International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	ADOLESCENT BODY DYSMORPHIC DISORDER IN THE MODERN SOCIAL LANDSCAPE: A REVIEW OF CURRENT LITERATURE
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.3(51).2026.6402
RECEIVED	11 May 2026
ACCEPTED	09 August 2026
PUBLISHED	14 August 2026
LICENSE	The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.**

ADOLESCENT BODY DYSMORPHIC DISORDER IN THE MODERN SOCIAL LANDSCAPE: A REVIEW OF CURRENT LITERATURE

Julia Paczyna *(Corresponding Author, Email: paczynajulia@gmail.com)*
Regional Specialized Hospital No. 4, Bytom, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-5485-7167

Maria Jędryka
Public Health Care Institution in Oława, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-9052-8914

Oliwia Wróblewska
University Clinical Center of the Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-8848-3832

Jakub Majewski
Hospital Murcki Limited Liability Company, Katowice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-3368-3817

Małgorzata Witaszczyk
University Clinical Center of the Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-0197-5480

Zofia Hałabuda
The Floriana Ceynowy Specialist Hospital in Wejherowo, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-0976-5602

Alicja Benecka
Prof. S. T. Dąbrowski Hospital in Puszczykowo S.A., Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0000-5110-8028

Iwona Kawka-Bryk
Medical University of Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0002-9083-4449

ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) is a debilitating psychiatric condition that is increasingly affecting adolescents, largely exacerbated by the modern digital and social landscape. The aim of this article is to provide a comprehensive review of the current literature regarding the psychosocial risk factors, severe consequences, and diagnostic screening tools associated with adolescent BDD.
Methodology: A narrative review of the current literature was conducted. The analysis synthesized recent studies, clinical guidelines, and theoretical papers focusing on the intersection of adolescent BDD, digital environments, peer dynamics, psychiatric risks, and diagnostic practices.
Results: The reviewed literature indicates that social media exposure, peer dynamics, and bullying (including cyberbullying) serve as primary risk factors that trigger and intensify BDD symptoms in youth. The analysis also highlights severe psychosocial threats, demonstrating a concerning correlation between untreated adolescent BDD, self-injurious behaviors, and suicidality. Furthermore, the review identifies and evaluates the most effective, evidence-based screening scales currently recommended for early detection of the disorder.
Conclusion: The modern social landscape significantly amplifies the risk and severity of BDD among adolescents. Due to the high risk of severe, life-threatening outcomes, there is an urgent need for heightened clinical awareness and the routine implementation of standardized screening protocols by healthcare professionals and educators to ensure early identification and intervention.

KEYWORDS

Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), Adolescents, Dysmorphophobia, Social Media, Digital Body Image, Cyberbullying

CITATION

Julia Paczyna, Maria Jędryka, Oliwia Wróblewska, Jakub Majewski, Małgorzata Witaszczyk, Zofia Hałabuda, Alicja Benecka, Iwona Kawka-Bryk. (2026) Adolescent Body Dysmorphic Disorder in the Modern Social Landscape: a Review of Current Literature. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*. 3(51). doi: 10.31435/ijitss.3(51).2026.6402

Introduction

Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) is a severe, chronic, and often debilitating psychiatric condition classified under obsessive-compulsive and related disorders in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). It is characterized by an obsessive preoccupation with one or more perceived defects or flaws in physical appearance that are either unobservable or appear slight to others. This preoccupation drives individuals to engage in repetitive, time-consuming behaviors—such as mirror checking, excessive grooming, skin picking, or reassurance seeking—intended to fix, hide, or inspect the perceived flaw. Epidemiological data indicates that the onset of BDD most frequently occurs during early adolescence, typically around the ages of 12 to 13. This is a critical developmental window marked by profound physical maturation, complex identity formation, and a naturally heightened sensitivity to peer acceptance and social evaluation.

While BDD has been documented in psychiatric literature for over a century, the modern social landscape has fundamentally altered the environmental context in which youth construct and evaluate their body image. Historically, beauty standards were disseminated through traditional, static media; today, the rapid evolution of digital communication technologies has introduced entirely new dimensions to adolescent socialization. The ubiquitous integration of smartphones and highly visual, image-centric social media platforms into daily life has created an environment where physical appearance is subjected to relentless, quantifiable scrutiny. Algorithms that promote idealized aesthetics, alongside the widespread availability of augmented reality (AR) filters and photo-editing tools, continually blur the line between reality and digital perfection. Consequently, the modern adolescent is navigating a landscape that demands unprecedented levels of digital self-presentation.

Within this digital ecosystem, traditional peer dynamics have also been transformed. Phenomena such as cyberbullying and online peer victimization have emerged as potent environmental triggers that can catalyze or exacerbate BDD symptoms in vulnerable youth. Unlike traditional bullying, which is often confined to

school grounds, cyberbullying permeates the safety of the home, creating a 24/7 cycle of negative social feedback. The intersection of these aggressive peer interactions and the comparative nature of social media creates a fertile ground for the development of severe body image distortion.

The consequences of untreated adolescent BDD extend far beyond cosmetic concerns, vanity, or typical teenage insecurities. The intense psychological distress associated with the disorder frequently leads to severe psychosocial impairment, academic decline, and social isolation. Most alarmingly, literature consistently demonstrates that adolescents suffering from BDD carry a disproportionately high psychiatric burden. They are at a significantly elevated risk for engaging in self-injurious behaviors and exhibit alarming rates of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts. This underscores the reality that BDD is not a benign phase of adolescence, but rather a critical psychiatric emergency.

Despite its high prevalence and life-threatening potential, BDD remains systematically underdiagnosed in both clinical and educational settings. A primary challenge in identifying the disorder is the profound shame and stigma experienced by the patients, coupled with a pervasive lack of insight into the psychiatric nature of their distress. Adolescents with BDD frequently conceal their symptoms and are far more likely to seek unnecessary dermatological treatments or aesthetic procedures rather than mental health support. Because patients rarely volunteer their core psychological symptoms, the implementation of proactive, evidence-based screening protocols by healthcare professionals and school counselors is absolutely essential for early detection.

This article provides a comprehensive narrative review of the current literature surrounding adolescent Body Dysmorphic Disorder in the contemporary social context. First, the paper examines the primary risk factors and symptom exacerbators, with a dedicated focus on the profound impact of social media exposure, shifting peer relationships, and bullying dynamics. Second, it synthesizes current findings regarding the severe psychiatric dangers associated with the disorder, particularly self-harm and suicidality. Finally, the review evaluates current diagnostic practices and highlights recommended screening scales and psychometric tools that are crucial for effectively recognizing and addressing BDD in the modern youth population.

Methodology

To achieve the objectives of this article, a comprehensive narrative review of the current literature was conducted. The aim of this methodological approach was to identify, evaluate, and synthesize existing research concerning the psychosocial risk factors, psychiatric consequences, and diagnostic screening practices associated with Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) in adolescents.

Search Strategy and Data Sources

An extensive literature search was performed utilizing primary academic and medical databases, including PubMed/MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and Scopus. The search strategy employed a combination of primary and secondary keywords linked with Boolean operators (AND, OR). The core search terms included: ("Body Dysmorphic Disorder" OR "BDD" OR "dysmorphophobia") AND ("adolescents" OR "youth" OR "teenagers"). To target the specific themes of this review, these core terms were cross-referenced with secondary keywords: ("social media" OR "digital media" OR "cyberbullying" OR "peer victimization" OR "bullying") for risk factors; ("self-harm" OR "self-injury" OR "suicidality" OR "suicide ideation") for psychiatric dangers; and ("screening tools" OR "assessment scales" OR "diagnostic protocols") for clinical practices.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the high quality and relevance of the synthesized data, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were established. The inclusion criteria were:

1. Peer-reviewed journal articles, including empirical studies, clinical guidelines, and theoretical reviews;
2. Publications written in the English language;
3. Studies focusing primarily on the adolescent demographic (typically defined as ages 10 to 19);
4. Research published within the last 15 years to accurately capture the impact of the modern digital landscape and contemporary social media platforms on body image.

The exclusion criteria encompassed:

1. Studies exclusively focusing on adult populations without a distinct adolescent subgroup;
2. Non-peer-reviewed materials, informal opinions, or purely anecdotal reports;
3. Articles strictly analyzing generalized eating disorders (e.g., Anorexia Nervosa, Bulimia) that did not specifically isolate or address BDD symptomatology.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Following the initial search, the titles and abstracts of the retrieved articles were screened for relevance. The full texts of the selected publications were then thoroughly reviewed. Data extracted from these sources were organized and synthesized thematically to align with the core structure of this paper. The synthesized information was divided into three primary domains: (1) environmental and social exacerbators of BDD (with an emphasis on the digital landscape and peer dynamics), (2) severe psychiatric outcomes (specifically self-harm and suicidality), and (3) recommendations for screening protocols and psychometric evaluation.

Results

1. Risk factors

1.1 Age

Most adults with BDD report symptom onset during early to mid-adolescence, although in a subset of patients the disorder develops only in adulthood [1]. BDD is nearly 20 times more prevalent among adolescents than among children, suggesting that developmental processes associated with puberty—hormonal changes, physical maturation, and the development of self-concept may act as catalysts for the onset of the disorder [1]. The mean age at symptom onset is 13 years (range: 4–17 years), whereas the mean age at presentation to specialist services is approximately 16 years, indicating an average delay of around three years between symptom onset and referral to specialized BDD treatment [2].

1.2 Sex

The prevalence of BDD is higher among girls. Symptoms are approximately four times more common in girls than in boys [2,3]. In one study, this difference was even more pronounced. The prevalence of BDD symptoms was six times more common in girls than in boys [1]. This finding should be interpreted with caution, as BDD symptoms may be more difficult to recognize in boys, and concerns related to body image may be less socially acceptable for boys to express [2]. However, even when the sex difference in the prevalence of BDD is less pronounced, female sex remains a risk factor for the development of the disorder. This sex difference may be explained by greater societal pressure to conform to the beauty standards and ideals of physical attractiveness, increased exposure to social media, and cultural influences among girls [4]. These factors may contribute to higher self-criticism and body dissatisfaction. Furthermore, social media frequently promotes unrealistic and digitally enhanced ideals of attractiveness, thereby reinforcing appearance-related concerns [4]. Hormonal and emotional factors may also increase women's vulnerability to appearance-related stress [4]. Changes occurring during puberty also play an important role, and the fact that women in early adulthood tend to demonstrate greater concern with body image compared with men [3]. Moreover, girls report greater symptom severity and a higher number of appearance-related preoccupations than boys [2]. In contrast, boys are more likely to engage in excessive physical exercise, whereas girls more frequently use makeup to conceal perceived defects [2].

1.3 Comorbidities

Approximately 70% of young patients with BDD have at least one comorbid psychiatric disorder [1]. Internalizing disorders show a stronger association with BDD than externalizing disorders [1]. The most common psychiatric comorbidities among young people with BDD are mood disorders (47%) and anxiety disorders (19%) [2]. In addition, BDD is associated with greater severity of depressive and anxiety symptoms [3]. Other comorbidities include OCD [5] and eating disorders [3,6]. Notably, in one study up to 96% of participants with BDD reported autistic traits, including sensory sensitivities, repetitive behaviors, a preference for routine, restricted and highly focused interests, and social difficulties, while 39% met diagnostic criteria for ADHD [7]. Only a small proportion of participants had previously received these diagnoses, suggesting that Autism Spectrum Disorder and ADHD may frequently remain unrecognized among adolescents with BDD [7].

1.4 Cultural factors

Cultural norms, shaped by tradition, religion, and historical context, also play a significant role by influencing perceptions of beauty and body image, thereby increasing appearance-related concerns [8]. Furthermore, in some regions of the world, traditional cultural ideals of modesty and socially prescribed gender roles may conflict with contemporary beauty standards promoted through social media and advertising [8]. This discrepancy may give rise to feelings of internal conflict, guilt, shame, and dissatisfaction with one's appearance [8]. It is noteworthy that cultural factors may act as both risk and protective factors. For instance, in one study it was observed that lower levels of religious engagement were linked to the presence of BDD [3]. Given the potential for culture to exert both beneficial and detrimental effects on the development of BDD, this topic warrants further investigation.

1.5 Peer relationships

Adolescents with BDD perceived lower levels of social support from close peers than adolescents from the general population [9]. At the same time, adolescents with BDD and their healthy peers considered support from close friends to be equally important and reported a comparable number of close friendships [9]. These findings suggest that although adolescents with BDD may value peer relationships to the same extent and have a similar number of friends as their healthy peers, they perceive the quality of the support they receive as lower [9]. This perceived lack of support may contribute to the maintenance of psychological distress and foster feelings of rejection and defectiveness [9]. In an attempt to fit in with their peer group, adolescents with BDD may engage in camouflaging behaviors or modify their appearance [9]. They may also develop avoidance behaviors, such as avoiding school, parties, and other social situations perceived as threatening [9]. Such avoidance further limits opportunities to challenge dysfunctional beliefs and gradually reduce anxiety, thereby maintaining the disorder [9].

1.6 Family

In one of the studies a positive family history of BDD was reported in 12% of adolescents with BDD [10]. A genetic liability is considered one of the contributing factors in the etiology of BDD [6]. Moreover, parents of adolescents with BDD also reported significantly higher levels of emotional distress than parents of healthy controls [10]. It has been proposed that parents with internalizing symptoms may transmit these difficulties to their children through both genetic mechanisms and the modeling of maladaptive coping strategies, thereby increasing the risk of developing emotional disorders [10]. Conversely, it is also plausible that the progressive deterioration in the child's functioning contributes to increased parental emotional distress, suggesting a bidirectional relationship between parental distress and the severity of BDD symptoms in offspring [10]. However, further longitudinal research is required to clarify the directionality of this association.

In addition, 92% of parents of adolescents with BDD reported modifying their behavior in response to their child's appearance-related concerns [10]. Compared with parents of healthy controls, they were significantly more likely to engage in accommodating behaviors, including providing repeated reassurance, supplying cosmetics and grooming products, and altering daily family routines [10]. Although these behaviors are typically motivated by concern for the child's well-being, they may inadvertently contribute to the maintenance of BDD symptoms by limiting opportunities to develop independent coping strategies, facilitating the camouflage of perceived appearance defects, and reinforcing avoidance of anxiety-provoking situations [10].

1.7 Childhood trauma

Important risk factors of BDD development are early-life environmental stressors, like peer victimization and childhood trauma, e.g., abuse and neglect [6]. Childhood trauma has been associated with lower levels of self-compassion, which in turn contribute to greater severity of BDD symptoms [8]. Experiences of teasing or peer victimization were documented in the clinical records of approximately three-quarters of adolescents with BDD [11]. Nearly half of adolescents with BDD had experienced at least one form of childhood maltreatment, and approximately one-fifth had been exposed to multiple forms of maltreatment [11]. The most common form of childhood maltreatment among individuals with BDD was sexual abuse, which was documented in 28% of cases [11]. There is a possibility that sexual abuse may contribute to heightened bodily awareness and the development of appearance-related shame, thereby increasing vulnerability to the development of BDD [11]. Among adolescents with BDD and a history of peer victimization, BDD symptoms emerged at a younger age compared with those without such experiences [11]. However, it remains unclear whether childhood peer victimization may accelerate the onset of BDD, or

whether individuals with an earlier onset of BDD are more vulnerable to subsequent experiences of peer victimization. Furthermore, the clinical profile of adolescents with BDD who had experienced childhood peer victimization was comparable to that of adolescents without such experiences. No significant differences were observed between these groups with regard to age at assessment, ethnicity, sex distribution, pretreatment BDD symptom severity, functional impairment, depressive symptoms, or symptom severity following treatment [11]. Interestingly, one study found no significant differences between adolescents with BDD and healthy controls in the frequency of appearance-related victimization or general bullying [9]. However, adolescents with BDD reported significantly greater psychological distress in response to appearance-related victimization [9].

1.8 Socioeconomic status

Coming from families with a lower socioeconomic status emerged as a risk factor for BDD. This association may reflect adolescents' attempts to conform to appearance standards set by individuals of higher social status, driven by the need for social acceptance [12].

1.9 Belonging to a sexual minority

Higher levels of internalized negative attitudes toward one's own sexual orientation, as shaped by broader societal stigma, are associated with an increased risk of probable BDD [13]. Additionally, exposure to more hostile or less supportive school environments among sexual minority adolescents may further contribute to this risk [13]. Therefore, policies aimed at preventing peer victimization and implementing protections against discrimination for sexual minority students should be prioritized [13].

2. Social media

Social media constitute an important factor influencing the onset, severity, and maintenance of BDD symptoms. Young people commonly use social media platforms, which have become an integral part of their daily lives. Research has shown that greater frequency of social media use is associated with higher levels of BDD symptoms [14]. Among participants with BDD symptoms, 64.3% reported spending more than four hours per day on social media, while an additional 31.0% reported using social media for two to four hours daily [4]. Longer duration of social media use was associated with greater severity of BDD symptoms [4]. Young women use social media more frequently than young men and also report higher levels of BDD symptoms [15]. Problematic social media use refers to uncontrolled and excessive use of social media. It is considered a form of behavioral addiction characterized by impaired functioning across multiple areas of life, psychological distress, and a decline in everyday functioning [16]. For young people social media play a significant role in shaping unrealistic appearance ideals and body dissatisfaction. Users frequently compare themselves with celebrities and other social media users and tend to regard physical appearance as a primary basis for self-evaluation [16]. Individuals with problematic social media use are more likely to experience depressive symptoms and to become excessively preoccupied with social media at the expense of other aspects of life [16]. They also tend to have fewer face-to-face social interactions, lower levels of physical activity, and poorer sleep quality, all of which may further aggravate depressive symptoms [16]. Consequently, a self-perpetuating cycle may develop in which excessive social media use contributes to increasing body image distortion and worsening depressive symptoms, as there is a strong association between greater severity of depressive symptoms and increased severity of BDD symptoms [16].

Adolescents with BDD not only spend more time on social media than healthy individuals but also engage significantly more frequently in online appearance comparisons, which may reinforce excessive appearance preoccupation, increase appearance-related distress, and contribute to the maintenance of BDD symptoms [9]. In contrast, in the study by Lavell et al. adolescents with BDD did not post photographs on social media more frequently than their peers, nor were they more likely to edit, repeatedly retake, or delete photographs with which they were dissatisfied [9]. On the other hand, another study showed that greater severity of dysmorphic symptoms was observed among adolescents who took selfies more frequently and regularly shared them on social media. Furthermore, the use of selfie-editing filters was associated with higher levels of dysmorphic symptoms [12]. The differences may result from the use of different methodologies. The study by Lavell et al. (2025) was a cross-sectional case-control study, whereas Khajuria et al. (2025) conducted a descriptive cross-sectional study based on validated questionnaires. Another difference is the studied population. The study by Lavell et al. (2025) was based on a diagnosed clinical sample. The study by Khajuria et al. (2025) was based on a community sample with self-reported symptoms. Meanwhile, Ganson et al. (2024) examined the use of photo filters in relation to muscle dysmorphia. The use of photo-editing filters was

associated with greater dissatisfaction with one's muscularity. Boys and young men who used photo filters exhibited a stronger drive for increased muscularity and body size, as well as greater functional impairment [17]. The topic of posting personal images and using beautifying filters among individuals with BDD requires further investigation.

The association between social media use and BDD symptoms appears to be specific to image-based social media platforms, such as Instagram, rather than text-based platforms, such as X [14]. Furthermore, young people who use social media primarily for appearance-related purposes report more BDD symptoms compared with those who engage with social media for other reasons [14]. Appearance-motivated social media use is also associated with comparing one's own appearance with that of other users [14]. The association between the use of image-based platforms and BDD symptoms appears to be stronger among individuals characterized by higher levels of self-oriented perfectionism [14]. Moreover, discrepancy perfectionism, defined as excessive focus on the gap between one's personal standards and actual performance, has been shown to mediate the relationship between social media pressure and BDD symptoms [14]. Consequently, self-oriented perfectionism may increase the risk of comparing oneself with unrealistic beauty standards and engaging in self-critical evaluations of appearance, thereby exacerbating BDD symptoms [14]. In contrast, socially prescribed perfectionism does not appear to significantly influence BDD symptoms, suggesting that individuals with BDD may be more concerned with meeting their own aesthetic standards than with fulfilling the aesthetic expectations of others [14].

Overall, research findings suggest that the way individuals engage with social media, whether through passive consumption of content or active content creation, may be less relevant to BDD symptoms than the motivations underlying social media use, the type of content viewed, and the degree to which appearance-related concerns are involved [14].

Social media may also pose risks to physical health of adolescents with BDD as constant social comparisons and exposure to idealised, digitally enhanced images may increase the likelihood of seeking cosmetic surgery, particularly among women and unmarried individuals according to a research [15]. Around half of young patients with BDD expressed a desire to undergo cosmetic or surgical procedures, and nearly 10% had already undergone such interventions prior to assessment [2]. Since the underlying problem in BDD is not an actual objective defect in appearance, but rather the individual's perception of a particular appearance-related feature as defective, individuals with moderate to severe psychological symptoms are likely to be dissatisfied with the outcome of the procedure, regardless of surgical success [18]. Undergoing plastic surgery may not alleviate the patient's distress and may instead expose them to unnecessary risks associated with surgical complications.

Greater engagement with social media among adolescents is significantly and negatively associated with self-esteem related to functioning, social relationships, and appearance [19]. This association may be attributed to adolescents' heightened vulnerability to appearance-based social comparisons within online environments [19]. Lower self-esteem is linked to poorer adaptive functioning, particularly in the domains of family functioning, health, and emotional well-being [19]. Excessive or passive use of social media may negatively affect self-perception and interfere with adaptive functioning [19]. Sociocultural pressures are amplified through digital interactions, contributing to increased appearance-related concerns and diminished self-worth [19]. Given these potential risks, there is a need for interventions including media literacy education, structured awareness programs, and the development of skills that promote healthy social media use and foster a positive body image [19].

3. Clinical presentation and functional impairment

BDD symptom severity impairs patients' daily functioning. Unfortunately severe symptoms are common among individuals with BDD. Severe BDD symptomatology was observed in as much as 34.7% of patients [2]. A moderate negative association was observed between the severity of BDD symptoms and self-esteem; however, it remains unclear whether low self-esteem represents a risk factor for BDD or rather a consequence of the disorder [3]. The most frequent preoccupations among young men concerned the skin, mainly because of facial acne or scarring, hair, especially hair loss, and nose, due to its size and shape [5]. Young women reported the biggest preoccupation with skin, including facial acne, scarring, wrinkles, and color, nose, because of its size and shape, and abdomen, expressing concern about abdominal enlargement [5].

Research showed that approximately half of the patients demonstrated poor or absent insight into their appearance-related beliefs or held delusional beliefs [2]. In another study the percentage was even higher. Limited insight or delusional beliefs were identified in 73% of adolescents with BDD [7]. These findings

support the notion that adolescents with BDD frequently hold fixed beliefs regarding their perceived unattractiveness or ugliness, dismissing information that contradicts these beliefs and rarely attempting to challenge their own interpretations. This represents a significant therapeutic challenge, as many young patients may be reluctant to engage in psychological treatment due to a strong conviction that the problem is caused by an actual physical defect [7].

Adolescents with BDD also demonstrate difficulties in several domains of executive functioning, defined as a set of cognitive processes responsible for purposeful, goal-directed behavior [7]. In particular, shifting between tasks and tolerating changes may represent significant challenges [7]. Young individuals with BDD may also experience heightened emotional reactivity and emotional instability [7]. Difficulties in executive functioning may contribute to the persistence of appearance-related thoughts and behaviors, difficulties disengaging from them, and problems regulating behaviors that reinforce psychological distress [7]. Impairments in emotion regulation may further contribute to the use of maladaptive coping strategies [7]. Adolescents with BDD present multiple anxiety-reducing behaviors as a response to their daily struggles with the disease. Typically anxiety-reducing behaviors include excessive mirror checking, comparing one's appearance with that of others, camouflaging perceived defects, and reassurance seeking [2].

Finally, adolescents with BDD might face education struggles. Intrusive appearance-related thoughts may impair concentration, resulting in poorer academic performance [4]. School avoidance may additionally result from impaired functioning in relationships with peers and teachers [4]. BDD has been associated not only with reduced educational achievement but also with school disengagement and premature school dropout [4]. In one of the studies approximately one-third of the patients had discontinued school attendance, underscoring the functional burden of the disorder [2].

4. Clinical consequences and suicidality

BDD can have very serious consequences for adolescents, including social withdrawal, being housebound, psychiatric hospitalization, and impairment in school and social functioning, self-harm and suicide [6]. Suicidal thoughts and behaviors are substantially more prevalent among individuals with BDD, and this association is already evident in late adolescence [20]. BDD represents an independent risk factor for suicidality. Suicidal thoughts and behaviors appear to be associated with specific aspects of BDD symptomatology that extend beyond low mood, depressive cognitions, and anxious arousal. In particular, the amount of time spent ruminating about perceived appearance flaws and difficulties controlling compulsive behaviors have been shown to be strongly associated with suicidal ideation [20]. Interestingly, both BDD and suicidal behaviors demonstrate moderate heritability, and substantial genetic overlap between BDD and suicidality has been observed [20].

Psychosocial burden represents another important contributing factor. Individual environmental experiences, such as academic difficulties or relationship problems, may mediate the causal pathway linking BDD to suicidal behaviors [20]. In addition, BDD and suicidality may partially share common environmental risk factors, including experiences of peer victimization or rejection [20]. One study showed that self-harm and suicide are a common problem among adolescents with BDD. Suicidal ideation was reported by approximately 60% of the patients, while 11% had made at least one previous suicide attempt [2]. Approximately half of the sample reported a history of self-harming behaviors, most commonly cutting [2]. Girls reported suicidal ideation and self-harm more frequently than boys [2]. Another study reports a comparable magnitude. 46% of individuals with BDD reported a lifetime history of self-harm or suicide attempts, compared with only 8% of those without BDD [1]. Notably, parents tended to underestimate suicidal behaviors in their children, as the prevalence of self-harm and suicide attempts was consistently lower according to parent reports than according to patient self-reports [1]. Self-reported appearance preoccupation was also associated with substantial functional impairment and a markedly increased likelihood of self-harm and suicide attempts [1]. Approximately 60% of young people with BDD had sought professional help for emotional or behavioral problems within the previous year, while 16% were currently receiving psychotropic medication and 8% were being treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors [1]. These findings indicate that, despite the considerable clinical burden associated with BDD, a substantial proportion of affected young people do not receive specialized care or evidence-based treatment for their disorder [1]. Systematic assessment, careful monitoring, and appropriate management of suicide risk are therefore of critical importance in individuals with BDD across all age groups [20]. A concerning finding is that parents may often be unaware of suicidal thoughts and behaviors in their children, highlighting the importance of direct assessment of suicidality in young people with BDD [20].

5. Assessment

Numerous factors hinder the recognition and subsequent treatment of BDD. These include the tendency of individuals with BDD to seek cosmetic procedures rather than psychiatric or psychological care, limited awareness of BDD among some healthcare professionals, the misattribution of BDD symptoms to normal adolescent development, and the reluctance of individuals with BDD to disclose their symptoms due to feelings of shame, fear of drawing attention to their perceived appearance defects, and concern about being perceived as vain [6]. BDD has a substantial impact on quality of life. Therefore, increasing public awareness, promoting preventive strategies, and enhancing psychological education are of critical importance [3].

The diagnostic process should involve both the adolescent and at least one parent or guardian [6]. The clinician should obtain a description of the presenting problem from the perspectives of both the young person and their family, acknowledging that these perspectives may differ [6]. The assessment should also include developmental, medical, and family history [6]. Clinicians should avoid challenging the patient's beliefs about perceived appearance flaws or providing reassurance about these concerns, as this may lead the patient to feel dismissed or invalidated and may reinforce the cycle of reassurance-seeking [6]. It is essential to assess the patient's mental state, with particular attention to the presence of suicidal thoughts and self-harm behaviors [6]. Another topic to discuss are unwarranted or unsafe cosmetic procedures [6]. Since young patients are often reluctant to disclose their symptoms, clinicians should pay close attention to behavioral indicators, such as attempts to conceal perceived flaws or persistent checking of their appearance [6]. The patient should also undergo a physical examination to assess the appearance concerns causing distress and determine whether the associated distress is proportionate to the severity of the actual physical issue [6].

Rating scales, such as the adolescent version of the BDD Yale–Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (BDD-YBOCS-A), can be useful both in the diagnostic process and in monitoring treatment outcomes. The BDD-YBOCS-A demonstrates high internal consistency, a two-factor structure, good convergent and discriminant validity, and high sensitivity to treatment-related change [21]. Less time-consuming alternatives include self-report questionnaires, such as the Body Image Questionnaire – Child and Adolescent version (BIQ-C), the Multidimensional Youth Body Dysmorphic Inventory (MY BODI), and the Appearance Anxiety Inventory (AAI) [6]. The AAI demonstrates a three-factor structure, high internal consistency, acceptable convergent validity, and good sensitivity to treatment-related changes. The AAI is a useful tool for assessing treatment outcomes and psychological processes underlying BDD symptoms in young people [22].

BDD should be differentiated from normative appearance concerns, which are common among young people. Individuals with BDD experience a much greater preoccupation with their appearance, often devoting several hours per day to appearance-related concerns [6]. They engage in time-consuming, repetitive behaviors, such as frequent mirror checking, and avoid situations including social interactions [6]. As a result of these symptoms, individuals experience significant psychological distress and/or impairment in psychosocial functioning [6]. At the same time, the severity of appearance-related preoccupation and associated distress is disproportionate to the actual physical concern [6].

6. Treatment and Therapeutic Interventions for Adolescent BDD

Treating Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) in adolescents presents significant clinical challenges, primarily due to the distinct cognitive and developmental profile of youth with this condition. Adolescents with BDD frequently demonstrate poor or absent insight, holding firmly to the delusional belief that their perceived defects are genuine physical deformities rather than symptoms of a psychiatric illness. Additionally, high rates of neurodevelopmental comorbidities, such as elevated traits of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), alongside impairments in executive functioning, further complicate the therapeutic process [7]. Because of this profound lack of insight, young patients rarely volunteer for psychiatric help; instead, they aggressively seek dermatological treatments or cosmetic surgeries, which are not only ineffective but can exacerbate the underlying psychological distress. Consequently, a robust, multidisciplinary approach grounded in evidence-based psychological, pharmacological, and systemic interventions is essential for recovery.

6.1. Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and Exposure and Response Prevention (ERP)

The current gold standard and first-line psychological intervention for adolescent BDD is specialized Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT-BDD) incorporating Exposure and Response Prevention (ERP). The primary objective of CBT is cognitive restructuring—assisting the adolescent in identifying, challenging, and reframing distorted thoughts related to aesthetic perfectionism and self-worth [6].

Within the contemporary social landscape, effective CBT protocols must explicitly address the adolescent's digital environment. Therapists work to dismantle cognitive distortions fueled by social media algorithms and the continuous consumption of idealized imagery. ERP, the behavioral cornerstone of this therapy, involves systematically exposing the patient to anxiety-provoking triggers (e.g., attending social events without heavy makeup, wearing fitted clothing, or exposing perceived flaws to others) while actively preventing their habitual compulsive responses (e.g., mirror checking, excessive grooming, skin picking, or reassurance seeking). Crucially, modern ERP strategies for youth now necessitate "digital exposure." This involves structured interventions such as deliberately reducing screen time, ceasing the use of augmented reality (AR) photo filters—which have been linked to dysmorphic symptomatology—and resisting the urge to compulsively check social media metrics or compare oneself to digital peers [6, 17]. By disrupting these compulsive cycles both offline and online, the adolescent gradually habituates to the distress and reclaims functional independence.

6.2. Pharmacological Interventions

While psychotherapy is the preferred initial treatment, pharmacological intervention becomes necessary when CBT alone yields insufficient results or when the clinical presentation is classified as moderate to severe. This is particularly crucial when BDD is accompanied by severe major depressive disorder, paralyzing anxiety, or suicidality [6].

Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) represent the first-line pharmacological treatment for pediatric and adolescent BDD. Clinical guidelines highlight a unique pharmacological profile for BDD: young patients typically require significantly higher dosages of SSRIs and longer adequate trial periods (often 10 to 12 weeks) to achieve a therapeutic response compared to the treatment of generalized anxiety or major depression [6]. The primary goal of SSRI therapy is not merely mood stabilization, but rather the reduction of the intensity, frequency, and intrusive nature of the obsessive thoughts about appearance. Furthermore, pharmacotherapy effectively diminishes the overwhelming urge to perform compulsive behaviors, thereby lowering the patient's baseline anxiety and increasing their cognitive flexibility to actively engage in and benefit from ERP exercises.

6.3. Family Involvement, Psychoeducation, and Systemic Interventions

Given the developmental dependency of adolescents, treating the individual in isolation is often insufficient; robust family involvement is a critical determinant of treatment success. A pervasive obstacle in adolescent BDD is "family accommodation," a phenomenon where well-meaning parents or caregivers inadvertently reinforce and enable the adolescent's BDD symptoms [10]. Accommodation behaviors frequently include providing repetitive reassurance about the child's appearance, purchasing expensive cosmetics, funding unnecessary dermatological procedures, assisting in prolonged grooming rituals, or allowing the child to evade school or social obligations during a perceived "bad skin day."

Literature indicates that high levels of family accommodation and parental distress are deeply intertwined with the severity of the adolescent's symptoms [10]. Therefore, systemic interventions and psychoeducation are imperative. Clinicians must educate the family unit to conceptualize BDD as a severe, distressing psychiatric illness rather than typical teenage vanity or a phase of puberty. Parents are trained in behavioral strategies to progressively reduce and eventually eliminate accommodating behaviors. In the digital age, this systemic approach also requires parents to establish and enforce healthy boundaries regarding the adolescent's digital environment. By collaboratively managing smartphone usage, mediating social media access, and cultivating a home environment where a child's intrinsic worth is decoupled from physical appearance, the family builds a supportive scaffolding that sustains the gains achieved in formal therapy.

7. Discussion

The contemporary clinical landscape of adolescent Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) necessitates a paradigm shift in how healthcare professionals conceptualize, identify, and treat this severe psychiatric condition. The synthesis of current literature elucidates a complex interplay between intrinsic vulnerabilities and an increasingly hostile digital environment.

7.1. The Intersection of Risk Factors and Diagnostic Challenges

As explored in the preceding sections, the pathogenesis of adolescent BDD is profoundly influenced by modern environmental triggers. The ubiquitous nature of social media, driven by algorithmic curation of idealized imagery and augmented reality (AR) filters, operates as a chronic catalyst for dysmorphic symptomatology. When these digital pressures converge with negative peer dynamics—specifically peer victimization and cyberbullying—the adolescent is subjected to a relentless cycle of aesthetic scrutiny. This environmental exacerbation significantly compounds the established clinical challenges. Adolescents with BDD inherently present with poor insight, frequently interpreting their psychiatric distress as a genuine physical deformity. Consequently, there is a pervasive misdirection of care; youth disproportionately seek cosmetic or dermatological interventions rather than psychiatric evaluation. This lack of insight, coupled with high rates of neurodevelopmental comorbidities (e.g., ASD, ADHD) and maladaptive family accommodation, creates formidable barriers to early diagnosis and intervention.

7.2. Psychiatric Morbidity and the Imperative for Rigorous Assessment

The clinical inertia surrounding pediatric BDD is particularly alarming given the severe dangers associated with the disorder. Literature consistently demonstrates that adolescent BDD is not merely a manifestation of normative pubertal insecurity, but a critical psychiatric emergency characterized by profound psychosocial impairment. The elevated prevalence of self-injurious behaviors, chronic suicidal ideation, and completed suicide among this demographic underscores the life-threatening trajectory of untreated BDD. This high psychiatric morbidity dictates an urgent need for proactive assessment. Relying on self-disclosure is systematically ineffective due to patient shame and stigma. Therefore, the integration of validated psychometric tools, such as the BDD-YBOCS-A and the Appearance Anxiety Inventory (AAI), into routine pediatric and dermatological screenings is imperative. Early identification through these standardized protocols is the only viable mechanism to intercept the disorder before it escalates to acute suicidality.

7.3. Evolving Therapeutic Paradigms

The reviewed literature indicates that effective treatment and therapeutic interventions must be as multifaceted as the disorder's etiology. First-line therapies—specifically BDD-focused Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) with Exposure and Response Prevention (ERP), augmented by SSRI pharmacotherapy for moderate-to-severe presentations—remain the clinical gold standard. However, this review highlights that traditional therapeutic frameworks must evolve to address the modern social landscape. "Digital exposure"—the systematic reduction of screen time, cessation of AR filter usage, and interruption of online compulsive checking—must be formally integrated into ERP protocols. Furthermore, systemic interventions targeting psychoeducation are essential to dismantle family accommodation, transforming parents from passive enablers into active co-therapists in the adolescent's recovery process.

7.4. Limitations and Future Directions

While current literature provides a robust foundation for understanding adolescent BDD, longitudinal data regarding the long-term neurological and psychological impacts of continuous AR filter usage remains limited. Future research should prioritize investigating the efficacy of digital-specific ERP modifications and evaluate the implementation of digital screening tools utilizing artificial intelligence (AI) to detect BDD symptomatology within primary care and aesthetic clinics.

8. Conclusion

Adolescent Body Dysmorphic Disorder represents a severe, chronic, and highly morbid psychiatric illness that has been significantly amplified by the modern digital and social landscape. The pervasive influence of social media, compounded by cyberbullying and complex peer dynamics, has created an unprecedented environmental catalyst for body image distortion in vulnerable youth. Despite the acute dangers associated with the disorder—most notably a highly elevated risk of self-harm and suicidality—BDD remains systematically underdiagnosed due to patient shame, poor clinical insight, and the frequent misdirection of treatment toward cosmetic rather than psychiatric care.

To mitigate these life-threatening outcomes, a fundamental shift in clinical practice is required. Healthcare providers, including pediatricians, dermatologists, and mental health professionals, must transition from a passive diagnostic approach to the active implementation of standardized, evidence-based screening protocols. Furthermore, therapeutic interventions must adopt a holistic, modernized framework that combines robust pharmacotherapy with specialized CBT-ERP, explicitly addressing digital habits and dismantling family accommodation. Ultimately, combating adolescent BDD in the contemporary era demands a multidisciplinary approach that is acutely aware of the intersection between technological innovation, social dynamics, and psychiatric vulnerability.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Krebs, G., Clark, B. R., Ford, T. J., & Stringaris, A. (2025). Epidemiology of body dysmorphic disorder and appearance preoccupation in youth: Prevalence, comorbidity and psychosocial impairment. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 64*(1), 30–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2024.01.017
2. Rautio, D., Jassi, A., Krebs, G., Andrén, P., Monzani, B., Gumpert, M., Lewis, A., Peile, L., Sevilla-Cermeño, L., Jansson-Fröjmark, M., Lundgren, T., Hillborg, M., Silverberg-Morse, M., Clark, B., Fernández de la Cruz, L., & Mataix-Cols, D. (2022). Clinical characteristics of 172 children and adolescents with body dysmorphic disorder. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 31*(1), 133–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-020-01677-3
3. Saab, A., Jamaleddine, Y., Ismail, O., Abou Abbas, L., Daoud, R., & Nasser, Z. (2023). Prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder (BDD) among the Lebanese University students: Associated risk factors and repercussion on mental health. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene, 64*(4), E481–E487. https://doi.org/10.15167/2421-4248/jpmh2023.64.4.3050
4. Abudasser, A. M., Al-Garni, A. M., Alamri, H. S., Asiri, W. A., Zarbah, A. A., Alshahrani, A. S., Badawi, F. A., Alqahtani, G. A., Asiri, O. A. S., Almoghamer, H. D., Alghaeb, A. N. S., Alamri, B. M. A., & Asiri, K. M. H. (2026). Prevalence and correlates of body dysmorphic disorder and its association with social media use among high school students in Abha city, Aseer region, Saudi Arabia. *Medicine, 105*(9), e47815. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000047815
5. Rajabi, S., Kamran, L., & Joukar KamalAbadi, M. (2022). Epidemiology of body dysmorphic disorder among adolescents: A study of their cognitive functions. *Brain and Behavior, 12*(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.1710
6. Krebs, G., Rautio, D., Fernández de la Cruz, L., Hartmann, A. S., Jassi, A., Martin, A., Stringaris, A., & Mataix-Cols, D. (2024). Practitioner review: Assessment and treatment of body dysmorphic disorder in young people. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 65*(8), 1119–1131. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13984
7. Lavell, C. H., Oar, E. L., & Rapee, R. M. (2025). Adolescent body dysmorphic disorder: Insight, executive function, and rates of ADHD and autistic traits. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 191*, 393–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2025.09.074
8. Shooroki, M. K., Choobforoushzadeh, A., & Ardakan, A. M. (2025). The mediating role of self-compassion in the relationship between childhood trauma and the symptoms of body dysmorphic disorder. *BMC Psychology, 13*(1), 841. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-03204-y
9. Lavell, C. H., Oar, E. L., & Rapee, R. M. (2025). Peer relationships and social media use in adolescents with body dysmorphic disorder. *Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology, 53*(1), 43–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-024-01245-2
10. Lavell, C. H., Oar, E. L., & Rapee, R. M. (2026). Body dysmorphic disorder in adolescents: Family history, parental distress, rearing, and accommodation. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology, 55*(3), 487–501. https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2025.2476189
11. Monzani, B., Luxton, R., Jassi, A., & Krebs, G. (2024). Adverse childhood experiences among adolescents with body dysmorphic disorder: Frequency and clinical correlates. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 31*(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.3028

12. Khajuria, A., Gandotra, A., Khajuria, A., Arora, K., Gupta, R. K., Gupta, U., & Babber, S. (2025). Role of social media in the rising body dissatisfaction and dysmorphia among adolescents. *Cureus*. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.78314
13. McGuire, F. H., Goldbach, J. T., Senese, J. G., Cabrera, J. R., Schrager, S. M., & Duncan, A. E. (2023). Longitudinal association of homonegative school climate with body dysmorphic disorder among cisgender sexual minority adolescents: Testing mediation through proximal minority stressors. *Body Image, 45*, 86–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2023.02.007
14. Gupta, M., Jassi, A., & Krebs, G. (2023). The association between social media use and body dysmorphic symptoms in young people. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1231801
15. Zafar, Z., Khan, M. K., Hayat, U., Idrees, S., Khan, Z. K., & Chaudhary, S. (2025). Impact of social media and body dysmorphic disorder. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE), 14*(2), 6–10. https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00585
16. el Hayek, S., Obeid, S., Kazan, R., Hallit, S., Fekih-Romdhane, F., & Haddad, G. (2025). Mediating effect of depression between problematic social media use and body dysmorphic concerns in adolescents. *Discover Mental Health, 5*(1), 47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44192-025-00176-8
17. Ganson, K. T., Testa, A., Rodgers, R. F., & Nagata, J. M. (2024). Use of photo filters is associated with muscle dysmorphia symptomatology among adolescents and young adults. *Body Image, 50*, 101750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2024.101750
18. Hogea, L., Bernad, B., Marinca, A., Corsaro, L., Costea, I., Ivanovic, N., & Anghel, T. (2026). Psychological disorder and patient satisfaction in aesthetic surgery—A systematic review. *Medicina, 62*(2), 389. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina62020389
19. Pandey, R. P., Kumar, P., Singh, V., Sharma, T., Kumar, D., Singh, S. K., Kumar, A., Awasthi, P., Nitu, F., & Bumra, K. (2025). Social media engagement and self-esteem as predictors of adjustment domains among adolescents with body dysmorphic symptoms. *Cureus*. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.95261
20. Krebs, G., Fernández de la Cruz, L., Rijsdijk, F. V., Rautio, D., Enander, J., Rück, C., Lichtenstein, P., Lundström, S., Larsson, H., Eley, T. C., & Mataix-Cols, D. (2022). The association between body dysmorphic symptoms and suicidality among adolescents and young adults: A genetically informative study. *Psychological Medicine, 52*(7), 1268–1276. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291720002998
21. Monzani, B., Fallah, D., Rautio, D., Gumpert, M., Jassi, A., Fernández de la Cruz, L., Mataix-Cols, D., & Krebs, G. (2023). Psychometric evaluation of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale modified for body dysmorphic disorder for adolescents (BDD-YBOCS-A). *Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 54*(6), 1799–1806. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-022-01376-x
22. Gumpert, M., Rautio, D., Monzani, B., Jassi, A., Krebs, G., Fernández de la Cruz, L., Mataix-Cols, D., & Jansson-Fröjmark, M. (2024). Psychometric evaluation of the Appearance Anxiety Inventory in adolescents with body dysmorphic disorder. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy, 53*(3), 254–266. https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2023.2299837