1998). A calcineurin-dependent transcriptional pathway controls skeletal muscle fiber type. *Genes & Development*, 12(16), 2499–2509. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.12.16.2499>
17. Coleman, G., Dobson, F., Hinman, R. S., Bennell, K., & White, D. K. (2020). Measures of physical performance. *Arthritis Care & Research*, 72(Suppl. 10), 452–485. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.24373>
18. Dobson, F., Hinman, R. S., Roos, E. M., Abbott, J. H., Stratford, P., Davis, A. M., Buchbinder, R., Snyder-Mackler, L., Henrotin, Y., Thumboo, J., Hansen, P., & Bennell, K. L. (2013). OARSI recommended performance-based tests to assess physical function in people diagnosed with hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, 21(8), 1042–1052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2013.05.002>
19. Elashry, M. I., Schneider, V. C., Heimann, M., Wenisch, S., & Arnhold, S. (2025). CRISPR/Cas9-targeted myostatin deletion improves the myogenic differentiation parameters for muscle-derived stem cells in mice. *Journal of Developmental Biology*, 13(1), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jdb13010005>
20. Falsone, S. A., Gross, M. T., Guskiewicz, K. M., & Schneider, R. A. (2002). One-arm hop test: Reliability and effects of arm dominance. *The Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 32(3), 98–103. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2002.32.3.98>

21. Fuentes-Abolafio, I. J., Stubbs, B., Pérez-Belmonte, L. M., Bernal-López, M. R., Gómez-Huelgas, R., & Cuesta-Vargas, A. I. (2020). Physical functional performance and prognosis in patients with heart failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders*, 20(1), Article 512. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-020-01725-5>
22. Ghiotto, L., Muollo, V., Tatangelo, T., Schena, F., & Rossi, A. P. (2022). Exercise and physical performance in older adults with sarcopenic obesity: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 13, Article 913953. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.913953>
23. Giannattasio, S., Giacobuzzo, G., Bonato, A., Caruso, C., Luvisetto, S., Coccarello, R., & Caruso, M. (2018). Lack of cyclin D3 induces skeletal muscle fiber-type shifting, increased endurance performance and hypermetabolism. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), Article 12792. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31090-5>
24. Heidenreich, K. A., & Linseman, D. A. (2004). Myocyte enhancer factor-2 transcription factors in neuronal differentiation and survival. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 29(2), 155–166. <https://doi.org/10.1385/MN:29:2:155>
25. Hijazin, T., Radwan, A., Abouzeid, S., Dräger, G., & Selmar, D. (2019). Uptake and modification of umbelliferone by various seedlings. *Phytochemistry*, 157, 194–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2018.10.032>
26. Hong, K.-B., Lee, H.-S., Hong, J. S., Kim, D. H., Moon, J. M., & Park, Y. (2020). Effects of tannase-converted green tea extract on skeletal muscle development. *BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies*, 20(1), Article 47. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-020-2827-7>
27. Hsu, K.-J., Liao, C.-D., Tsai, M.-W., & Chen, C.-N. (2019). Effects of exercise and nutritional intervention on body composition, metabolic health, and physical performance in adults with sarcopenic obesity: A meta-analysis. *Nutrients*, 11(9), Article 2163. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11092163>
28. Iijima, H., Shimoura, K., Eguchi, R., Aoyama, T., & Takahashi, M. (2019). Concurrent validity and measurement error of stair climb test in people with pre-radiographic to mild knee osteoarthritis. *Gait & Posture*, 68, 335–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.12.014>
29. Joe, M. K., Kee, C., & Tomarev, S. I. (2012). Myocilin interacts with syntrophins and is member of dystrophin-associated protein complex. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 287(16), 13216–13227. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.224063>
30. Judge, S. M., Deyhle, M. R., Neyroud, D., Nosacka, R. L., D’Lugos, A. C., Cameron, M. E., Vohra, R. S., Smuder, A. J., Roberts, B. M., Callaway, C. S., Underwood, P. W., Chrzanowski, S. M., Batra, A., Murphy, M. E., Heaven, J. D., Walter, G. A., Trevino, J. G., & Judge, A. R. (2020). MEF2c-dependent downregulation of myocilin mediates cancer-induced muscle wasting and associates with cachexia in patients with cancer. *Cancer Research*, 80(9), 1861–1874. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1558>
31. Kahane, N., Cinnamon, Y., & Kalcheim, C. (2002). The roles of cell migration and myofiber intercalation in patterning formation of the postmitotic myotome. *Development*, 129(11), 2675–2687. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.129.11.2675>
32. Kanzleiter, T., Jähnert, M., Schulze, G., Selbig, J., Hallahan, N., Schwenk, R. W., & Schürmann, A. (2015). Exercise training alters DNA methylation patterns in genes related to muscle growth and differentiation in mice. *American Journal of Physiology: Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 308(10), E912–E920. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00289.2014>
33. Kim, D. Y., Kang, Y.-H., & Kang, M.-K. (2025). Umbelliferone attenuates diabetic sarcopenia by modulating mitochondrial quality and the ubiquitin-proteasome system. *Phytomedicine*, 144, Article 156930. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2025.156930>
34. Kim, H. M., & Kim, J. (2013). The effects of green tea on obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes & Metabolism Journal*, 37(3), 173–175. <https://doi.org/10.4093/dmj.2013.37.3.173>
35. Kim, H., Suzuki, T., Saito, K., Yoshida, H., Kojima, N., Kim, M., Sudo, M., Yamashiro, Y., & Tokimitsu, I. (2013). Effects of exercise and tea catechins on muscle mass, strength and walking ability in community-dwelling elderly Japanese sarcopenic women: A randomized controlled trial. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*, 13(2), 458–465. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1447-0594.2012.00923.x>
36. Kobayashi, Y., Tanaka, T., Mulati, M., Ochi, H., Sato, S., Kaldis, P., Yoshii, T., Okawa, A., & Inose, H. (2020). Cyclin-dependent kinase 1 is essential for muscle regeneration and overload muscle fiber hypertrophy. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 8, Article 564581. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.564581>
37. Laffaye, G., Collin, J.-M., Levernier, G., & Padulo, J. (2014). Upper-limb power test in rock-climbing. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 35(8), 670–675. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1358473>
38. Lee, S.-J., Leem, Y.-E., Go, G.-Y., Choi, Y., Song, Y. J., Kim, I., Kim, D. Y., Kim, Y. K., Seo, D.-W., Kang, J.-S., & Bae, G.-U. (2017). Epicatechin elicits MyoD-dependent myoblast differentiation and myogenic conversion of fibroblasts. *PLOS ONE*, 12(4), Article e0175271. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175271>
39. Lim, C., Shimizu, J., Kawano, F., Kim, H. J., & Kim, C. K. (2020). Adaptive responses of histone modifications to resistance exercise in human skeletal muscle. *PLOS ONE*, 15(4), Article e0231321. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231321>
40. Ling, X., Ma, X., Kuang, X., Zou, Y., Zhang, H., Tang, H., Du, H., Zhu, B., Huang, H., Xia, Q., Chen, M., Mao, D., Chen, D., Shen, H., & Yan, J. (2021). Lidocaine inhibits myoblast cell migration and myogenic differentiation through activation of the Notch pathway. *Drug Design, Development and Therapy*, 15, 927–936. <https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S290002>

41. Liu, Y., Li, D., Wei, Y., Ma, Y., Wang, Y., Huang, L., & Wang, Y. (2020). Hydrolyzed peptides from purple perilla (*Perilla frutescens* L. Britt.) seeds improve muscle synthesis and exercise performance in mice. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 44(11), Article e13461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.13461>
42. Long, Y. C., & Zierath, J. R. (2008). Influence of AMP-activated protein kinase and calcineurin on metabolic networks in skeletal muscle. *American Journal of Physiology: Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 295(3), E545–E552. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90259.2008>
43. Mallett, G. (2025). The effect of exercise and physical activity on skeletal muscle epigenetics and metabolic adaptations. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 125(3), 611–627. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-025-05704-6>
44. Massenet, J., Gardner, E., Chazaud, B., & Dilworth, F. J. (2021). Epigenetic regulation of satellite cell fate during skeletal muscle regeneration. *Skeletal Muscle*, 11(1), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13395-020-00259-w>
45. McGavin, C. R., Gupta, S. P., & McHardy, G. J. (1976). Twelve-minute walking test for assessing disability in chronic bronchitis. *British Medical Journal*, 1(6013), 822–823. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.6013.822>
46. Natanek, S. A., Gosker, H. R., Slot, I. G. M., Marsh, G. S., Hopkinson, N. S., Moxham, J., Kemp, P. R., Schols, A. M. W. J., & Polkey, M. I. (2013). Pathways associated with reduced quadriceps oxidative fibres and endurance in COPD. *The European Respiratory Journal*, 41(6), 1275–1283. <https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00098412>
47. Perrimon, N., Pitsouli, C., & Shilo, B.-Z. (2012). Signaling mechanisms controlling cell fate and embryonic patterning. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 4(8), Article a005975. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a005975>
48. Popchak, A., Poploski, K., Patterson-Lynch, B., Nigolian, J., & Lin, A. (2021). Reliability and validity of a return to sports testing battery for the shoulder. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 48, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2020.12.003>
49. Potthoff, M. J., Wu, H., Arnold, M. A., Shelton, J. M., Backs, J., McAnally, J., Richardson, J. A., Bassel-Duby, R., & Olson, E. N. (2007). Histone deacetylase degradation and MEF2 activation promote the formation of slow-twitch myofibers. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 117(9), 2459–2467. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI31960>
50. Radak, Z., Pan, L., Zhou, L., Mozaffaritarab, S., Gu, Y., Pinho, R. A., Zheng, X., Ba, X., & Boldogh, I. (2024). Epigenetic and “redoxogenetic” adaptation to physical exercise. *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, 210, 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2023.11.005>
51. Roberts, M. D., Dalbo, V. J., Hassell, S. E., Brown, R., & Kerksick, C. M. (2010). Effects of preexercise feeding on markers of satellite cell activation. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 42(10), 1861–1869. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181da8a29>
52. Shefer, G., Carmeli, E., Rauner, G., Yablonka-Reuveni, Z., & Benayahu, D. (2008). Exercise running and tetracycline as means to enhance skeletal muscle stem cell performance after external fixation. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 215(1), 265–275. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21306>
53. Sincennes, M.-C., Brun, C. E., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2016). Concise review: Epigenetic regulation of myogenesis in health and disease. *Stem Cells Translational Medicine*, 5(3), 282–290. <https://doi.org/10.5966/sctm.2015-0266>
54. Stockbrugger, B. A., & Haennel, R. G. (2001). Validity and reliability of a medicine ball explosive power test. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 15(4), 431–438.
55. Tian, Y., Xia, T., Qiang, X., Zhao, Y., Li, S., Wang, Y., Zheng, Y., Yu, J., Wang, J., & Wang, M. (2022). Nutrition, bioactive components, and hepatoprotective activity of fruit vinegar produced from Ningxia wolfberry. *Molecules*, 27(14), Article 4422. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27144422>
56. Tieland, M., Trouwborst, I., & Clark, B. C. (2018). Skeletal muscle performance and ageing. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 9(1), 3–19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12238>
57. Tong, Y., Huang, J., Wang, S., Awa, R., Tagawa, T., Zhang, Z., Cao, T., Kobori, H., & Suzuki, K. (2024). Effects of 3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)propionic acid on enhancing grip strength and inhibiting protein catabolism induced by exhaustive exercise. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25(12), Article 6627. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25126627>
58. Turner, D. C., Seaborne, R. A., & Sharples, A. P. (2019). Comparative transcriptome and methylome analysis in human skeletal muscle anabolism, hypertrophy and epigenetic memory. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), Article 4251. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40787-0>
59. Unver, B., Kahraman, T., Kalkan, S., Yuksel, E., Karatosun, V., & Gunal, I. (2015). Test-retest reliability of the 50-foot timed walk and 30-second chair stand test in patients with total hip arthroplasty. *Acta Orthopaedica Belgica*, 81(3), 435–441.
60. Widmann, M., Nieß, A. M., & Munz, B. (2019). Physical exercise and epigenetic modifications in skeletal muscle. *Sports Medicine*, 49(4), 509–523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01070-4>
61. Wu, J., & Yue, B. (2024). Regulation of myogenic cell proliferation and differentiation during mammalian skeletal myogenesis. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 174, Article 116563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2024.116563>
62. Yang, X., Mei, C., Raza, S. H. A., Ma, X., Wang, J., Du, J., & Zan, L. (2022). Interactive regulation of DNA demethylase gene TET1 and m6A methyltransferase gene METTL3 in myoblast differentiation. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 223(Pt. A), 916–930. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.11.081>

63. Yin, H., Price, F., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2013). Satellite cells and the muscle stem cell niche. *Physiological Reviews*, 93(1), 23–67. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00043.2011>
64. Yuksel, E., Kalkan, S., Cekmece, S., Unver, B., & Karatosun, V. (2017). Assessing minimal detectable changes and test-retest reliability of the timed up and go test and the 2-minute walk test in patients with total knee arthroplasty. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, 32(2), 426–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2016.07.031>
65. Zammit, P. S. (2017). Function of the myogenic regulatory factors Myf5, MyoD, Myogenin and MRF4 in skeletal muscle, satellite cells and regenerative myogenesis. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology*, 72, 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.11.011>
66. Zhong, X., Wang, Q.-Q., Li, J.-W., Zhang, Y.-M., An, X.-R., & Hou, J. (2017). Ten-eleven translocation-2 (Tet2) is involved in myogenic differentiation of skeletal myoblast cells in vitro. *Scientific Reports*, 7, Article 43539. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43539>