

International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	ROBOTS, DRONES, AND THE TRANSFORMATION OF MODERN MILITARY POWER
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(51).2026.6264
RECEIVED	21 June 2026
ACCEPTED	11 September 2026
PUBLISHED	28 September 2026
LICENSE	The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.**

© The author(s) 2026.
This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

ROBOTS, DRONES, AND THE TRANSFORMATION OF MODERN MILITARY POWER

Amartur Boldbaatar
Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Military Science, National Defense University of Mongolia, Mongolia;
Researcher, Defense Research Institute of Mongolia, Mongolia
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-2801-6753

ABSTRACT

The rapid proliferation of military robots and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) has marked a structural transformation in the character of warfare. Autonomous and semi-autonomous systems are no longer merely incremental technological improvements; rather, they are fundamentally reshaping force development, the operational tempo of military campaigns, escalation dynamics, and the political economy of military power. Drawing upon innovation theory, strategic studies, and empirical evidence from the Russia–Ukraine War, this article argues that contemporary armed conflict is increasingly characterized by attritable mass, compressed kill chains, distributed lethality, and competition in the electromagnetic spectrum. Through an examination of institutional adaptation initiatives—including the U.S. Department of Defense's Replicator Initiative and NATO's evolving doctrinal reforms—the study demonstrates that success in future warfare will depend less on a limited number of highly sophisticated platforms than on the ability to cultivate an adaptive defense-industrial ecosystem capable of rapid technological iteration and scalable production.

KEYWORDS
Military Robots, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, Attritable Warfare, Autonomy, Modern Warfare

CITATION
Boldbaatar, A. (2026). Robots, drones, and the transformation of modern military power. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*, 3(51). https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(51).2026.6264

COPYRIGHT
© The author(s) 2026. This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence, robotics, and autonomous systems is fundamentally transforming the character of modern warfare. Among these emerging technologies, robotic systems and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) have become central components of contemporary military operations, demonstrating their effectiveness in intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, precision strike, logistics, and electronic warfare. Recent conflicts, particularly the Russia–Ukraine War, have highlighted the growing strategic importance of unmanned systems by demonstrating how relatively inexpensive and commercially available technologies can generate significant operational and strategic effects. As autonomous capabilities continue to mature, military competition is increasingly influenced not only by technological innovation but also by the ability of armed forces to integrate these technologies into doctrine, organization, and operational concepts.

Existing scholarship has extensively examined the military applications of robotic systems from several complementary perspectives. Military innovation theory emphasizes that technological superiority alone does not guarantee battlefield success; instead, competitive advantage depends upon an organization's capacity to incorporate emerging technologies into military doctrine, institutional structures, and training systems. Similarly, studies of the Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA) argue that technological change reshapes warfare only when accompanied by organizational transformation and new operational concepts. Recent operational experience has reinforced these arguments by demonstrating that drones achieve maximum

effectiveness only when integrated with intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance (ISR), artillery, electronic warfare, and networked command-and-control systems rather than functioning as independent weapon platforms.

Another important strand of the literature examines the emergence of attritable warfare, in which relatively inexpensive autonomous systems replace traditional high-value military platforms as the primary means of generating combat power. This shift has altered conventional approaches to force design by emphasizing scalability, rapid production, continuous replacement, and operational adaptability instead of maximizing platform survivability. Consequently, military competition is increasingly influenced by industrial production capacity, innovation cycles, and the resilience of defense-industrial ecosystems. At the same time, advances in artificial intelligence have accelerated operational decision-making, compressed the interval between target detection and engagement, and intensified debates concerning meaningful human control, legal accountability, and the strategic risks associated with autonomous weapons. Because unmanned systems rely heavily on communications networks and the electromagnetic spectrum, electronic warfare and cyber operations have likewise become indispensable components of contemporary military competition.

Although these studies have significantly advanced understanding of unmanned warfare, they have primarily examined technological innovation, operational effectiveness, or individual capability development. Comparatively limited attention has been devoted to explaining how robotic systems are transforming the underlying mechanisms through which military power itself is generated, organized, and sustained. In particular, existing research has rarely integrated the political economy of military power, organizational adaptation, and strategic stability into a unified analytical framework capable of explaining the broader structural transformation of modern warfare.

To address this gap, this study examines the evolution of robotic systems and unmanned technologies through three complementary theoretical perspectives: the political economy of military power, organizational adaptation, and strategic stability. Rather than treating unmanned systems merely as tactical force multipliers, the study argues that they are fundamentally restructuring the economic foundations of warfare, redefining military organizations, accelerating operational decision-making, and reshaping the strategic conditions under which military power is exercised. Building upon this analysis, the article proposes the transition from platform-centric warfare to ecosystem warfare as a conceptual framework for understanding the evolution of future military competition. This framework suggests that military superiority will increasingly depend not only on technological sophistication, but also on the integration of industrial capacity, organizational adaptability, innovation ecosystems, and resilient defense institutions.

2. Methodology

2.1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design to examine how robotic systems and unmanned technologies are transforming the mechanisms through which military power is generated. Rather than evaluating the tactical performance of individual unmanned platforms, the research investigates the broader structural implications of autonomous systems for military organizations, defense-industrial development, and strategic stability. The study therefore follows a theory-building approach, seeking to explain the evolving relationship between technological innovation and military power through conceptual analysis and comparative interpretation.

2.2. Data Sources

The analysis is based on multiple sources of qualitative evidence. Primary sources include official defense strategies, military doctrines, policy reports, and strategic documents published by major military powers and international organizations. Secondary sources consist of peer-reviewed academic literature, books, defense think tank reports, and professional military publications addressing military innovation, autonomous systems, artificial intelligence, electronic warfare, and contemporary military transformation. In addition, battlefield observations and publicly available operational evidence from recent conflicts, particularly the Russia–Ukraine War, are incorporated to illustrate emerging patterns in the employment of robotic and unmanned systems.

2.3. Research Methods

To ensure analytical rigor, this study combines three complementary qualitative methods.

First, document analysis is employed to examine official doctrines, defense policies, and scholarly publications in order to identify major trends in the development and employment of robotic systems. This method provides the empirical foundation for evaluating changes in military organization, force structure, and operational concepts.

Second, comparative case analysis is used to compare the evolution of unmanned warfare across recent military conflicts and among major military powers. Rather than focusing on a single case, the study identifies recurring patterns of technological adaptation, organizational learning, and operational innovation that transcend individual conflicts.

Third, theoretical synthesis is applied to integrate existing perspectives from military innovation theory, the political economy of defense, organizational adaptation, and strategic stability. This approach enables the development of a unified analytical framework capable of explaining how autonomous technologies are reshaping the structural foundations of military power.

2.4. Analytical Framework

The analytical framework is built upon three complementary theoretical perspectives: the political economy of military power, organizational adaptation, and strategic stability. These perspectives are employed to examine the structural consequences of robotic systems beyond their immediate operational applications.

From the political economy perspective, the study analyzes how unmanned systems reshape the economic foundations of military capability through changes in production models, industrial resilience, and innovation ecosystems. Organizational adaptation theory is used to evaluate how military institutions integrate emerging technologies into doctrine, command structures, and operational concepts. Finally, strategic stability provides the analytical basis for assessing how autonomous systems influence deterrence, escalation dynamics, and the future balance between offense and defense.

By integrating these three perspectives, the study develops a comprehensive framework for explaining the transition from platform-centric warfare toward ecosystem warfare, in which military effectiveness increasingly depends upon the interaction between technological innovation, industrial capacity, organizational flexibility, and institutional resilience.

3. Results

Existing scholarship on military robotics and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) has expanded considerably over the past two decades, reflecting the growing influence of autonomous technologies on contemporary warfare. Current research has primarily focused on military innovation theory, the Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA), attritable warfare, autonomous decision-making, electronic warfare, and the evolution of defense-industrial ecosystems. Although these studies approach unmanned systems from different theoretical perspectives, an emerging consensus suggests that their significance extends far beyond technological performance. Increasingly, scholars argue that robotic systems are transforming military organizations, force-generation mechanisms, and the broader architecture of strategic stability.

3.1. Military Innovation and Organizational Adaptation

Military innovation theory maintains that technological advancement alone does not automatically translate into operational superiority. Instead, military effectiveness depends upon an organization's ability to integrate emerging technologies into doctrine, force structure, training systems, and institutional practices. In this context, the strategic value of unmanned systems is determined less by the acquisition of hardware than by the establishment of specialized operational units, adaptive command structures, and doctrinal mechanisms capable of incorporating autonomous technologies into military operations. The Russia–Ukraine War has demonstrated that, in an environment where commercially available drones are widely accessible, financial superiority is no longer the primary determinant of battlefield effectiveness. Rather, institutional flexibility, organizational learning, and rapid adaptation have emerged as the principal sources of military advantage. Consequently, the innovation cycle in modern warfare has compressed dramatically, evolving from procurement processes measured in decades to operational adaptation occurring within weeks.

3.2. Reassessing the Revolution in Military Affairs

The widespread employment of unmanned systems has revived longstanding debates concerning whether contemporary warfare represents a new Revolution in Military Affairs. Unlike earlier network-centric warfare concepts, which relied on expensive and highly centralized command-and-control architectures, modern unmanned systems are comparatively inexpensive, modular, and commercially accessible. These characteristics have enabled precision-strike capabilities to diffuse from strategic platforms to tactical units. However, recent operational experience suggests that drones alone are insufficient to determine battlefield outcomes. Their effectiveness depends upon close integration with intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR), artillery, maneuver forces, and electronic warfare capabilities. Technological innovation therefore functions primarily as a force multiplier that enhances organizational effectiveness rather than replacing the need for coherent military institutions and integrated operational concepts.

3.3. Attritable Warfare and the Logic of Scale

One of the most significant conceptual developments associated with military robotics is the emergence of attritable warfare. Traditional force structures have emphasized platform survivability, long service life, and force preservation. In contrast, contemporary unmanned systems deliberately exchange lower acquisition costs for greater tolerance of battlefield losses. This shift is transforming force design from a platform-centric model toward one emphasizing scale, rapid iteration, and continuous replenishment. As a result, many of the traditional advantages enjoyed by technologically advanced defense-industrial powers are being challenged, enabling smaller states and even certain non-state actors to acquire credible precision-strike capabilities. Furthermore, the expendability of unmanned systems reduces the political costs associated with equipment losses, potentially lowering the threshold for military escalation and altering the dynamics of strategic competition.

3.4. Autonomy and Competition in Decision Speed

Advances in artificial intelligence and autonomous technologies have enabled unmanned systems to perform increasingly complex missions with reduced human intervention, significantly compressing the interval between target detection and engagement. Decision speed has consequently become a decisive variable in contemporary military operations. However, competition at machine speed also introduces significant strategic challenges, including increased risks of miscalculation, unintended escalation, and ambiguity regarding legal and ethical responsibility. These developments have intensified international debates concerning accountability, meaningful human control, and the governance of autonomous weapon systems.

3.5. Electronic Warfare and Electromagnetic Spectrum Dominance

Because robotic systems rely extensively on the electromagnetic spectrum for communication, navigation, and command functions, electronic warfare has become a decisive component of modern military competition. The interaction between unmanned systems and counter-drone technologies has generated a continuous cycle of technological adaptation in which control of the electromagnetic spectrum directly influences operational survivability and mission effectiveness. Consequently, contemporary warfare increasingly extends beyond the physical battlefield into the electromagnetic domain, where electronic warfare, cyber operations, and kinetic strike capabilities are becoming progressively integrated within multidomain operations.

3.6. Defense-Industrial Ecosystems and Strategic Stability

The transformation driven by robotic systems extends well beyond the tactical battlefield, encompassing broader industrial, institutional, and strategic dimensions. Military competition increasingly depends on a state's ability to integrate commercial innovation ecosystems, advanced manufacturing capabilities, and resilient supply chains into national defense strategies. Scalable autonomous systems have the potential to reshape conventional deterrence by reducing production costs and accelerating force generation. At the same time, drone swarms and other low-cost autonomous strike systems challenge the cost-exchange ratios of traditional air defense architectures, creating new pressures on conventional deterrence and strategic stability. These developments suggest that future military competition will be determined not only by technological sophistication but also by the resilience of national innovation systems, industrial capacity, and institutional adaptability.

4. Discussions

4.1. Theoretical Foundations of the Structural Transformation of Military Power

The widespread adoption of robotic systems and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) in contemporary warfare represents more than a technological innovation; it signifies a fundamental transformation in the logic through which military power is generated. This transformation extends beyond the modernization of tactical capabilities and raises broader questions concerning how states conceptualize military effectiveness, deterrence, and the sustainability of war. Consequently, the strategic implications of unmanned systems should be examined within a comprehensive theoretical framework encompassing the political economy of military power, institutional adaptation, and strategic stability.

Robotic systems and UAVs are transforming not only the conduct of military operations but also the underlying cost structure of warfare, the tempo of decision-making, and the organization of armed forces. Compared with traditional high-value military platforms, unmanned systems can be produced, deployed, and replaced at significantly lower cost and at a much faster rate. As a result, assessments of military capability are gradually shifting from the performance of individual platforms toward the resilience of integrated military systems, industrial capacity, and the ability to sustain technological innovation. Military power is therefore increasingly shaped by the interaction of technological progress, institutional reform, and industrial competitiveness.

4.2. The Political Economy of Military Power

From the perspective of the political economy of defense, conventional military power has historically been based on capital-intensive force structures. Large surface combatants, strategic air forces, and heavy armored formations exemplify a platform-centric model in which military effectiveness depends primarily on enhancing the survivability and combat performance of individual systems. Within this framework, the loss of major platforms carries substantial strategic, political, and symbolic costs, encouraging states to prioritize force preservation.

The emergence of unmanned systems introduces a fundamentally different logic based on expendability. Their relatively low production costs and rapid manufacturing cycles reduce the strategic significance of equipment losses and increase political tolerance for operational risk. Consequently, the ability to generate large numbers of affordable systems and sustain industrial production becomes a critical determinant of military effectiveness. This structural shift alters the economic foundations of warfare by moving competitive advantage away from increasingly sophisticated individual platforms toward industrial resilience, innovation capacity, and continuous production.

Furthermore, the extensive reliance of unmanned systems on commercial electronics, software platforms, and digital technologies has created a close interdependence between military capability and civilian innovation ecosystems. National technological competitiveness, resilient supply chains, and industrial policy have therefore become integral components of strategic competition. In this context, robotic systems transform military capability into an extension of a nation's innovation system rather than merely an outcome of defense procurement.

4.3. Organizational Adaptation and Institutional Innovation

Technological innovation alone cannot generate strategic advantage unless it is effectively integrated into military organizations. The operational effectiveness of unmanned systems depends upon doctrinal adaptation, institutional reform, and organizational learning. When drones function merely as supplementary reconnaissance assets, their military impact remains limited. However, when real-time intelligence from unmanned systems is integrated into fire support, command and control, and electronic warfare networks, their operational effectiveness increases exponentially.

Consequently, military organizations must redesign their command structures, training systems, and procurement processes to accommodate the rapid technological evolution of unmanned capabilities. Procurement models centered on long-term platform acquisition are increasingly incompatible with the accelerated innovation cycles characterizing autonomous technologies. Competitive advantage therefore depends less on isolated technological breakthroughs than on an organization's capacity for continuous learning, rapid adaptation, and effective feedback mechanisms.

At the tactical level, unmanned technologies also redistribute precision-strike capabilities to lower-echelon units. Small units increasingly possess independent reconnaissance and strike capabilities, expanding the number of operational decision nodes across the battlefield. Although such distributed operations enhance operational flexibility and resilience, they simultaneously increase the complexity of coordination, thereby placing greater demands on integrated information networks and decentralized command structures.

4.4. Decision Speed and Human–Machine Teaming

Autonomous navigation, artificial intelligence, and automated target recognition substantially compress the traditional "detect–decide–engage" cycle, making decision speed a decisive variable in modern warfare. The ability to process information and execute military operations faster than an adversary provides a significant operational advantage. However, accelerated decision-making also reduces opportunities for meaningful human intervention, thereby increasing the risks of miscalculation and unintended escalation in complex operational environments.

Accordingly, human–machine teaming has emerged as a critical institutional challenge. While algorithms increasingly perform technical and analytical functions, human operators continue to retain responsibility for supervision, authorization, and ethical accountability. This evolving distribution of decision authority fundamentally reshapes traditional command relationships, making the definition of meaningful human control an essential requirement for balancing operational efficiency with legal and ethical legitimacy.

4.5. Escalation Dynamics and Strategic Stability

Unmanned systems significantly reduce the risk of personnel casualties, thereby lowering the domestic political costs associated with the use of military force. Political leaders may therefore demonstrate greater willingness to authorize limited military operations, potentially increasing the frequency of grey-zone competition and limited conflicts. Nevertheless, although personnel risks decline, escalation risks do not disappear; rather, they are transformed. Persistent surveillance, autonomous patrols, and continuous unmanned operations in contested areas may intensify strategic tensions and increase the probability of inadvertent escalation.

Moreover, the large-scale deployment of low-cost unmanned systems can impose disproportionate economic costs on defenders by forcing them to employ expensive air defense interceptors against inexpensive drones. This unfavorable cost-exchange ratio challenges traditional deterrence strategies and may encourage preemptive decision-making during periods of crisis.

Because unmanned systems rely heavily upon communications networks, satellite navigation, and the electromagnetic spectrum, spectrum dominance has become an increasingly important dimension of strategic competition. Electronic warfare and cyber capabilities therefore directly influence the survivability and operational effectiveness of autonomous systems. Strategic stability is consequently determined not only by the balance of conventional military forces but also by the resilience of digital communications, navigation infrastructure, and information networks.

4.6. From Platform-Centric Warfare to Ecosystem Warfare

The preceding analysis suggests that contemporary warfare is undergoing a structural transition from a platform-centric model toward an ecosystem-based model of military power. Whereas traditional approaches emphasized the protection and performance of individual high-value platforms, the emerging paradigm prioritizes distributed networks, continuous production, rapid replacement, and institutional adaptability. Military superiority is increasingly measured not by technological sophistication alone but by the capacity to integrate technology, organizational flexibility, industrial resilience, and innovation into a coherent military ecosystem.

The transformative significance of robotic systems and unmanned technologies therefore lies not merely in their technical capabilities but in their ability to restructure the economic foundations of warfare, reshape military institutions, and redefine the strategic logic through which military power is generated. This structural transformation represents one of the defining characteristics of twenty-first-century warfare and provides a new theoretical foundation for understanding the evolution of future military power.

5. Conclusions

This study has demonstrated that the transformative significance of robotic systems and unmanned technologies extends far beyond improvements in individual military capabilities. Rather than functioning solely as tactical force multipliers, these technologies are fundamentally reshaping the economic foundations, organizational structures, and strategic logic of modern warfare. Their proliferation represents a structural transformation in the mechanisms through which military power is generated, sustained, and employed, with implications that extend from battlefield operations to national defense policy and international security.

Drawing upon the theoretical perspectives of the political economy of military power, organizational adaptation, and strategic stability, the study finds that the military effectiveness of unmanned systems is determined not only by technological advancement but also by the interaction between industrial capacity, institutional adaptability, and strategic decision-making. Contemporary military competition is therefore increasingly characterized by the ability to generate continuous innovation, sustain resilient production systems, and rapidly integrate emerging technologies into operational concepts and military organizations. These findings reinforce the argument that future military superiority will depend as much on organizational and industrial resilience as on technological sophistication.

The analysis further demonstrates that unmanned systems are altering the economic logic of warfare by shifting military competition away from reliance on a limited number of expensive, highly survivable platforms toward distributed, scalable, and increasingly expendable systems. This transition elevates industrial resilience, production capacity, secure supply chains, and rapid innovation cycles to strategic assets of national power. Consequently, the traditional distinction between civilian industrial capability and military effectiveness is becoming progressively blurred, making the broader defense industrial ecosystem an essential component of national security.

From an organizational perspective, the study confirms that technological innovation alone cannot produce sustainable operational advantage. Effective integration of robotic and autonomous systems requires corresponding reforms in military doctrine, command and control, force structure, procurement systems, professional military education, and organizational learning. Evidence from recent conflicts indicates that militaries capable of adapting rapidly to evolving operational environments consistently outperform those relying primarily on technological superiority. Institutional flexibility, therefore, emerges as one of the defining characteristics of military effectiveness in the era of autonomous warfare.

The research also highlights the evolving relationship between unmanned systems and strategic stability. While autonomous and remotely operated systems may reduce personnel casualties and expand operational options, they also lower the political threshold for the use of force, increase the prevalence of grey-zone competition, and create new forms of strategic instability. Furthermore, the growing dependence of autonomous systems on communications networks, satellite navigation, artificial intelligence, and the electromagnetic spectrum significantly increases the strategic importance of cyber resilience, electronic warfare, and information superiority. As a result, future deterrence will increasingly depend upon the resilience and survivability of interconnected military networks rather than conventional force balances alone.

Collectively, these findings indicate that modern warfare is undergoing a transition from platform-centric warfare toward ecosystem-centric warfare. In this emerging paradigm, military success is determined by the effective integration of technological innovation, industrial capacity, institutional adaptability, digital infrastructure, and organizational resilience into a coherent and continuously evolving defense ecosystem. This transformation represents not merely the adoption of new technologies but a fundamental reconfiguration of the processes through which military power is created and sustained.

Despite these contributions, the study recognizes several limitations. The rapid pace of technological development, particularly in artificial intelligence and autonomous decision-making, means that operational concepts, legal frameworks, and strategic doctrines will continue to evolve. Future research should therefore examine the long-term implications of higher levels of machine autonomy, the governance of lethal autonomous weapon systems, the interaction between artificial intelligence and strategic deterrence, and the impact of emerging counter-autonomous technologies on future military competition.

Ultimately, this study concludes that robotic systems and unmanned technologies are transforming not only the conduct of warfare but also the broader architecture of military power in the twenty-first century. Their influence extends across operational, organizational, economic, and strategic dimensions, fundamentally redefining how states prepare for, deter, and wage war. Understanding this structural transformation is therefore essential for military planners, policymakers, and scholars seeking to explain the evolving character of armed conflict and to anticipate the future trajectory of international security.

REFERENCES

1. Horowitz, M. C. (2010). The diffusion of military power: Causes and consequences for international politics. Princeton University Press.

2. Rosen, S. P. (1991). Winning the next war: Innovation and the modern military. Cornell University Press.

3. Scharre, P. (2018). Army of none: Autonomous weapons and the future of war. W. W. Norton & Company.

4. Horowitz, M. C. (2018). Artificial intelligence, international competition, and the balance of power. Texas National Security Review, 1(3), 36–57.

5. Military Academy of War Studies. (2020). Intelligentized warfare. PLA Press. (Original work published in Chinese)

6. Military Academy of National Defense Science and Technology Innovation. (2021). Research on unmanned systems operations. Military Science Press. (Original work published in Chinese)

7. Chen, Z. (2020). Research on restructuring operational systems under the background of intelligentized warfare. China Military Science, (5), 1–10. (Original work published in Chinese)

8. Horowitz, M. C. (2018). Artificial intelligence, international competition, and the balance of power. Texas National Security Review, 1(3), 36–57. https://doi.org/10.26153/tsw/10459 (Include the DOI if required by the journal.)

9. Liu, W., & Wang, X. (2021). Research on the operational capability and development trends of unmanned aerial vehicle swarms. National Defense Science & Technology, 42(6), 15–21. (Original work published in Chinese)

10. Scharre, P. (2018). Army of none: Autonomous weapons and the future of war. W. W. Norton & Company.

11. Zhang, Z. (2019). The impact of unmanned combat systems on the future character of warfare. Modern Military, (4), 12–18. (Original work published in Chinese)