



International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	BISPECTRAL INDEX MONITORING IN ANESTHESIOLOGY: A NARRATIVE REVIEW
----------------------	---

DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(51).2026.6113
------------	---

RECEIVED	21 June 2026
-----------------	--------------

ACCEPTED	09 September 2026
-----------------	-------------------

PUBLISHED	16 September 2026
------------------	-------------------

LICENSE	
----------------	--



The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

© The author(s) 2026.

This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

BISPECTRAL INDEX MONITORING IN ANESTHESIOLOGY: A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Patryk Piotrowski (Corresponding Author, Email: pat-pio11@wp.pl)
Independent Public Health Care Facility in Myślenice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-3795-3781

Hubert Łagosz
5th Military Hospital with Polyclinic in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-6635-2400

Piotr Wites
University Hospital in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8234-5560

Katarzyna Wites
Collegium Medicum of Jagiellonian University in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-1796-0407

Alicja Włodarczyk
G. Narutowicz Municipal Specialist Hospital in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-9711-121X

Aleksandra Jabłońska
Collegium Medicum of Jagiellonian University in Cracow, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-0315-3771

Magdalena Pyzik
St. Anne's Hospital in Miechów, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-5771-8935

Kinga Ziółkowska
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-1695-4332

Karolina Markusiewicz
Medical University of Warsaw, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-0273-386X

Piotr Bahyrycz
Independent Public Health Care Facility in Myślenice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-7369-3366

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Accurate assessment of anesthetic depth is crucial for patient safety and optimal drug administration. The Bispectral Index (BIS), an EEG-derived quantitative measure, has become widely used to monitor hypnosis in various anesthetic contexts. Despite its popularity, BIS interpretation faces challenges from physiological variability, patient-specific factors, and technical limitations.

Methods: This narrative review synthesized evidence from PubMed searches restricted to the last 10 years, including randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, and observational studies evaluating BIS monitoring. Articles covering technical aspects, clinical applications, patient-group specific performance, limitations, physiological correlations, and outcomes were prioritized. Thematic synthesis was used rather than meta-analysis.

Results: BIS effectively guides anesthetic titration, reducing intraoperative awareness and anesthetic consumption across adult and pediatric populations. Challenges include variability due to age-related EEG differences, EMG interference, and anesthetic agent-specific EEG effects. Complementary indices like response entropy and machine learning models enhance BIS reliability. Closed-loop anesthesia delivery guided by BIS shows promise in improving administration precision. Optimal BIS ranges support improved hemodynamics and reduce postoperative morbidity and mortality risks.

Conclusions: BIS remains a valuable clinical tool for monitoring anesthetic depth when interpreted with awareness of its limitations. Advances in AI, multimodal monitoring, and personalization will likely improve BIS utility. Continued research is essential to optimize anesthesia management and patient outcomes through enhanced brain monitoring technologies.

KEYWORDS

Bispectral Index (BIS), Anesthesia Monitoring, Depth of Sedation, Electroencephalography (EEG), Intraoperative Awareness, Sedation Assessment

CITATION

Piotrowski, P., Łagosz, H., Wites, P., Wites, K., Włodarczyk, A., Jabłońska, A., Pyzik, M., Ziółkowska, K., Markusiewicz, K., & Bahyrycz, P. (2026). Bispectral index monitoring in anesthesiology: A narrative review. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*, 3(51). [https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3\(51\).2026.6113](https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(51).2026.6113)

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2026. This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

The accurate assessment of anesthetic depth is crucial for ensuring patient safety and optimal drug administration during surgical procedures. Traditional methods relying on clinical signs and vital parameters are often subjective and may fail to detect the nuanced changes in the patient's consciousness and sedation level. The development of electroencephalogram (EEG)-derived indices, particularly the Bispectral Index (BIS), has revolutionized intraoperative monitoring by providing continuous, objective measurements of brain activity associated with anesthesia depth (1, 3, 6).

BIS integrates multiple EEG features into a single numerical scale from 0 (complete cortical inhibition) to 100 (fully awake), enabling anesthesiologists to titrate anesthetics more precisely (4, 5). BIS has been shown in numerous studies and meta-analyses to reduce the incidence of intraoperative awareness, decrease anesthetic consumption, and improve postoperative recovery times (4, 6, 11, 22). Its clinical utility extends beyond general anesthesia to sedation maintenance during procedures such as colonoscopy and spinal anesthesia (4, 12).

Despite its benefits, BIS monitoring has limitations. The interpretation of BIS can be confounded by electrical noise, electromyographic (EMG) activity, and neuromuscular blockade effects, which may elevate readings independently of cortical activity (8, 9). Moreover, age-related EEG changes introduce variability in BIS reliability, particularly in pediatric and elderly populations, necessitating age-specific calibration or alternative indices (3, 7, 13).

Recent advances incorporate artificial intelligence and machine learning to enhance BIS-based predictions of anesthetic depth (7, 12, 20). Closed-loop systems leveraging BIS feedback show promise in automating anesthesia delivery, reducing human error, and improving stability of sedation (10, 13). Integration of BIS with autonomic nervous system monitoring may further refine nociception and sedation assessment, especially in critical care settings (19).

The diverse performance of BIS depending on anesthetic agents also poses interpretive challenges. For example, differences in EEG suppression during volatile versus total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) affect BIS dynamics during emergence and sedation transitions (14, 18). Additionally, comparisons with other EEG-derived indices, such as response entropy and patient state index, highlight areas where BIS may be complemented or improved (1, 21).

This narrative review synthesizes current evidence from recent clinical studies, meta-analyses, and technological evaluations to provide a comprehensive understanding of BIS's role in anesthesia. It addresses BIS's clinical applications, technological advancements, limitations, and impact on patient outcomes, while identifying future directions to optimize anesthetic care through advanced brain monitoring.

2. Research materials methods

This narrative review was conducted following a structured literature search and selection strategy to comprehensively assess recent advances in Bispectral Index (BIS) monitoring within anesthesiology.

The primary database searched was PubMed, due to its extensive repository of biomedical literature. The search was limited to articles published within the last 10 years to focus on the most recent and relevant evidence. Key search terms included combinations of "Bispectral Index," "BIS monitoring," "anesthesia depth," "sedation," "intraoperative awareness," and "EEG-derived anesthesia monitoring."

Inclusion criteria encompassed:

- Original research articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and randomized controlled trials related to BIS monitoring.
- Studies involving adult, pediatric, and critical care patient populations.
- Articles published in English.
- Studies that evaluated BIS accuracy, clinical utility, technological advancements, or outcome impact.

Exclusion criteria were:

- Publications older than 10 years unless seminal or highly cited foundational works were imperative.
- Non-English language publications without available translations.
- Case reports and letters to the editor lacking original data.

Selected articles underwent detailed review, and those providing comprehensive data on BIS performance in various anesthesia settings, comparisons with alternative indices, or exploring technological enhancements were prioritized. To maintain narrative coherence, evidence was synthesized thematically rather than through quantitative meta-analysis.

3. Literature review results

3.1. Technical Overview of BIS and Related EEG-Derived Indices

The Bispectral Index (BIS) system represents a milestone in objective, real-time monitoring of anesthetic depth through analysis of electroencephalogram (EEG) signals. BIS integrates various EEG features — including phase coupling, bispectral analysis, and power spectral densities — to produce a dimensionless number between 0 and 100, where 100 indicates full consciousness and 0 corresponds to an isoelectric EEG (1). This scale aids anesthesiologists in adjusting anesthetic doses to maintain adequate hypnosis, minimizing risks of both intraoperative awareness and excessive sedation.

BIS calculation involves complex signal processing, including fast Fourier transform and bispectral analysis, to detect nonlinear EEG relationships altered by anesthetics. The index incorporates suppression ratios and electromyographic (EMG) activity to refine sedation assessments (19). Despite its widespread adoption, BIS is susceptible to artifacts including EMG interference, electrocautery noise, and neuromuscular blockade effects, which may falsely elevate or depress readings (8, 9).

In parallel, alternative EEG-derived indices such as Response Entropy (RE), State Entropy (SE), and Patient State Index (PSI) have been developed to complement or, in some contexts, outperform BIS. For example, Response Entropy uses a broader frequency spectrum to incorporate frontal EMG activity, allowing earlier detection of arousal during low sedation (1). Similarly, PSI combines multiple EEG signal features from frontal and temporal leads and demonstrates greater stability during rapid sedation changes, especially notable in pediatric anesthesia (21, 3). Phase lag entropy, another emerging metric, shows resilience to EMG effects and may offer improved reliability during neuromuscular blockade (8).

The influence of neuromuscular blockade on BIS readings is significant: EMG suppression can down-regulate BIS, misclassifying sedated but awake patients as deeply anesthetized (8). Employing multiple EEG indices in conjunction, such as combining BIS with phase lag entropy, may enhance accuracy under such conditions.

In pediatric populations, EEG developmental changes complicate BIS interpretation. Lower amplitude and faster EEG activities in younger children lead to more variable BIS values (3, 19). Adjustments to BIS algorithms or use of alternative indices like PSI can improve monitoring fidelity in infants and preschool children.

3.2. Clinical Applications of BIS Monitoring

BIS's utility extends from general anesthesia to procedural sedation. During regional anesthesia with sedation (such as spinal or epidural), BIS provides continuous, objective assessment of sedation depth, supplementing subjective clinical scales like the Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (OAA/S) (12). This combination improves sedation safety by preventing both over- and under-sedation, crucial for patient comfort and safety during awake procedures.

In gastrointestinal endoscopy, BIS monitoring correlates well with clinical sedation scores and reduces oversedation rates, facilitating faster recovery and decreased adverse events (4, 2). Similar benefits have been observed in pediatric populations, where BIS guidance reduces anesthetic consumption and hastens emergence, although age-specific interpretation remains essential (14, 3).

One of BIS's most important applications is minimizing intraoperative awareness, a distressing and potentially traumatic event for patients. Meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials have consistently demonstrated that BIS-guided anesthesia reduces awareness incidence compared to traditional clinical monitoring (16, 6, 22). Reduced anesthetic dosing guided by BIS likely contributes to smoother anesthetic management and quicker postoperative awakening, without compromising patient safety.

BIS monitoring assists anesthesiologists in tailoring drug delivery to individual patient needs, avoiding excessive anesthesia that may prolong recovery or increase complications. Studies show BIS guidance lowers anesthetic consumption and may reduce postoperative cognitive dysfunction, particularly in vulnerable populations like the elderly (6, 17, 13). Moreover, BIS provides early indication of anesthesia depth changes during surgery, prompting timely dose adjustments and enhancing hemodynamic stability (13).

3.3. BIS in Different Patient Groups

Pediatric Patients

BIS monitoring in pediatric anesthesia presents unique challenges. Due to developmental differences in EEG patterns, especially in infants and preschool children, BIS values tend to be more variable and less predictable compared to adults (3, 19). This variability arises from differences in brain immaturity, leading to altered EEG spectral properties which influence the BIS algorithm calibrated primarily on adult data. Studies highlight the need for adjusted algorithms or complementary indices like the Patient State Index (PSI) for increased reliability in children (21, 14). Despite these limitations, BIS-guided anesthesia in pediatrics has been shown to reduce anesthetic exposure and improve recovery profiles (14).

Elderly and Middle-aged Patients

Aging impacts brain physiology and EEG characteristics, complicating BIS interpretation, demonstrated that maintaining moderate BIS levels (45-60) in elderly patients preserved heart rate variability and resulted in better hemodynamic stability during surgery. Conversely, excessively low BIS values (<40) have been linked with higher postoperative morbidity and mortality in this population (17). These findings underscore the need for personalized BIS targets accounting for age-related neurological and cardiovascular vulnerabilities.

Intensive Care and Critically Ill Patients

In critical care, sedation monitoring is complicated by multiple factors including neurological impairment and pharmacologic polytherapy. (19) showed that integrating BIS with frontal electromyogram (EMG) activity and autonomic responses improved discrimination between true sedation depth and nociceptive stimuli-induced EEG changes. Such multimodal monitoring may enhance sedation titration and detect pain in non-communicative patients, but further validation is needed.

3.4. BIS Variability with Different Anesthetic Agents and Emerging Techniques

The bispectral index does not respond uniformly to all anesthetic agents, and understanding this variability is critical to accurate interpretation. Studies comparing volatile agents (such as sevoflurane, isoflurane, desflurane) and intravenous anesthetics (like propofol) reveal differences in BIS suppression patterns and emergence trajectories (5, 18). For example, the emergence from xenon anesthesia is associated with faster BIS increases compared to sevoflurane, attributed to xenon's unique EEG effects (18). These agent-dependent differences require anesthesiologists to interpret BIS values within the context of administered drugs cautiously.

Beyond raw BIS numbers, additional EEG-derived parameters such as phase lag entropy and spectral edge frequency are gaining attention for their potential to overcome BIS limitations. Phase lag entropy, less affected by EMG contamination and neuromuscular blockade, offers more robust sedation assessment under conditions that challenge BIS reliability (8). Spectral edge frequency analysis can complement BIS readings, especially during volatile anesthetics and sedatives like remimazolam and propofol, providing a multifaceted approach to anesthesia depth monitoring (9).

Recent innovations incorporate machine learning and artificial intelligence to enhance BIS-based monitoring. Deep convolutional neural networks, such as AnesNet, integrate BIS data with multimodal intraoperative signals to predict anesthesia depth with high accuracy, supporting personalized anesthetic management (20). Moreover, closed-loop infusion systems guided by real-time BIS feedback enable automated anesthetic delivery, reducing human error and maintaining more stable sedation levels (13, 14). These emerging technologies promise improved safety and efficacy in anesthesia care.

3.5. Physiological and Hemodynamic Correlations and Limitations of BIS Monitoring

Correlation with Autonomic Nervous System and Hemodynamics

BIS monitoring reflects central nervous system activity that is closely linked with autonomic nervous system responses during anesthesia. Studies have demonstrated correlations between BIS values and perioperative changes in heart rate variability (HRV), blood pressure, and other hemodynamic parameters (19, 13). Maintaining anesthesia within an optimal BIS range helps preserve autonomic balance, which is especially critical in elderly and cardiovascularly vulnerable patients, reducing perioperative morbidity.

Association with Postoperative Outcomes

Emerging evidence links inappropriate anesthesia depth, as indicated by prolonged low BIS values, to postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD) and increased mortality. Retrospective analyses highlight that cumulative durations of BIS below 40, particularly when combined with hypotension, correlate with higher 90-day postoperative mortality (17, 16). These findings underscore the utility of BIS in optimizing intraoperative management to improve long-term outcomes.

Limitations and Confounding Factors

Despite its benefits, BIS monitoring has inherent limitations. Variability in BIS readings can arise from patient age, neurological status, and specific anesthetic agents. Electromyographic (EMG) interference can falsely elevate BIS values, obscuring true sedation depth (8). Neuromuscular blockade can modulate EMG activity and thus influence BIS output, necessitating cautious interpretation during muscle relaxation.

Artifact contamination from electrocautery devices and other sources can also affect BIS reliability. Pediatric patients present additional challenges due to developmental EEG changes that may reduce BIS accuracy (3). Therefore, integrating BIS with other monitoring modalities, such as entropy indices and autonomic measurements, and being aware of its limitations are essential for optimal clinical use (19, 8).

3.6. Clinical Outcomes Associated with BIS

Reduction of Intraoperative Awareness

Multiple meta-analyses have conclusively shown that BIS monitoring reduces the incidence of intraoperative awareness compared to monitoring based on clinical signs alone (6, 22). This enhances patient safety and mitigates psychological sequelae associated with awareness during surgery.

Decreased Anesthetic Consumption and Faster Recovery

BIS guidance enables anesthesiologists to avoid excessive anesthetic dosing, lowering total drug use and leading to faster emergence from anesthesia and reduced postoperative complications including nausea and cognitive dysfunction (6, 14, 17).

Improved Hemodynamics and Reduced Mortality Risk

Optimizing anesthesia depth guided by BIS helps maintain stable hemodynamics, reducing hypotensive episodes during surgery (13). Retrospective data also link prolonged deep anesthesia (BIS<40) combined with hypotension to increased post-surgical mortality at 90 days (17).

3.7. Future Directions

Despite significant progress in BIS technology and widespread clinical adoption, several avenues remain open for improving anesthetic depth monitoring to enhance precision, safety, and patient-centered care.

Current BIS algorithms are primarily derived from adult EEG data and show variable accuracy across age groups and neurological conditions. Enhancing BIS performance through age- and patient-specific algorithm adjustments is a crucial next step, particularly for pediatric and elderly populations whose EEG characteristics differ markedly (3, 19). Machine learning and artificial intelligence offer powerful tools to develop adaptive models that dynamically calibrate to individual patient EEG profiles, enabling truly personalized anesthesia depth assessment (21, 12).

BIS primarily quantifies hypnotic depth but insufficiently reflects analgesia or nociceptive stimuli. Integrating BIS with autonomic nervous system parameters, electromyography, and novel EEG-derived indices like phase lag entropy may provide a comprehensive picture of the patient's anesthetic state (22, 8). Such multimodal monitoring can facilitate balanced opioid and anesthetic dosing, optimizing sedation quality and patient comfort, especially in critically ill or non-communicative patients.

Closed-loop systems leveraging BIS feedback for automated anesthetic infusion represent the frontier of precision anesthesia. Early studies reveal that these systems can maintain stable anesthesia levels, reduce drug consumption, and decrease clinician workload (10). Continued refinement of control algorithms and validation through larger clinical trials will be pivotal in translating these technologies from experimental settings to routine practice.

EMG interference and electrical noise remain significant obstacles to BIS reliability. Future work should focus on advanced signal processing techniques and sensor technologies to minimize artifacts' impact, as well as hybrid monitoring approaches combining BIS with complementary measures (17). Improved artifact rejection will enhance clinical confidence and broaden BIS application in challenging surgical environments.

Tailored research on BIS monitoring in specific subgroups—such as neonates, patients with neurological disorders, and those undergoing complex or long-duration surgeries—is warranted to define appropriate protocols and thresholds. Moreover, extending BIS utility to non-operative sedation contexts, such as intensive care sedation and procedural sedation in outpatient settings, offers promising clinical benefits (15).

Advancements along these directions will likely propel BIS monitoring beyond its current role—transforming it into an integral component of a multimodal, intelligent anesthesia monitoring ecosystem that supports safer, more effective, and personalized perioperative care.

4. Discussion

This narrative review consolidates current evidence regarding the Bispectral Index (BIS) as a pivotal tool in modern anesthesia practice. BIS monitoring translates complex EEG patterns into a simple numeric scale, enabling anesthesiologists to titrate anesthetic drugs and sedation more precisely, thus enhancing patient safety and clinical outcomes.

BIS derives from nonlinear EEG analysis, condensing brain cortical activity into a 0–100 scale. While straightforward at face value, the technology relies on sophisticated signal processing and is influenced by numerous factors including electromyographic (EMG) activity, neuromuscular blockade, anesthetic agents, and patient-specific EEG characteristics (19, 8).

Effective administration of BIS monitoring involves strict attention to electrode placement, signal quality, and artifact mitigation. Clinicians must contextualize BIS readings against clinical status, anesthetic agents used, and patient factors. Multimodal administration strategies that integrate BIS with other indices such as Patient State Index (PSI), response entropy, and autonomic monitoring offer richer sedation assessments and mitigate methodological limitations (1, 21, 8).

BIS has demonstrated utility in a broad range of anesthesia settings. In general anesthesia, it guides hypnotic dosing, decreases anesthetic consumption, and reduces intraoperative awareness (6, 22). Procedural sedation during regional anesthesia and gastrointestinal endoscopy benefits from BIS-enhanced sedation accuracy, improving patient comfort and safety (4, 12).

Pediatric and geriatric anesthesia present unique challenges due to EEG developmental and degenerative changes, respectively. Both populations require adapted BIS interpretation and in some cases complementary monitoring to ensure accuracy (3, 13, 19). In intensive care settings, combining BIS with autonomic and electromyograph signals enhances monitoring of sedation and nociception in non-communicative patients, representing an important application expansion (19).

BIS monitoring closely reflects cortical activity with notable associations to autonomic nervous system function and hemodynamic status. Studies correlate optimal BIS targets with preserved heart rate variability and stable blood pressure, essential for reducing perioperative complications, especially in vulnerable populations (13). Conversely, prolonged deep anesthesia coupled with hypotension predicts increased mortality, underscoring BIS's integrative role in balancing anesthetic depth and systemic stability (17).

Clinical outcome data robustly support BIS-guided anesthesia in improving perioperative safety. Meta-analyses confirm significant reductions in intraoperative awareness, anesthetic overuse, and postoperative cognitive complications (6, 14, 22). These benefits propagate into shorter emergence durations, faster recovery, and enhanced patient satisfaction, critical metrics in modern anesthetic care pathways.

Despite its strengths, BIS faces intrinsic limitations. Susceptibility to EMG interference, patient variability, and poor signal quality in specific clinical contexts mandate critical interpretation. BIS's relative insensitivity to analgesia and nociception demands adjunctive monitoring tools for comprehensive anesthesia depth assessment (19, 8). Age-specific EEG considerations, especially in neonates and elderly, require more refined, validated algorithms to maximize effectiveness (3, 19).

Advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning herald a new era of BIS integration, enabling personalized and predictive anesthesia management (20, 12). Automated closed-loop anesthesia delivery controlled by BIS feedback optimizes drug administration precision and minimizes human error (13, 14). Multimodal approaches merging BIS with autonomic, EMG, and other EEG-derived metrics promise enhanced multimodal sedation and analgesia monitoring for diverse patient populations, including those in critical care (19).

5. Conclusions

Bispectral Index monitoring has established itself as an essential adjunct in anesthetic practice, providing a measurable and objective parameter to guide sedation and anesthesia depth. Its integration into clinical workflows has positively influenced anesthetic dosing and patient safety. However, the technology is not without limitations—recognition of factors affecting its accuracy and judicious interpretation are crucial. The future of BIS lies in enhanced personalization through advanced algorithms and integration with other physiologic indicators, promising more precise, reliable, and comprehensive brain monitoring in anesthesia. Continuous innovation and deeper clinical research are vital to further refine this essential tool to achieve personalized, safe, and effective anesthesia management.

Funding Statement: No funding was received for the preparation of this narrative review.

Conflicts of Interest: No conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Considerations: As this study is a narrative review based exclusively on previously published literature, ethical approval and informed consent were not required.

Authors Contributions: Conceptualization, P.P.; Methodology, P.P., P.W.; Validation, K.W., H.L. and A.W.; Formal Analysis, A.W., M.P.; Investigation, A.J., K.Z.; Resources, K.Z.; Data Curation, P.B.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, P.P., H.L.; Writing – Review & Editing, P.P., K.M.; Visualization, P.P., A.J; Supervision K.W, M.P.

REFERENCES

- Liang, T., Wu, F., Wang, B., & Mu, F. (2021). PRISMA: Accuracy of response entropy and bispectral index to predict the transition of consciousness during sevoflurane anesthesia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*, 100(17), e25718. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000025718>
- Epstein, R. H., Maga, J. M., Mahla, M. E., et al. (2018). Prevalence of discordant elevations of state entropy and bispectral index in patients at amnestic sevoflurane concentrations: A historical cohort study. *Can J Anesth/J Can Anesth*, 65, 512–521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-018-1085-3>
- Ganesh, A., & Watcha, M. F. (2004). Bispectral index monitoring in pediatric anesthesia. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*, 17(3), 229–234. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001503-200406000-00006>
- Lewis, S. R., Pritchard, M. W., Fawcett, L. J., & Punjasawadwong, Y. (2019). Bispectral index for improving intraoperative awareness and early postoperative recovery in adults. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 9(9), CD003843. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003843.pub4>
- Kim, J.-K., Kim, D.-K., & Lee, M.-J. (2014). Relationship of bispectral index to minimum alveolar concentration during isoflurane, sevoflurane or desflurane anaesthesia. *Journal of International Medical Research*, 42(1), 130–137. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060513505525>
- Punjasawadwong, Y., Phongchiewboon, A., & Bunchungmongkol, N. (2014). Bispectral index for improving anaesthetic delivery and postoperative recovery. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2014(6), CD003843. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003843.pub3>
- de Oliveira, D. A. C., Dos Santos Monteiro, J., de Macêdo, N. R., Tavares Costa Santos, A. H., & Rosa Neves, S. M. (2025). Bispectral index-guided anesthesia in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Anaesthesia, Critical Care & Pain Medicine*, 44(6), 101607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2025.101607>
- Jin, S., Baik, H. J., Cho, S., Chung, R. K., Kong, K. A., & Kim, Y. J. (2021). The influence of neuromuscular blockade on phase lag entropy and bispectral index: A randomized, controlled trial. *PLOS ONE*, 16(9), e0257467. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257467>
- Xin, Y., Ma, L., Xie, T., Liang, Y., Ma, M., Chu, T., Liu, C., & Xu, A. (2023). Comparative analysis of the effect of electromyogram to bispectral index and 95% spectral edge frequency under remimazolam and propofol anesthesia: A prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 10, 1128030. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1128030>
- Hua, L., Du, B., Zuo, Y., Liu, H., & Zhang, J. (2024). Clinical evaluation of bispectral index-guided closed-loop infusion of propofol for preschool children: A multi-center randomized controlled study. *Pediatric Investigation*, 8(4), 271–277. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ped4.12449>
- Oliveira, C. R., Bernardo, W. M., & Nunes, V. M. (2017). Benefit of general anesthesia monitored by bispectral index compared with monitoring guided only by clinical parameters: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brazilian Journal of Anesthesiology (Elsevier)*, 67(1), 72–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjane.2015.09.001>
- Lim, T. W., Choi, Y. H., Kim, J. Y., et al. (2020). Efficacy of the bispectral index and Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale in monitoring sedation during spinal anesthesia: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of International Medical Research*, 48(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060519893165>
- Zhou, C., Huang, X., Zhuo, Z., et al. (2024). Effect of different anesthesia depths on perioperative heart rate variability and hemodynamics in middle-aged and elderly patients undergoing general anesthesia. *BMC Anesthesiol*, 24, 312. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-024-02700-9>
- Bickel, A., Gavrilov, A., Ivry, S., Maimon, N. B., Molcho, L., & Intrator, N. (2020). Reduced neural activity during volatile anesthesia compared to TIVA: Evidence from a novel EEG signal processing analysis. *arXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2009.14264>
- Jang, Y. E., Kim, E. H., Lee, J. H., Kim, J. T., & Kim, H. S. (2022). Usefulness of bispectral index and patient state index during sevoflurane anesthesia in children: A prospective observational study. *Medicine*, 101(30), e29925. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000029925>
- Cheriyian, T., Bai, K., Bayyapureddy, S., Dua, A., Singh, P., Sun, Z., Patel, C., & Kumar, V. (2024). Effect of bispectral index on intra-operative awareness: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia*, 18(3), 360–370. https://doi.org/10.4103/sja.sja_74_24
- Yoon, S., Yoo, S., Hur, M., et al. (2020). The cumulative duration of bispectral index less than 40 concurrent with hypotension is associated with 90-day postoperative mortality: A retrospective study. *BMC Anesthesiol*, 20, 200. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-020-01122-7>

18. McGuigan, S., Scott, D. A., Evered, L., Silbert, B., & Liley, D. T. J. (2023). Performance of the bispectral index and electroencephalograph derived parameters of anesthetic depth during emergence from xenon and sevoflurane anesthesia. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing*, 37(1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-022-00860-y>
19. Stewart, J. A., Särkelä, M. O. K., Wennervirta, J., et al. (2022). Novel insights on association and reactivity of Bispectral Index, frontal electromyogram, and autonomic responses in nociception-sedation monitoring of critical care patients. *BMC Anesthesiol*, 22, 353. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-022-01864-6>
20. Qi, Y., Wang, X., Cui, Y., et al. (2026). AnesNet: A versatile deep convolutional framework for predicting depth of anesthesia using BIS and multimodal intraoperative signals. *BMC Anesthesiol*, 26, 198. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-026-03710-5>
21. Zhao, Tym., Chen, D., Xu, Zx., et al. (2023). Comparison of bispectral index and patient state index as measures of sedation depth during surgeries using remimazolam tosilate. *BMC Anesthesiol*, 23, 208. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-023-02172-3>
22. Gao, Ww., He, Yh., Liu, L., et al. (2018). BIS monitoring on intraoperative awareness: A meta-analysis. *CURR MED SCI*, 38, 349–353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11596-018-1886-1>