



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	MOBILE HEALTH INTERVENTIONS FOR SMOKING CESSATION: A LITERATURE REVIEW OF EFFECTIVENESS AND BEHAVIOR CHANGE
----------------------	---

DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5721
------------	---

RECEIVED	02 March 2026
-----------------	---------------

ACCEPTED	30 May 2026
-----------------	-------------

PUBLISHED	11 June 2026
------------------	--------------

LICENSE	 The article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License .
----------------	--

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

MOBILE HEALTH INTERVENTIONS FOR SMOKING CESSATION: A LITERATURE REVIEW OF EFFECTIVENESS AND BEHAVIOR CHANGE

Mateusz Balicki (Corresponding Author, Email: mateuszbalicki24@gmail.com)

Corten Dental Medical Center, Dental Clinic, Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0002-5066-9645

Marta Krężolek

Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0004-3646-5640

Julia Dobrowolska

Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0008-9498-9521

Kornel Pawlak

Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0009-6435-6587

Marcin Stępiński

Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0004-5878-6293

Paula Kaczmarczyk

CITODENT Dental Center, Oława, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0005-6099-5657

Alicja Palus

PRODENTAL Private Dental Clinic – Joanna Bronowska, Legionowo, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0002-7462-6893

Oliwia Zynek

Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0000-5537-565X

Tomasz Arkuszyński

Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-4894-361X

Filip Kamyszek

Corten Dental Medical Center, Dental Clinic, Warsaw, Poland

ORCID ID: 0009-0000-2767-8215

ABSTRACT

Mobile health (mHealth) interventions, including smartphone applications and SMS-based programs, have emerged as widely used tools for smoking cessation [12,27]; however, their clinical effectiveness remains inconsistent across studies [1,5,8]. This narrative literature review aimed to synthesize current evidence on the effectiveness of mHealth interventions for smoking cessation, with particular emphasis on clinical outcomes, user engagement, and underlying behavioral mechanisms. A literature search of PubMed and Google Scholar was conducted to identify peer-reviewed studies evaluating mobile or app-based cessation interventions. A total of 27 studies, including randomized controlled trials, observational studies, and systematic reviews, were included and analyzed using thematic synthesis.

The findings indicate that mHealth interventions are often associated with higher abstinence rates compared to control conditions [3,19], with reported quit rates ranging from 9% to 30% [3,19], although results are heterogeneous and not consistently significant [1,5,8]. Increased user engagement is frequently linked to improved adherence and, in some cases, better cessation outcomes [2,25]; however, this relationship is not uniformly observed [2,8]. Behavioral components, such as cognitive behavioral therapy and coping strategies, are commonly integrated but demonstrate variable effectiveness [3,14,15]. Similarly, app features, user experience, and population-specific adaptations contribute to engagement but show inconsistent associations with clinical outcomes [1,5,21].

Overall, the evidence suggests that mHealth interventions offer potential benefits for smoking cessation, yet their effectiveness appears to depend on the interaction between user characteristics, engagement patterns, and intervention design rather than any single component. These findings highlight the need for more standardized and methodologically robust research to clarify the determinants of success and bridge the efficacy-effectiveness gap in digital smoking cessation.

KEYWORDS

Mobile Health, Smoking Cessation, Smartphone Applications, Digital Interventions, User Engagement, Behavior Change

CITATION

Mateusz Balicki, Marta Krężolek, Julia Dobrowolska, Kornel Pawlak, Marcin Stepiński, Paula Kaczmarczyk, Alicja Palus, Oliwia Zynek, Tomasz Arkuszyński, Filip Kamyszek. (2026) Mobile Health Interventions for Smoking Cessation: A Literature Review of Effectiveness and Behavior Change. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 2(50). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.2(50).2026.5721

COPYRIGHT

© **The author(s) 2026**. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

Introduction

Mobile Health (mHealth) encompasses the use of mobile devices and wireless technologies to enhance medical and public health practices, and it has emerged as a foundational element of modern digital health interventions. Within the context of tobacco control, early mHealth initiatives primarily utilized Short Message Service (SMS) programs [12,27]. These programs provided evidence that digital connectivity could deliver ongoing behavioral support beyond conventional clinical environments by offering smokers timely assistance [12]. Contemporary mHealth interventions have progressed into complex smartphone applications that integrate multiple features, such as personalization, gamification, and interactive behavioral tools [6,12,25]. These interventions are frequently informed by established psychological theories, including Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), coping mechanisms, and approach bias retraining [3,15], to promote sustained smoking cessation through continuous user engagement and individualized support.

Although the widespread adoption of mobile health tools has been accompanied by generally favorable user acceptability [3,14], questions persist regarding the consistency of their clinical efficacy [1,5,8]. The extant literature is marked by considerable heterogeneity [1,5]: while certain studies report moderate abstinence rates (9%–30%) [3,19,21], others do not identify statistically significant benefits relative to conventional cessation methods [5,8]. Additionally, although increased app engagement is frequently linked to improved cessation outcomes [2,21], this association is not uniformly observed across published studies [2,8]. Methodological disparities, such as the prevalence of pilot or feasibility studies [10,17], variability in outcome measures, and the absence of standardized frameworks for categorizing app features and behavior change strategies [5,12] further obscure the evidence base. As a result, the determinants of therapeutic success

remain inadequately defined [12,15], impeding direct comparisons between interventions and limiting the capacity to optimize mHealth applications for smoking cessation.

This review aims to synthesize current evidence regarding the effectiveness of mobile health interventions for smoking cessation, with a particular focus on clinical outcomes, user engagement patterns, and underlying behavioral mechanisms. Specifically, it seeks to evaluate the extent to which current mHealth interventions improve cessation outcomes and to examine how user experience and behavioral tailoring may influence successful smoking cessation. By identifying sources of variability across populations and study designs, this review provides a structured assessment of how digital health interventions may be more effectively utilized in the treatment of tobacco dependence. Despite extensive research, no clear consensus exists regarding which specific components of mHealth interventions drive sustained smoking cessation [1,5,8,12].

Methodology

This narrative literature review was conducted to identify and synthesize studies examining mobile and smartphone-based interventions for smoking cessation. The literature search was performed using PubMed and Google Scholar databases, selected for their broad interdisciplinary coverage and inclusion of recent publications in digital health. The search focused on peer-reviewed articles published in English. Search terms were designed to prioritize studies explicitly focused on smoking cessation and mobile health interventions. For Google Scholar, due to the large volume of results and decreasing relevance beyond initial entries, only the first 200 records sorted by relevance were screened, which is a commonly applied approach in narrative reviews. Search queries included combinations of terms related to smoking cessation and mobile health interventions, such as “smoking cessation app”, “mobile health smoking cessation”, “phone app smoking cessation”, and “digital smoking cessation”, as well as variations incorporating “systematic review” and “meta-analysis”. Search terms were combined using Boolean operators (AND, OR) to refine the search strategy. No restriction on publication date was applied in order to capture a comprehensive range of relevant studies across the evolution of digital health. Additionally, reference lists of relevant articles were screened to identify further studies. The study selection process is summarized narratively based on the number of records identified, screened, and included.

The initial search yielded 284 records, of which 231 remained after removal of duplicates. Following title and abstract screening, 68 articles were assessed in full text, resulting in 27 studies included in the final analysis. Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) evaluation of a mobile or app-based intervention focused on smoking cessation, (2) reporting outcomes related to abstinence, engagement, or intervention effectiveness, and (3) publication in a peer-reviewed journal in English. Both primary studies (including randomized controlled trials, pilot studies, and observational designs) and secondary studies (systematic reviews and meta-analyses) were considered. Studies were excluded if they did not specifically address smoking cessation as a primary objective, did not involve a digital or mobile intervention, or lacked relevant outcome measures. Data extraction focused on study design, population characteristics, intervention type, and reported outcomes.

Results

1. Clinical Efficacy:

Intervention cohorts typically demonstrated higher abstinence rates relative to control groups, with reported quit rates ranging from 9% to 30% [3,12,16,19,27]. Personalized SMS and mobile-based interventions were frequently associated with superior cessation outcomes [12,16,19,27], and several studies identified a positive association between the duration of app usage and successful smoking cessation [2,19]. Users of heated tobacco products (HTPs) exhibited higher abstinence rates compared to other participant subgroups [18]. Although multiple studies reported statistically significant improvements in cessation rates [3,16,19], others did not observe notable differences relative to control conditions [8,10,27]. In the context of pharmacotherapy, one study found no interaction with app modality [20], while a meta-analysis suggested that app-based interventions may augment the effectiveness of pharmacological cessation aids [8].

2. Patterns of User Engagement and Adherence:

Collectively, the evidence suggests that increased engagement with smoking cessation applications, measured through usage frequency, duration, and interaction with app features, is generally correlated with greater adherence and improved cessation outcomes [2,25]. The data reveal a hierarchy of determinants: behavioral and contextual factors, including previous quit attempts, nicotine dependence, personal innovativeness, intrinsic motivation [4], and counselor support [13], exerted a stronger influence on adherence than did demographic characteristics [4]. Moreover, certain support mechanisms, such as geolocation-triggered interventions [17] and accountability structures [13], were shown to enhance engagement, particularly among users with lower baseline motivation [13]. Nonetheless, a marked decline in app usage over time was consistently documented [2,13]. Importantly, the association between app engagement and smoking abstinence was not observed in all studies; in some cases, control groups attained superior outcomes despite reduced engagement relative to intervention groups [2].

3. Integration of Behavioral Change Frameworks:

The evidence indicates that behavioral interventions delivered via mobile applications frequently employ Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) frameworks, coping strategies, and approach bias retraining [3,14,15,17]. These psychological mechanisms are often integrated with adjunctive tools, including electronic cigarettes [21]. User engagement with these components-particularly chat-based support features and interactive coping modules-has been associated with high levels of feasibility and acceptability [3,14,21]. Nonetheless, the reviewed literature reveals a distinction between studies demonstrating measurable behavioral change and preliminary efficacy [3,15], and those that focus primarily on engagement metrics or feasibility without reporting objective cessation outcomes [14,17]. Moreover, the effectiveness of specific psychological strategies appears to vary across interventions [15,21], underscoring inconsistencies in the mechanisms underlying behavioral change.

4. Application Functionalities and Design Elements:

Results indicate a consistent focus on specific app features, such as gamification, personalization, and behavioral tools, within smoking cessation interventions [6,21]. Most designs emphasize theoretical grounding and behavior change techniques [6], with multi-component apps being the most frequent format [21]. Feature-level analyses suggest that interactive tools and behavioral therapy elements are positively associated with user engagement [21]. However, findings regarding the specific effectiveness of these individual features remain inconsistent [1,5,21]. Systematic reviews highlight significant variability in app functionalities across interventions [1,5,9], with limited direct evidence linking specific design elements to objective cessation outcomes [5,9].

5. Perceived Usability and Qualitative User Insights:

Results indicate that users generally perceive smoking cessation apps as acceptable, usable, and supportive [23,26]. Key app affordances, such as accessibility, immediacy, and interactivity, were frequently highlighted as essential for managing cravings and quitting behavior [23,24,26]. Qualitative insights provided context to these interactions, explaining how users utilize social support and interaction features [7,23,26]. However, a significant finding is the lack of consistency between positive user experience and objective cessation outcomes [23]; preferences for specific features varied widely without a clear, generalizable pattern [23,26].

6. Intervention Tailoring and Population-Specific Outcomes:

Results indicate that app effectiveness and engagement levels are highly context-dependent across specific populations, including women [16], young adults [27], and minority groups [13,20]. Interventions tailored to regional, cultural, or socioeconomic needs are commonly implemented [11,16,20], with certain subgroups exhibiting distinct patterns of app usage and outcomes compared to the general population [13]. While population-specific approaches show potential benefits in terms of relevance and user engagement [13,16], effectiveness varies significantly across settings [11,16]. Findings regarding the impact of demographic characteristics on abstinence and engagement remain inconsistent [13], with no clear pattern emerging from the current data [11].

Discussion

1. Clinical Efficacy:

These findings suggest that mobile and SMS-based interventions appear to enhance cessation outcomes [3,12,16,19,27], although the evidence remains inconsistent [8,10,27]. The observed variability underscores the heterogeneity in findings across different populations and intervention types [12,18,20]. These discrepancies are potentially explained by the preliminary or pilot nature of the studies [3,10] and variations in participant adherence [2,8,12,19,27]. Furthermore, the interaction between digital tools and pharmacotherapy requires further clarification [8,20]. Collectively, while digital interventions offer potential benefits [8,12,27], their effectiveness appears to depend on both intervention design and population-specific factors [2,8,12,27].

2. Patterns of User Engagement and Adherence:

The findings suggest that while engagement appears to be an important component of digital cessation tools, its relationship with long-term success remains inconsistent [2]. The observed decline in usage over time points to significant challenges in sustaining user interest, regardless of initial perceived helpfulness [13]. The fact that behavioral traits outweighed demographics suggests that app design should prioritize personal context over broad population traits [4]. However, the variability in outcomes—where higher engagement did not always yield superior abstinence—underscores the heterogeneity of these interventions [2]. These observations must be viewed through the lens of several limitations, including reliance on self-reported outcomes [17], small feasibility-focused samples [17,22], and technical barriers [13,17].

3. Integration of Behavioral Change Frameworks:

The findings suggest a potential disconnect between user engagement and clinical effectiveness [14,21]. Although cognitive and behavioral components are consistently integrated and well-received by users [7,14,21], the evidence for their actual success in smoking cessation remains mixed [3,14,15]. This variability reflects a broader lack of clarity regarding which specific psychological mechanisms drive behavioral change versus mere app acceptability [15,21]. These observations are constrained by the predominance of preliminary designs and small, specific study populations, which limit the generalizability of the findings [3,14,21]. Furthermore, the lack of long-term follow-up data prevents definitive conclusions about sustained abstinence [15,21]. Consequently, while the integration of psychological support in apps is promising [7,14], its contribution to sustained smoking cessation remains variable across intervention designs and study contexts.

4. Application Functionalities and Design Elements:

The integration of behaviorally grounded features across interventions reflects a clear trend in app design [6], yet their relative effectiveness remains obscured by high heterogeneity [1,5]. The primary challenge lies in the multi-component nature of these apps, which often precludes the isolation of individual feature effects [21]. These observations are further constrained by a lack of standardized feature classification and reporting [5], making it difficult to draw definitive conclusions across systematic reviews [1,5,9]. Furthermore, the reliance on descriptive evaluations rather than rigorous outcome assessments [5] limits our understanding of sustained effectiveness [1,21].

5. Perceived Usability and Qualitative User Insights:

The findings underscore a notable disconnect between user-centric metrics and clinical effectiveness [23]. While apps excel as immediate tools for craving management [7,26], their high levels of acceptability and usability do not reliably translate into long-term abstinence [23]. This discrepancy suggests that "likability" or perceived helpfulness may not be the primary driver of behavioral change. Furthermore, the synthesis of these findings is hindered by several methodological limitations, including a predominance of descriptive designs [7,23,24,26] and a lack of standardized frameworks for assessing user experience [26]. Without direct linkage between UX data and objective cessation outcomes across larger, more diverse samples [23,26], the long-term impact of digital affordances remains speculative [23].

6. Intervention Tailoring and Population-Specific Outcomes:

The findings underscore the complexity of tailoring digital interventions to diverse demographic and socioeconomic subgroups [11,13,20]. Although population-specific adaptations are intended to improve relevance [11,16], the evidence regarding their added value over general interventions remains mixed [11,13]. This inconsistency suggests that cultural or regional adaptation alone may not be sufficient to ensure superior cessation outcomes [11]. Furthermore, the synthesis of these findings is constrained by significant methodological limitations, most notably the lack of direct comparisons between tailored and general interventions. The reliance on small, narrowly defined samples further restricts the generalizability of the results [11,20]. Consequently, more rigorous, long-term research is needed to clarify which specific contextual factors drive effectiveness across diverse populations and to establish standardized metrics for cross-group comparisons [16,27].

Conclusions

In summary, current evidence suggests that mobile health interventions remain a promising adjunct in smoking cessation, particularly through personalized, behaviorally grounded, and context-sensitive digital support systems. Given the persistent global burden of tobacco dependence, the importance of effective and scalable cessation strategies remains paramount. Across the reviewed literature, digital interventions appear to offer potential benefits in abstinence outcomes, user engagement, and behavioral support; however, these effects remain heterogeneous across populations, intervention formats, and study designs. The observed inconsistencies in clinical effectiveness, sustained engagement, and the contribution of specific behavioral mechanisms highlight that the therapeutic value of these tools remains only partially characterized.

Importantly, the findings indicate that acceptability and user engagement alone do not consistently translate into long-term abstinence, underscoring the need to distinguish between usability-related outcomes and clinically meaningful cessation success. Personalized design, theoretically grounded behavioral components, and contextual tailoring appear to be crucial for optimizing intervention relevance, although their independent effects remain difficult to isolate within multi-component applications.

Further prospective and adequately powered studies are warranted to refine intervention strategies, improve methodological standardization, and define optimal combinations of behavioral, interactive, and context-specific features. Future research should focus on establishing standardized outcome measures, clarifying the interaction between digital interventions and pharmacotherapy, and identifying the populations and implementation settings in which these tools are most effective. Such efforts are crucial for integrating mobile health interventions more effectively into evidence-based tobacco dependence treatment pathways. Importantly, no single intervention component consistently determined effectiveness, suggesting that outcomes are likely driven by the interaction between user characteristics, engagement patterns, and intervention design.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Mateusz Balicki, Julia Dobrowolska, Filip Kamyszek, Marcin Stępiński

Methodology: Paula Kaczmarczyk, Tomasz Arkuszyński, Alicja Palus, Marta Krężolek

Validation: Oliwia Zynek, Julia Dobrowolska, Tomasz Arkuszyński

Investigation: Marcin Stępiński, Kornel Pawlak, Marta Krężolek

Writing – Original Draft: Tomasz Arkuszyński, Filip Kamyszek, Alicja Palus, Mateusz Balicki

Writing – Review & Editing: Paula Kaczmarczyk, Oliwia Zynek

Supervision: Mateusz Balicki, Kornel Pawlak

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement: This study did not receive any specific funding.

Conflict of Interest: The authors of the paper declare no conflict of interest.

Plagiarism Statement: This manuscript is an original work and has not been published elsewhere. It has been checked for plagiarism in compliance with IJTSS guidelines.

Declaration on the Use of AI: In preparing this manuscript, the authors used ChatGPT for language improvement and enhancing readability. Following the use of this tool, all content was reviewed and edited by the authors, who take full responsibility for the accuracy and integrity of the final version.

REFERENCES

1. Barroso-Hurtado, M., Suárez-Castro, D., Martínez-Vispo, C., Becoña, E., & López-Durán, A. (2021). Smoking cessation apps: A systematic review of format, outcomes, and features. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(21), Article 11664. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182111664>
2. Bricker, J. B., Mull, K. E., Santiago-Torres, M., Miao, Z., Perski, O., & Di, C. (2022). Smoking cessation smartphone app use over time: Predicting 12-month cessation outcomes in a 2-arm randomized trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 24(8), Article e39208. <https://doi.org/10.2196/39208>
3. Chen, S., Tang, J., Wu, C., Zhang, G., Zhang, J., & Liao, Y. (2024). Preliminary efficacy of a cognitive behavioral therapy-based smartphone app for smoking cessation in China: Randomized controlled pilot trial. *JMIR Formative Research*, 8, Article e48050. <https://doi.org/10.2196/48050>
4. Chevalking, S. K. L., Ben Allouch, S., Brusse-Keizer, M., Postel, M. G., & Pieterse, M. E. (2018). Identification of users for a smoking cessation mobile app: Quantitative study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(4), Article e118. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.7606>
5. Chu, K. H., Matheny, S. J., Escobar-Viera, C. G., Wessel, C., Notier, A. E., & Davis, E. M. (2021). Smartphone health apps for tobacco cessation: A systematic review. *Addictive Behaviors*, 112, Article 106616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106616>
6. Edwards, E. A., Caton, H., Lumsden, J., Rivas, C., Steed, L., Pirunsarn, Y., Jumbe, S., Newby, C., Shenvi, A., Mazumdar, S., Smith, J. Q., Greenhill, D., Griffiths, C. J., & Walton, R. T. (2018). Creating a theoretically grounded, gamified health app: Lessons from developing the Cigbreak smoking cessation mobile phone game. *JMIR Serious Games*, 6(4), Article e10252. <https://doi.org/10.2196/10252>
7. Granado-Font, E., Ferré-Grau, C., Rey-Reñones, C., Pons-Vigués, M., Pujol Ribera, E., Berenguera, A., Barrera-Uriarte, M. L., Basora, J., Valverde-Trillo, A., Duch, J., & Flores-Mateo, G. (2018). Coping strategies and social support in a mobile phone chat app designed to support smoking cessation: Qualitative analysis. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 6(12), Article e11071. <https://doi.org/10.2196/11071>
8. Guo, Y. Q., Chen, Y., Dabbs, A. D., & Wu, Y. (2023). The effectiveness of smartphone app-based interventions for assisting smoking cessation: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, Article e43242. <https://doi.org/10.2196/43242>
9. Haskins, B. L., Lesperance, D., Gibbons, P., & Boudreaux, E. D. (2017). A systematic review of smartphone applications for smoking cessation. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 7(2), 292–299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13142-017-0492-2>
10. Hoepfner, B. B., Siegel, K. R., Futter, A. E., Finley-Abboud, D., Williamson, A. C., Kahler, C. W., Park, E. R., & Hoepfner, S. S. (2025). Smoking cessation smartphone app for nondaily smoking with telephone onboarding: Proof-of-concept randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 13, Article e53971. <https://doi.org/10.2196/53971>
11. Jaiswal, S., Narwane, S., Kunkulol, R., Kharde, A., & Tayade, M. (2023). Comparison of utility of tobacco cessation mobile applications with regard to Indian scenario. *IP International Journal of Comprehensive and Advanced Pharmacology*, 8(3), 165–170. <https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijcaap.2023.028>
12. Li, S., Li, Y., Xu, C., Tao, S., Sun, H., Yang, J., Wang, Y., Li, S., & Ma, X. (2025). Efficacy of digital interventions for smoking cessation by type and method: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 9(10), 2054–2065. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-025-02295-2>
13. Lepore, S. J., Collins, B. N., Killam, H. W., & Barry, B. (2021). Supportive accountability and mobile app use in a tobacco control intervention targeting low-income minority mothers who smoke: Observational study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 9(7), Article e28175. <https://doi.org/10.2196/28175>
14. Liao, Y., & Tang, J. (2022). Feasibility and acceptability of a cognitive behavioral therapy-based smartphone app for smoking cessation in China: A single-group cohort study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12, Article 759896. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.759896>
15. Machulska, A., Eiler, T. J., Haßler, B., & Klucken, T. (2024). Mobile phone-based approach bias retraining for smokers seeking abstinence: A randomized-controlled study. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 22, 4126–4147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-023-01107-w>
16. Martínez-Gutiérrez, J., Domínguez, A., López, C., Alcántara, J., Althausen, C., Rojas, M., Véjar, L., & Bambs, C. (2023). “Appagalo” a customized mobile health intervention (mHealth) for smoking cessation in women: A randomized controlled trial. *Tobacco Use Insights*, 16, Article 1179173X231152316. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1179173X231152316>
17. Naughton, F., Hopewell, S., Lathia, N., Schallbroeck, R., Brown, C., Mascolo, C., McEwen, A., & Sutton, S. (2016). A context-sensing mobile phone app (Q Sense) for smoking cessation: A mixed-methods study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 4(3), Article e106. <https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.5787>
18. Noda, Y., So, R., Sonoda, M., Tabuchi, T., & Nomura, A. (2023). The usefulness of a smartphone app-based smoking cessation program for conventional cigarette users, heated tobacco product users, and dual users: Retrospective study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, Article e42776. <https://doi.org/10.2196/42776>

19. Pallejà-Millán, M., Rey-Reñones, C., Barrera Uriarte, M. L., Granado-Font, E., Basora, J., Flores-Mateo, G., & Duch, J. (2020). Evaluation of the Tobbstop mobile app for smoking cessation: Cluster randomized controlled clinical trial. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 8(6), Article e15951. <https://doi.org/10.2196/15951>
20. Santiago-Torres, M., Mull, K. E., Sullivan, B. M., Cupertino, A. P., Salloum, R. G., Triplette, M., Zvolensky, M. J., & Bricker, J. B. (2025). Evaluating the impact of pharmacotherapy in augmenting quit rates among Hispanic adults in an app-delivered smoking cessation intervention: Secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Formative Research*, 9, Article e69311. <https://doi.org/10.2196/69311>
21. Schiek, H., Esch, T., & Hoetger, C. (2025). Feature-level analysis of a novel smoking cessation program integrating app-based behavioral therapy and an electronic cigarette. *BMC Digital Health*, 3, Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s44247-025-00147-7>
22. Schick, R. S., Kelsey, T. W., Marston, J., Samson, K., & Humphris, G. W. (2017). MapMySmoke: Feasibility of a new quit cigarette smoking mobile phone application using integrated geo-positioning technology, and motivational messaging within a primary care setting. *Pilot and Feasibility Studies*, 4, Article 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-017-0165-4>
23. Struik, L. L., Bottorff, J. L., Baskerville, N. B., & Oliffe, J. L. (2018). The Crush the Crave quit smoking app and young adult smokers: Qualitative case study of affordances. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 6(6), Article e134. <https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.9489>
24. Struik, L. L. (2017). *Young adult smoking cessation and mobile health: A qualitative investigation of the Crush the Crave app* [Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia]. UBC Library Open Collections. <https://open.library.ubc.ca/collections/ubctheses/24/items/1.0348748>
25. White, J. S., Toussaert, S., Raiff, B. R., Salem, M. K., Chiang, A. Y., Crane, D., Warrender, E., Lyles, C. R., Abroms, L. C., Westmaas, J. L., & Thrul, J. (2024). Evaluating the impact of a game (Inner Dragon) on user engagement within a leading smartphone app for smoking cessation: Randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 26, Article e57839. <https://doi.org/10.2196/57839>
26. Zhang, M., Wolters, M., O'Connor, S., Wang, Y., & Doi, L. (2023). Smokers' user experience of smoking cessation apps: A systematic review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 175, Article 105069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2023.105069>
27. Zhou, X., Wei, X., Cheng, A., Liu, Z., Su, Z., Li, J., Qin, R., Zhao, L., Xie, Y., Huang, Z., Xia, X., Liu, Y., Song, Q., Xiao, D., & Wang, C. (2023). Mobile phone-based interventions for smoking cessation among young people: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 11, Article e48253. <https://doi.org/10.2196/48253>