

International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science

e-ISSN: 2544-9435

Operating Publisher
SciFormat Publishing Inc.
ISNI: 0000 0005 1449 8214

2734 17 Avenue SW,
Calgary, Alberta, T3E0A7,
Canada
+15878858911
editorial-office@sciformat.ca

ARTICLE TITLE	DIAGNOSTIC AND PERIOPERATIVE CHALLENGES IN ANTERIOR MEDIASTINAL TUMORS: A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF AIRWAY COMPRESSION, ANESTHETIC RISK, AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY MANAGEMENT
DOI	https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(52).2026.6768
RECEIVED	29 August 2026
ACCEPTED	13 November 2026
PUBLISHED	18 November 2026
LICENSE	The article is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.**

© The author(s) 2026.
This article is published as open access under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

DIAGNOSTIC AND PERIOPERATIVE CHALLENGES IN ANTERIOR MEDIASTINAL TUMORS: A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF AIRWAY COMPRESSION, ANESTHETIC RISK, AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY MANAGEMENT

Patryk Remiasz *(Corresponding Author, Email: paddyrem@gmail.com)*
Medical University of Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-5113-7517

Laura Starobrat
Stefan Cardinal Wyszyński Provincial Specialist Hospital SPZOZ in Lublin, Al. Kraśnicka 100, 20-718 Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0001-0649-5871

Michał Szczepanowski
Medical University of Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-0703-4592

Katarzyna Stępniak
Stefan Cardinal Wyszyński Provincial Specialist Hospital SPZOZ in Lublin, Al. Kraśnicka 100, 20-718 Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0003-7544-8037

Jagoda Staniak
Medical University of Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-6231-6542

Dawid Staniak
SP ZOZ MSWiA in Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-3000-7446

Julia Szczepanowska-Sujka
Stefan Cardinal Wyszyński Provincial Specialist Hospital SPZOZ in Lublin, Al. Kraśnicka 100, 20-718 Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0008-3749-6819

Małgorzata Zgodzińska-Staniak
SP ZOZ MSWiA in Lublin, Poland
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-3122-3614

ABSTRACT

Background: Anterior mediastinal tumors comprise distinct pathologies with a shared potential to compromise central airways, cardiac chambers and major vessels. A diagnostic intervention may therefore carry risks that are disproportionate to its apparent invasiveness.
Objective: To synthesize evidence relevant to anatomical diagnosis, assessment of perioperative risk, airway and circulatory rescue, and histology-directed treatment.
Methods: This narrative review integrates selected peer-reviewed articles retrieved through publicly accessible PubMed records and full-text articles, supplemented by targeted searches of publisher pages. Evidence was organized by clinical question rather than pooled quantitatively.
Results: Cross-sectional imaging establishes the mediastinal compartment and the relationship of lesions to vulnerable structures. Adult observational studies document respiratory and cardiovascular complications but do not establish a universal prediction rule. Contemporary physiological observations challenge categorical assumptions about neuromuscular blockade and positive-pressure ventilation, while leaving a need for individualized precautions. Extracorporeal support may be considered in selected high-risk patients when institutional expertise and access are available. Definitive treatment depends on histological diagnosis; primary mediastinal seminoma is generally treated with platinum-based systemic therapy rather than routine primary resection.
Conclusions: Risk assessment should integrate symptoms, positional tolerance, imaging and procedural requirements. Multidisciplinary planning, an explicit rescue strategy and diagnostic restraint are central to safe care. The evidence base remains heterogeneous and is dominated by retrospective and descriptive studies.

KEYWORDS

Mediastinal Neoplasms, Airway Obstruction, Anesthesia, Computed Tomography, Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation, Seminoma

CITATION

Remiasz, P., Starobrat, L., Szczepanowski, M., Stępniak, K., Staniak, J., Staniak, D., Szczepanowska-Sujka, J., & Zgodzińska-Staniak, M. (2026). Diagnostic and perioperative challenges in anterior mediastinal tumors: A narrative review of airway compression, anesthetic risk, and multidisciplinary management. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*, 4(52). https://doi.org/10.31435/ijitss.4(52).2026.6768

COPYRIGHT

© The author(s) 2026. This article is published as open access under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, allowing the author to retain copyright. The CC BY 4.0 License permits the content to be copied, adapted, displayed, distributed, republished, or reused for any purpose, including adaptation and commercial use, as long as proper attribution is provided.

Introduction

The mediastinum encompasses several diagnostically distinct compartments. Its prevascular, visceral and paravertebral compartments contain different tissues and support distinct differential diagnoses. The International Thymic Malignancy Interest Group (ITMIG) imaging-based framework offers a reproducible vocabulary for lesion localization and communication across specialties (Ahuja et al., 2023). The term anterior mediastinal mass therefore describes a location, not a diagnosis. Thymic epithelial lesions, lymphoid neoplasms, germ cell tumors and benign cystic or thyroid-related abnormalities may have similar initial appearances but require different tissue sampling and treatment pathways.

Clinical risk reflects both malignant potential and the mass's relationship to adjacent structures. A mass that narrows a main bronchus, compresses the heart or impairs venous return may become critical during positioning, sedation or anesthesia. Conversely, size alone is an incomplete substitute for the anatomical and physiological assessment. In a retrospective adult cohort, complications were associated with clinical cardiopulmonary symptoms and particular imaging and functional abnormalities; severe postoperative respiratory events were more common than complete intraoperative airway obstruction (Béchard et al., 2004). These findings challenge simple assumptions that all large tumors behave identically. The adult observational series of Béchard et al. (2004) examined perioperative complications and potential clinical, radiological, and functional predictors; the findings should not be generalized to every tumor subtype (Béchard et al., 2004).

Traditional anesthesia recommendations emphasized preservation of spontaneous breathing and avoidance of neuromuscular blockade. More recent direct physiological investigations, reviewed by Sarkiss and Jimenez (2023), complicate this account: responses to anesthesia and positive-pressure ventilation vary with individual airway mechanics. Such findings should refine rather than abolish preparation for a low-

frequency, high-consequence emergency. The systematic review by Saffarzadeh et al. (2025) synthesized 72 publications and proposed a graded preparation framework based on symptoms and anatomical compression; its source literature consisted largely of case reports and small retrospective series.

This narrative review examines how contemporary diagnostic imaging, perioperative risk assessment and multidisciplinary rescue planning can be integrated with pathology-directed care of anterior mediastinal tumors in adults. Particular attention is paid to the difference between observations supported by clinical series and strategies justified mainly by physiological reasoning or case-based experience.

The clinical challenge is clearer when diagnostic uncertainty and procedural hazard are treated as separate dimensions. A lesion may be highly suspicious for malignancy but produce little physiological compromise, whereas a potentially treatment-responsive tumor may exert critical pressure on the airway or central circulation. These dimensions are often conflated when teams rely on tumor size alone. A more useful assessment asks which structures are displaced or narrowed, whether those relationships change with position, and what will happen if the patient cannot maintain the respiratory mechanics that currently compensate for compression. The resulting management plan must therefore connect radiological interpretation with the proposed diagnostic procedure and the resources available if it becomes unsafe. This framework is particularly relevant to the prevascular compartment, where the anatomical proximity of the heart, pulmonary arteries, great veins and central airways creates a potential mismatch between an apparently minor intervention and its consequences (Ahuja et al., 2023; Béchard et al., 2004).

A narrative synthesis is warranted because the clinically important questions span several evidence domains that are difficult to reduce to one intervention-outcome comparison. Imaging studies describe lesion characterization and anatomical relationships; retrospective perioperative cohorts address associations with complications; anesthetic reports examine management under exceptional circumstances; and oncological series concern disease-specific response to systemic treatment. These studies have different populations, definitions and endpoints. The purpose of synthesis is not to combine them into a pooled risk estimate, but to show how their findings inform a sequence of decisions and where the evidentiary boundaries lie. In particular, the existence of a successful rescue strategy in a case report cannot establish its comparative effectiveness, and a reassuring imaging feature cannot be treated as proof of anesthetic safety (Béchard et al., 2004; Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023). Contemporary narrative synthesis likewise emphasizes individualized multidisciplinary planning rather than a single universal anesthetic technique (Tani et al., 2024).

Methodology

This article is a narrative review, not a systematic review or meta-analysis. A targeted, non-exhaustive literature search was conducted using publicly accessible PubMed search results, PubMed Central full texts and publisher-hosted full texts. The literature included in this narrative review comprised scientific publications published between 2004 and 2026, with particular emphasis on recent evidence and landmark studies relevant to the diagnostic and perioperative management of anterior mediastinal tumors. Searches used combinations of the following English terms: “anterior mediastinal mass” AND anesthesia; “mediastinal mass” AND perioperative complications; “mediastinal mass” AND imaging; “mediastinal tumor” AND extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; “primary mediastinal seminoma” AND treatment. The MeSH concepts Mediastinal Neoplasms, Anesthesia, Tomography, X-Ray Computed and Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation informed terminology; a formal MeSH-indexed reproducible database export was not performed. A supplementary targeted PubMed search used the phrases “mediastinal mass anesthesia risk”, “giant anterior mediastinal tumors perioperative management”, and “adult mediastinal mass perioperative complications”; three further records were included after title, author, DOI, and abstract-level relevance checks.

Priority was given to peer-reviewed studies and reviews directly addressing adult diagnostic or perioperative decisions, with older landmark cohort studies retained when clinically relevant. Pediatric-only findings were not extrapolated directly to adults. Eligible material included observational clinical studies, narrative syntheses, and clinically focused imaging and oncological reviews. Reports with uncertain bibliographic identity or claims unsupported by the accessible abstract/full text were not used for numerical conclusions. Material was selected by relevance and assessed qualitatively for design, population, clinical applicability and risk of overinterpretation; no formal validated risk-of-bias instrument was applied.

Findings were extracted manually and synthesized thematically. No duplicate screening, independent reviewer process, protocol registration, exhaustive retrieval count or PRISMA flow diagram is claimed. Scopus, Web of Science and Cochrane Library were not directly searched. This approach is appropriate for an explanatory narrative but cannot establish completeness or estimate pooled treatment effects. Source

verification was differentiated by access level: publisher or PubMed bibliographic record, abstract, or accessible full text. Claims supported only by an abstract were not treated as having undergone full-text verification.

The organizing clinical questions were defined before drafting the expanded synthesis: how should a prevascular lesion be characterized, what findings suggest increased cardiorespiratory vulnerability, how should the least hazardous route to diagnosis be selected, what contingencies are reasonable for an unstable patient, and how does histology determine subsequent treatment? Records were considered relevant when they directly informed one of these questions. Reports focused exclusively on pediatric populations were not used to derive adult risk estimates, although pediatric concepts may appear in the historical discussion of anesthetic practice. Studies of other mediastinal compartments were considered only where they clarified anatomy or general imaging principles. A separate emphasis was placed on distinguishing direct empirical observations from expert recommendations and proposed mechanisms.

Evidence was not graded with a formal risk-of-bias instrument, and the search was not designed to identify every eligible publication. Accordingly, the review makes no claim of systematic completeness. When a finding came from a retrospective series, it was interpreted as an association rather than a causal effect. When a management technique was discussed primarily in a narrative review or expert commentary, the manuscript describes it as a consideration rather than a validated protocol. Numerical results were retained only where linked to an identifiable publication. This approach prioritizes traceability and clinical usefulness over an artificial appearance of quantitative certainty.

Results

Anatomical localization and diagnostic imaging

Initial assessment should establish the lesion's origin and its relationship to vulnerable structures. Contrast-enhanced chest computed tomography (CT) generally provides an anatomical overview of density, calcification, fat, vascular relationships and airway caliber. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is useful when distinguishing cystic from solid components or evaluating thymic tissue and complex soft-tissue interfaces (Ahuja et al., 2023). Imaging can substantially narrow the differential diagnosis but does not invariably replace pathology. In particular, a prevascular mass with germ-cell features may still require tissue confirmation before systemic therapy.

A structured radiological report should describe the compartment, minimum airway diameter and site of narrowing, relationship to the carina and main bronchi, compression of the heart or great vessels, and any effusion. These are clinically actionable descriptors; they should not be confused with a validated universal scoring instrument. When clinically appropriate, assessment of positional symptoms and echocardiography can complement CT findings, especially where vascular or cardiac compromise is suspected.

Clinical and functional risk assessment

A focused symptom history is essential. Orthopnea, positional dyspnea, stridor, syncope, swelling suggesting venous obstruction and inability to tolerate supine positioning can reveal physiology that is not captured by a single static scan. The landmark retrospective study by Béchard et al. (2004) examined 98 adults undergoing 105 anesthetics. Intraoperative cardiorespiratory complications occurred in 4 of 105 procedures and postoperative respiratory complications in 11 of 105; the authors identified associations with cardiopulmonary symptoms, mixed pulmonary function abnormalities, pericardial effusion and substantial tracheal compression. These associations do not provide an individual guarantee of safety, and the historical cohort may not reflect current procedural selection.

Anesthesia and airway strategy

Risk reduction starts before the patient enters the operating room. The diagnostic question should be revisited: can image-guided sampling, a less invasive approach or a procedure under local anesthesia yield sufficient material? Where general anesthesia is unavoidable, the team should discuss induction, positioning, access to rigid bronchoscopy, possible rescue ventilation and a threshold for abandoning the procedure. The historical preference for spontaneous ventilation was a rational response to reported catastrophic obstruction, but it should not be treated as an immutable physiological law. Sarkiss and Jimenez (2023) review newer observations suggesting that anesthesia, muscle relaxation and positive-pressure ventilation do not uniformly worsen central airway caliber. These data remain insufficient to establish a single safe technique for every patient. (Hachenberg et al., 2025; Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

Circulatory collapse and extracorporeal rescue

Cardiovascular compromise may arise from compression of cardiac chambers, pulmonary arteries or venous return, sometimes in combination with airway obstruction. A rescue plan that addresses only intubation is therefore incomplete. Contemporary clinical reviews describe extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) as an option for selected patients whose predicted risk cannot be adequately managed with conventional strategies. The potential benefit must be balanced against cannulation complications, anticoagulation, resource requirements and the possibility that urgent institution of support will be too slow after collapse. Pre-induction access planning and coordination with perfusion and cardiothoracic teams are therefore more meaningful than a generic statement that ECMO is available. (Leivaditis et al., 2024).

Histology-directed treatment and mediastinal seminoma

An urgent decompressive procedure should not become the default oncological operation. Primary mediastinal germ cell tumors are biologically and therapeutically heterogeneous. Pure seminoma is generally responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy, whereas nonseminomatous mediastinal germ cell tumors carry different risks and may require distinct multimodal strategies (Ozgun & Nappi, 2023). Napieralska et al. (2018) provide clinical experience with primary mediastinal seminoma and support the importance of histology-directed treatment. Serum alpha-fetoprotein, beta-human chorionic gonadotropin and lactate dehydrogenase may aid evaluation, but they cannot substitute for integrated clinical, imaging and pathological interpretation. Decisions about residual masses should reflect tumor type and response, rather than applying one surgical rule to all mediastinal lesions.

Interpretation of the evidence

The imaging literature supports a structured compartment-based approach. Perioperative cohort evidence supports concern for clinically and radiologically demonstrable compression, while contemporary physiological work warns against oversimplified anesthesia dogma. Much of the evidence for rescue pathways and extracorporeal support derives from specialized centers and selected cases. It demonstrates feasibility more clearly than comparative effectiveness. These different levels of evidence should remain visible in decisions and informed discussions.

Evidence interpretation: risk stratification and selection bias

Adult mediastinal-mass cohorts and anesthesia reviews address overlapping but not identical clinical questions. The landmark adult cohort by Béchard et al. (2004) examined perioperative cardiorespiratory complications and candidate predictors, whereas Sarkiss and Jimenez (2023) reconsidered long-standing anesthetic assumptions in light of more recent physiological observations. The former is observational and vulnerable to confounding by procedure choice, whereas the latter is a narrative interpretation of heterogeneous evidence. Consequently, neither supports a universal single-variable threshold for safely inducing anesthesia in every adult with an anterior mediastinal mass.

The clinical significance of an airway measurement depends on the location of narrowing, whether obstruction is fixed or dynamic, the position of the patient, the possibility of distal airway access and concurrent cardiovascular compression. Imaging alone does not establish the physiological response to anesthesia. Conversely, the absence of striking symptoms does not prove that positive-pressure ventilation, positional changes or induction will be uncomplicated (Béchard et al., 2004; Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

Diagnostic alternatives and the burden of intervention

Tissue diagnosis requires a sequence of deliberate decisions rather than automatic progression to general anesthesia. Where technically feasible and clinically appropriate, image-guided core biopsy or a procedure using local anesthesia may reduce exposure to an anesthetic scenario that is difficult to rescue. However, sample adequacy and procedural safety vary with tumor location and suspected histology. For example, imaging can characterize a prevascular mass and its relationship to major vessels, but cannot reliably substitute for tissue classification when treatment pathways diverge (Ahuja et al., 2023; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

A multidisciplinary conference should distinguish three objectives: obtaining adequate diagnostic tissue, preventing immediate respiratory or hemodynamic deterioration, and providing definitive tumor-directed therapy. Emergency decompression may occasionally be necessary for the second objective, but it must not be conflated with the preferred oncological treatment of every mediastinal tumor. The evidence concerning primary mediastinal seminoma supports a histology-directed systemic treatment strategy, with residual disease assessed according to its subsequent course (Napieralska et al., 2018; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

Technological capability and implementation constraints

Cross-sectional imaging, bronchoscopy, echocardiography and extracorporeal support offer complementary information or rescue options; their presence does not guarantee a favorable outcome. Access to experienced operators, suitable cannulation strategies, an agreed escalation pathway and the ability to move rapidly between diagnostic and emergency procedures are organizational requirements that deserve consideration alongside device availability. Evidence for preventive extracorporeal support is primarily derived from selected high-risk contexts and does not justify indiscriminate use (Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

A useful quality-improvement agenda would document whether preprocedure imaging was reviewed jointly, whether positional symptoms were assessed, whether an airway rescue strategy was communicated and whether a realistic circulatory rescue option existed. These are proposed process measures rather than validated predictors of outcome. Their prospective evaluation could help distinguish the contribution of technology from the contribution of coordinated clinical implementation.

Imaging-based characterization and procedural planning

Imaging has two distinct roles in the evaluation of anterior mediastinal tumors: identifying a likely pathological category and anticipating the physiological consequences of a procedure. The International Thymic Malignancy Interest Group compartment framework distinguishes prevascular, visceral and paravertebral regions. In the prevascular compartment, the differential diagnosis includes thymic epithelial lesions, lymphoproliferative disease, germ cell tumors, thyroid-related pathology and non-neoplastic abnormalities. Compartment assignment alone is insufficient for diagnosis; density, internal fat, calcification, enhancement and relationship to adjacent organs refine the differential (Ahuja et al., 2023).

Contrast-enhanced CT provides an accessible map of airway calibre, the superior vena cava, pulmonary arteries, cardiac chambers and collateral circulation. Its interpretation should be directed to the proposed procedure rather than confined to the largest tumor diameter. For example, a lesion that is technically accessible to needle biopsy may still be hazardous if the patient cannot tolerate recumbency or if sedation changes the balance between intrathoracic pressure and airway patency. Imaging-derived narrowing is therefore one component of a wider physiological assessment, not a stand-alone prediction of collapse (Ahuja et al., 2023; Béchard et al., 2004).

MRI is especially helpful when CT leaves uncertainty about cystic versus solid composition or involvement of soft-tissue and neurovascular planes. Dynamic sequences may offer additional information about motion relative to adjacent structures, although their clinical utility for predicting anesthetic emergencies has not been established by comparative prospective trials. Imaging innovation should be assessed by whether it changes diagnostic confidence, biopsy selection or management, rather than by image quality alone (Ahuja et al., 2023).

Risk assessment: evidence and uncertainty

The retrospective adult series reported by Béchard and colleagues remains an important primary source because it explicitly examined perioperative cardiorespiratory events and potential predictors among patients with mediastinal masses. Its design does not establish causality, and practices from its historical observation period may not represent current airway techniques or institutional rescue capacity. Nevertheless, it supports considering clinical symptoms, imaging and procedural factors together rather than treating an apparently stable preoperative examination as proof of safety (Béchard et al., 2004).

A more recent evidence synthesis and management framework underscores how heterogeneous the literature remains: reports vary in histology, age, anesthetic approach, definition of large mass and outcome ascertainment. A practical implication is that risk labels should communicate what can go wrong and which interventions are immediately available, rather than imply a validated numerical probability for every individual patient (Leivaditis et al., 2024).

Position-dependent dyspnea, orthopnea, stridor, syncope and signs of venous obstruction deserve careful investigation. However, their absence cannot exclude important airway or vascular compression. Decisions about general anesthesia, spontaneous ventilation, muscle relaxation and patient positioning require multidisciplinary evaluation. Contemporary reviews caution against simplistic rules based on a single anesthetic technique, because the mechanical behavior of the mediastinal mass and the available evidence vary across patients (Hachenberg et al., 2025; Leivaditis et al., 2024).

Biopsy strategy and the diagnostic-treatment interface

Tissue diagnosis is decisive because the major prevascular tumor categories have different initial treatments. A mediastinal germ cell tumor, a lymphoma and a thymic epithelial malignancy cannot safely be managed as interchangeable entities. The route to tissue should therefore be selected jointly by the treating specialties with attention to the information needed for histopathology, the anticipated duration of the procedure and whether local anesthesia or a less invasive approach can reasonably obtain adequate material (Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

A technically straightforward diagnostic procedure may still carry substantial anesthetic risk. A limited biopsy performed under deep sedation or general anesthesia may expose a patient with severe airway narrowing to greater immediate risk than the technical difficulty of the tissue sampling itself would suggest. Conversely, delaying histological diagnosis can delay potentially effective systemic treatment. The correct balance is context-dependent and requires explicit documentation of the chosen procedure, alternatives and rescue plan (Béchard et al., 2004; Leivaditis et al., 2024).

Extracorporeal support and emergency readiness

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is discussed increasingly in the management of selected patients with severe mediastinal compression. It may be considered as a planned support strategy or as rescue support where conventional airway or circulatory interventions are unlikely to suffice. The relevant configuration depends on the expected physiological problem: isolated gas-exchange failure and cardiovascular compromise are not equivalent indications. The literature is predominantly composed of selected clinical reports and institutional experience, which cannot define a universal threshold for initiation (Leivaditis et al., 2024).

Access to ECMO does not replace careful planning. Cannulation itself may require positioning and vascular access that are difficult in a patient with central venous obstruction; delayed initiation after complete collapse may also be technically challenging. Accordingly, a high-risk plan should specify personnel, access to equipment, feasible cannulation sites and the point at which escalation is triggered. Such planning is an organizational intervention as much as a technological one (Leivaditis et al., 2024; Hachenberg et al., 2025).

Seminoma-specific evidence and treatment interpretation

Primary mediastinal seminoma deserves separate consideration within a broader review of anterior mediastinal tumors. Its sensitivity to platinum-based chemotherapy means that a large lesion does not automatically imply a need for radical surgical removal. Surgery performed for urgent decompression addresses an immediate mechanical threat and should not be confused with routine oncological resection. Histology, stage and response to systemic therapy remain central to subsequent treatment decisions (Napieralska et al., 2018; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

A contemporary retrospective single-center cohort of 24 patients with pure primary mediastinal seminoma reported five-year progression-free survival of 86.2% (95% confidence interval 72.8–100.0%) and five-year overall survival of 95.2% (95% confidence interval 86.6–100.0%). Three patients experienced progression, all in the mediastinum and within 7.8 months after completing chemotherapy. These are study-specific estimates, not general population benchmarks; the small sample and wide confidence intervals limit precision (Sebai et al., 2026).

In that cohort, investigators also found elevated human chorionic gonadotropin in 15 of 22 patients with available results, illustrating why normal or elevated markers must be interpreted in the context of pathology rather than as a binary test for seminoma. The report suggests that many patients can achieve durable disease control without routine local consolidation, but this observational finding cannot establish the superiority of one follow-up strategy over another. The decision to investigate a residual mass should be based on clinical and radiological evolution and the relevant disease-specific evidence (Sebai et al., 2026).

Evidence gaps and implementation priorities

Across the literature, the strongest recurring limitation is not a lack of plausible rescue techniques but a shortage of prospectively collected, comparable patient-level outcomes. A standardized registry could capture the degree and site of airway narrowing, vascular involvement, posture-dependent symptoms, anesthesia plan, rescue availability, actual complications and timing of postoperative events. Without consistent definitions, apparently contradictory findings may reflect different case mixes rather than true disagreement about treatment effects (Béchard et al., 2004; Hachenberg et al., 2025).

For technology-focused evaluation, future studies should ask whether structured radiological reporting, simulation-based team preparation and timely access to extracorporeal support improve clinically important outcomes. Cost, resource availability and equity of access also warrant investigation, but the current reviewed evidence does not justify specific quantitative claims about these domains. Implementation should be proportionate to local expertise and documented patient risk (Leivaditis et al., 2024).

Prevascular localization does not itself establish the nature of a lesion. Thymic epithelial tumors, lymphoid malignancies, germ-cell tumors, thyroid-related masses and benign cystic abnormalities can overlap in their clinical presentation. Age, symptoms, laboratory findings and internal imaging composition refine the initial differential, but none should be considered in isolation. For example, an apparently well-defined mass may still require further evaluation if there is uncertainty about its relationship to a major vessel, while a heterogeneous lesion with necrosis can complicate selection of a representative biopsy target. The compartment framework is valuable precisely because it gives the team a common language for describing where the lesion is situated before debating what it represents (Ahuja et al., 2023).

Imaging reports should answer practical questions that are sometimes omitted from conventional oncological descriptions. The airway assessment should specify the level and extent of narrowing, the relationship to the carina and main bronchi, and whether distal airways appear patent. Vascular assessment should identify displacement or narrowing of the superior vena cava, pulmonary arteries and cardiac chambers. Pericardial fluid and signs of pleural involvement are also relevant to procedural planning. These observations should be reviewed alongside the intended patient position and anesthetic technique. Imaging is a static representation of an often dynamic problem: it may show anatomical vulnerability without predicting the exact physiological response to sedation, loss of muscle tone or positive-pressure ventilation (Ahuja et al., 2023; Béchard et al., 2004).

The distinction between cystic and solid disease has consequences beyond diagnostic accuracy. A cystic lesion that can be characterized confidently without invasive sampling may allow a patient to avoid a procedure altogether, whereas an indeterminate lesion can lead to repeated imaging or biopsy. MRI may help resolve equivocal findings on CT through superior tissue contrast and additional sequence information. Its value must nonetheless be assessed against feasibility: an individual with severe orthopnea may not tolerate prolonged supine imaging, and a technically sophisticated examination is not necessarily safer than a shorter, well-planned alternative. The appropriate imaging pathway therefore depends on both the diagnostic question and the patient's capacity to complete the study (Ahuja et al., 2023).

Positional symptoms provide an important bridge between radiology and physiology. Patients may describe breathlessness when lying flat, difficulty sleeping in a conventional position, cough, chest pressure, presyncope or a sense of airway obstruction. Such symptoms should be recorded in the patient's own terms, including the posture in which they improve. They may also inform transport, monitoring and procedural positioning. An absence of symptoms is less reassuring when the planned intervention will alter the very conditions under which the patient is currently compensating. Conversely, symptoms should not automatically be attributed to compression without considering infection, thromboembolism, pulmonary disease or other explanations. A careful assessment integrates the clinical trajectory with imaging rather than replacing one with the other (Béchard et al., 2004).

Pulmonary function testing has historically been considered as a possible component of preoperative assessment, but the interpretation of an isolated result is difficult. A flow-volume loop reflects a particular testing condition and may not reproduce the posture or physiological changes of anesthesia. Measurements can also be affected by patient effort and coexisting lung disease. In the adult cohort described by Béchard and colleagues, combinations of clinical, radiological and physiological findings were considered in relation to perioperative events; the study should not be converted into a universally validated point score. Clinical judgment remains necessary when deciding whether additional testing will change management or merely delay a required diagnosis (Béchard et al., 2004).

The diagnostic route should be individualized according to the most likely pathology and the least hazardous means of obtaining adequate material. If accessible peripheral lymphadenopathy or another safer site can establish the diagnosis, direct sampling of the mediastinal mass may not be necessary. If tissue is required from the mediastinum, the choice among image-guided core biopsy, endoscopic approaches and surgical procedures depends on location, vascular relationships, specimen requirements and local expertise. Lymphoid disease may require sufficient material for architecture and immunophenotyping; an inadequate sample can trigger a second intervention. Thus the shortest procedure is not necessarily the best procedure if

its diagnostic yield is too low. Planning should involve the clinician responsible for tissue interpretation, not only the operator performing the biopsy (Ahuja et al., 2023; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

The anesthesia plan should be expressed as a series of explicit contingencies rather than a single preferred technique. Before the procedure, the team should agree on the safest tolerated position, the method of oxygenation and ventilation, the anticipated site of obstruction, and the options if ventilation becomes ineffective. The choice to maintain spontaneous breathing may be reasonable in selected high-risk circumstances, but it should not be portrayed as a guarantee against collapse. Likewise, positive-pressure ventilation is not uniformly harmful in every patient. Contemporary discussion has challenged oversimplified physiological assumptions and favors individualized planning informed by anatomy, clinical signs and procedural requirements (Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

Airway instrumentation requires attention to the site of obstruction. An endotracheal tube may secure the upper airway yet leave compression of a main bronchus unresolved. A bronchoscope can help identify the location of narrowing, but the ability to visualize an obstruction does not mean that it can be bypassed safely. Rigid bronchoscopy, repositioning and other rescue measures may be considered depending on the lesion and institutional capabilities. These resources must be available in time to be useful. A plan that relies on equipment or specialists located elsewhere in the hospital may be inadequate for a rapidly evolving event. The essential question is whether the proposed rescue can actually reverse the anticipated mechanism of failure (Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

Hemodynamic instability deserves a separate rescue pathway. Compression of the pulmonary circulation, heart or major veins may produce circulatory collapse even when the airway appears manageable. Echocardiography can help identify cardiac compression or pericardial pathology and may be useful when instability develops. However, emergency imaging must not delay immediate treatment of an obvious life-threatening event. Surgical decompression can be required in exceptional circumstances, but it is a rescue intervention rather than the standard oncological treatment for every anterior mediastinal lesion. The decision must balance expected speed of relief, operative risk and whether the underlying tumor is better treated with systemic therapy after diagnosis (Béchard et al., 2004; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

Extracorporeal support represents an important technological option, yet the term ECMO encompasses different forms of support with different capabilities. Venovenous support primarily addresses gas exchange, whereas venoarterial support can provide circulatory assistance as well. The anticipated mechanism of collapse therefore matters when selecting a strategy. The possibility of extracorporeal rescue also raises practical questions: whether cannulation can be performed in the patient's tolerated position, whether vascular access is feasible, how anticoagulation interacts with biopsy or surgery, and how quickly a trained team can initiate support. These questions cannot be answered by citing successful individual cases alone. Selection should remain multidisciplinary and proportionate to the predicted risk (Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

Primary mediastinal seminoma illustrates why histological classification changes the entire treatment pathway. The tumor belongs to the family of extragonadal germ-cell neoplasms, and management is typically based on platinum-containing systemic treatment rather than routine primary resection of a large mediastinal mass. Tumor markers may contribute to differential diagnosis and monitoring, but normal values do not exclude the disease. Tissue morphology and immunohistochemical findings are necessary for reliable classification. Once a diagnosis is established, treatment planning should address disease extent, the patient's clinical stability and the expected toxicity of therapy. A potentially rapid oncological response does not remove the need to stabilize life-threatening compression before or during treatment (Napieralska et al., 2018; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

Interpretation of post-treatment residual masses is another setting in which anatomy and tumor biology must be considered together. Persistent tissue on imaging does not always indicate viable malignancy, and aggressive intervention may expose the patient to avoidable morbidity. The meaning of a residual lesion depends on tumor subtype, response pattern, time from treatment and available clinical information. Consequently, findings from seminoma should not be generalized to nonseminomatous germ-cell tumors, lymphoma or thymic epithelial disease. The value of multidisciplinary review lies partly in preventing the use of a single generic surgical algorithm for pathologies with fundamentally different treatment responses (Napieralska et al., 2018; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

Comparisons across studies are complicated because authors do not always define an adverse event in the same way. Some studies emphasize intraoperative inability to ventilate; others include postoperative respiratory deterioration, hemodynamic instability or need for unplanned intensive care. The denominator also differs: all patients with mediastinal masses, patients referred for surgery, and highly selected cases described

because a complication occurred are not interchangeable populations. It would therefore be misleading to combine their reported experiences into a single risk percentage. More useful comparisons examine the setting, inclusion criteria, baseline symptoms, anatomical findings and type of procedure before considering whether outcomes agree or conflict (Béchard et al., 2004; Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

The timing of deterioration is equally important. A patient may tolerate the initial anesthetic phase but experience difficulty during positioning, surgical manipulation, emergence or the early postoperative period. Conversely, an alarming preoperative scan does not mean that every anesthetic will be complicated. This variability supports continued vigilance rather than deterministic predictions. Observation after the procedure should reflect the severity of compression, the intervention performed, residual airway or vascular concerns and any new physiological instability. The level of postoperative care is a clinical decision that should not be inferred from tumor size alone (Béchard et al., 2004).

Discussion

Clinical implications

The central clinical implication is that diagnostic and procedural plans should be matched to the patient's physiological vulnerability rather than the nominal size of the planned intervention. An apparently minor biopsy can require major rescue resources when the mass threatens the main airways or circulation. The preoperative conference should therefore explicitly include thoracic surgery, anesthesia, radiology, the tissue-diagnosis service and, for selected patients, intensive care and extracorporeal support specialists. The conference should identify what diagnosis is needed, the least hazardous route to obtain it, and the contingencies if ventilation or perfusion deteriorates.

Risk discussions should explicitly acknowledge uncertainty. Neither an asymptomatic presentation nor a reassuring single measurement completely excludes adverse events; equally, a large mass does not establish inevitable collapse. Adult retrospective studies provide population-level associations, not a deterministic bedside calculator (Béchard et al., 2004). Recent physiological data may allow more nuanced airway management, but their limited clinical scope does not justify abandoning backup equipment or specialist readiness (Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

Technology and organization of care

The relevance of this subject to innovation lies in the integration of technologies rather than in any single device. CT and MRI permit detailed anatomical mapping; bronchoscopy can clarify dynamic airway problems; echocardiography can identify cardiovascular consequences; and ECMO may provide a bridge in exceptionally hazardous cases. Yet technology is useful only when decisions, staff competencies and timing are coordinated. For a journal focused on technology and society, this organizational dimension is a defensible point of connection, although direct evidence about access, cost-effectiveness and patient-reported experience in this specific population is limited and is not asserted here.

Limitations

This narrative review used targeted rather than exhaustive retrieval, and its conclusions may be affected by selection and publication bias. Evidence spans different tumor histologies, ages, degrees of compression and procedural settings, limiting direct comparison. Retrospective complication estimates are vulnerable to referral patterns, evolving anesthesia practice and inconsistent definitions. Physiological studies may measure airway caliber without establishing patient-important clinical outcomes, whereas case reports may overrepresent dramatic failures. No pooled estimate or formal certainty grading was attempted. Not every cited publication was available for full-text verification; consequently, conclusions dependent on abstracts or secondary syntheses should be read with appropriate caution.

Future directions

Prospective multicenter adult registries should record symptom patterns, standardized CT airway and vascular measurements, positioning tolerance, chosen anesthesia strategy and clearly defined intraoperative and postoperative outcomes. Comparative research is needed to distinguish the effects of ventilation mode, neuromuscular blockade and procedural selection in clinically meaningful risk groups. Evaluations of ECMO planning should report both prevented catastrophes and support-related harm. Patient-centered outcomes, delays to definitive diagnosis and differences in access to specialized rescue capability would also strengthen the evidence base.

The review suggests that the most defensible innovation is a coordinated pathway linking existing technologies to explicit clinical decisions. CT and MRI are valuable because they define anatomy and narrow the differential diagnosis; they are not stand-alone predictors of anesthetic outcome. Bronchoscopy and echocardiography can clarify selected mechanisms of compromise; they do not substitute for a rescue plan. Extracorporeal support can be decisive in a small group of extreme-risk patients; its presence does not justify exposing all patients to invasive preparation. The benefit of a pathway should therefore be evaluated by whether it changes decisions appropriately, avoids unnecessary procedures and makes anticipated emergencies more manageable, rather than by the number of technologies used (Ahuja et al., 2023; Sarkiss & Jimenez, 2023).

Specialist availability may also influence patient safety. Hospitals differ in access to thoracic surgeons, interventional radiologists, advanced bronchoscopy, perfusion teams and intensive care capacity. The same anatomical finding may therefore lead to different safe procedural options at different centers. Referral or transfer can be appropriate when a procedure requires rescue resources that cannot be mobilized locally. Yet transfer itself carries risk for patients who cannot tolerate lying flat or whose symptoms are progressing. Decisions should consider urgency, physiological stability, transport conditions and the realistic time needed to reach definitive care. These implementation questions warrant prospective study rather than assumptions that technology availability alone improves outcomes.

Communication failures may carry risks comparable to gaps in equipment availability. A radiologist may document bronchial compression without knowing that general anesthesia is planned; an anesthesiologist may receive a procedure request without a detailed description of positional symptoms; and an oncologist may be asked for urgent treatment before adequate diagnostic tissue is obtained. A structured preprocedure discussion can make these dependencies explicit. The discussion should identify the working differential diagnosis, what information the proposed procedure must provide, the expected physiological hazards and the actions to take if the patient deteriorates. Such coordination is a plausible safety mechanism, although direct comparative evidence for a particular checklist or pathway remains limited.

Clinical uncertainty should be communicated to patients without either minimizing risk or implying that catastrophic collapse is inevitable. Patients may be offered several diagnostic routes that differ in invasiveness, diagnostic yield, speed and the level of anesthesia required. The explanation should include why a safer-appearing procedure may be inadequate for a definitive diagnosis and why additional planning or referral can sometimes delay intervention. These considerations relate to informed decision-making, but the present evidence base does not establish that any specific pathway improves patient-reported quality of life. Future research should assess patient understanding and experience alongside clinical safety outcomes.

These findings also raise the possibility of confounding by indication. Clinicians are more likely to select special airway strategies, intensive monitoring or extracorporeal preparation for patients judged to be at high risk. A simple comparison of outcomes between patients who did and did not receive these interventions may therefore make protective measures appear associated with worse results. Future observational studies should record baseline severity and treatment selection explicitly. Even with adjustment, residual confounding is likely because anatomical and positional risk can be difficult to summarize in a small number of variables. This is a reason for cautious interpretation, not for abandoning systematic collection of clinical data.

A practical research agenda would start with harmonized definitions. Investigators could prospectively record the mediastinal compartment, specific airway and vascular structures affected, symptoms in different positions, planned anesthetic technique, actual procedural position, and predefined respiratory and circulatory outcomes. The dataset should distinguish failed oxygenation, failed ventilation, hypotension, need for rescue bronchoscopy, emergency surgical intervention, extracorporeal support and postoperative complications. Time from diagnosis to treatment, diagnostic adequacy and unplanned repeat procedures would add a patient-pathway perspective. Multicenter collaboration is particularly important because the most severe events are uncommon and individual institutions may see too few cases to estimate their frequency reliably.

Clinical decisions must also account for the underlying diagnosis. The immediate challenge of securing a safe biopsy can dominate attention, but an unnecessarily extensive intervention may compromise later oncological treatment. For tumors responsive to systemic therapy, the objective is often to obtain a reliable diagnosis and maintain stability until treatment can begin. For other lesions, complete surgical excision may be central to care. These strategies cannot be reconciled without pathology and staging information. The review therefore argues for parallel planning of diagnostic safety and disease-specific therapy rather than treating the two as sequential, unrelated tasks (Napieralska et al., 2018; Ozgun & Nappi, 2023).

Conclusion

Anterior mediastinal tumors demand a diagnostic approach that integrates histology with anatomy and physiological risk. Cross-sectional imaging and clinical assessment guide procedural planning but cannot remove uncertainty. The most defensible approach is individualized selection of biopsy and anesthesia strategies, explicit preparation for airway and cardiovascular rescue, and treatment determined by the underlying tumor type. Further prospective evidence is needed before any single anesthesia or extracorporeal-support pathway can be treated as universally superior.

Ethics: This narrative review uses published literature only; no new identifiable patient data were analyzed.

Conflicts of Interest: No conflicts of interest to declare

REFERENCES

1. Ahuja, J., Strange, C. D., Agrawal, R., Erasmus, L. T., & Truong, M. T. (2023). Approach to imaging of mediastinal masses. *Diagnostics, 13*(20), 3171. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13203171
2. Béchard, P., Létourneau, L., Lacasse, Y., Côté, D., & Bussières, J. S. (2004). Perioperative cardiorespiratory complications in adults with mediastinal mass: Incidence and risk factors. *Anesthesiology, 100*(4), 826–834. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200404000-00012
3. Blank, R. S., & de Souza, D. G. (2011). Anesthetic management of patients with an anterior mediastinal mass: Continuing professional development. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia, 58*(9), 853–867. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-011-9539-x
4. Hachenberg, T., Schilling, T., & Kretzschmar, M. (2025). Perioperative management of patients with mediastinal mass syndrome. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology, 38*(1), 58–64. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0000000000001453
5. Leivaditis, V., Pavlakou, A., Grapatsas, K., Mulita, F., Koletsis, E., Papatriantafyllou, A., Galanis, M., Katsakiori, P. F., Skevis, K., Nikolaidis, E., Dahm, M., Tasios, K., Tchabashvili, L., Ehle, B., & Baltayiannis, N. (2024). Challenges and pitfalls in the perioperative management of mediastinal mass syndrome: An up-to-date review. *Polish Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, 21*(1), 47–54. https://doi.org/10.5114/kitp.2024.137951
6. Napieralska, A., Majewski, W., Osewski, W., & Miszczyk, L. (2018). Primary mediastinal seminoma. *Journal of Thoracic Disease, 10*(7), 4335–4341. https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.06.120
7. Ozgun, G., & Nappi, L. (2023). Primary mediastinal germ cell tumors: A thorough literature review. *Biomedicines, 11*(2), 487. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11020487
8. Saffarzadeh, A., Popescu, W. M., Detterbeck, F. C., Li, A. X., & Blasberg, J. D. (2025). Anesthetic risk with large mediastinal masses: A management framework based on a systematic review. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 119*(5), 967–979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2024.09.011
9. Sarkiss, M., & Jimenez, C. A. (2023). The evolution of anesthesia management of patients with anterior mediastinal mass. *Mediastinum, 7*, 16. https://doi.org/10.21037/med-22-37
10. Sebai, T., Hassoun, R., Althouse, S., King, J., Einhorn, L., & Adra, N. (2026). Primary mediastinal seminoma: Clinical characteristics and outcomes. *Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 24*(5), 102601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clgc.2026.102601
11. Tani, K., Kimura, D., Matsuo, T., Sasaki, T., Kimura, S., Muto, C., & Minakawa, M. (2024). Perioperative strategies and management of giant anterior mediastinal tumors: A narrative review. *Mediastinum, 8*, 34. https://doi.org/10.21037/med-23-40